

# **भारत का राजपत्र** **The Gazette of India**

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित  
**PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY**

न० 6] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, फरवरी 8, 1969/माघ 19, 1890  
 No. 6] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1969/MAGHA 19, 1890

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।  
 Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

## **नोटिस**

## **NOTICE**

नीचे लिखे भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र 28 जनवरी, 1969 तक प्रकाशित किये गये :—

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published up to the 28th January, 1969:—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
26	S.O. 373, dated 21st January, 1969.	Election Commission of India.	Election to the House of People from 55-Phulpur Parliamentary Constituency.
	ए.सं. ओ०, 374, दिनांक 21 जनवरी, 1969।	भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग	55 फूलपुर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से लोक सभा के लिए निर्वाचन।
27	S.O. 375, dated 22nd January, 1969.	Ministry of Commerce.	Further amendment to the Exports (Control) Order, 1948.
28	S.O. 376, dated 24th January, 1969.	Do.	Further amendment to the Exports (Control) Order, 1968.
29	S. O. 377, dated 24th January, 1969.	Ministry of Finance.	Exemption under Gold (Control) Act to licensed dealers on hypothecation etc.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
30	S. O. 378, dated 24th January, 1969.	Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation.	Fixation of maximum prices at which vegetable oil products to be sold.
31	S.O. 379, dated 25th January, 1969.	Ministry of Home Affairs.	The Punjab Khadi and Village Industries Board (Reorganisation) Order, 1969.
	एस० ओ० 380, दिनांक 25 जनवरी, 1969	गृह मंत्रालय	पंजाब खादी और ग्रामीणोग बोर्ड (पुनर्गठन) आदेश 1969।
32	S. O. 381, dated 28th January, 1969.	Ministry of Home Affairs.	Constitution of Indian Medical and Health Service w.e.f. 1st February, 1969.
	एस० ओ० 381-ए, दिनांक 28 जनवरी, 1969।	गृह मंत्रालय	1 फरवरी, 1969 से भारतीय चिकित्सा तथा स्वास्थ्य सेवा का गठन करना।
33	S. O. 382, dated 28th January, 1969.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the films as specified in the Schedule therein.
34	S. O. 383, dated 28th January, 1969.	Do.	Approval of the film as specified in the Schedule therein.

ऊपर लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियां प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जायेगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुँच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

## भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

### PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किए गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

### ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 27th January 1969

S.O. 466.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 116C of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, the Election Commission hereby publishes a copy each of the orders of the Supreme Court, pronounced on the 4th and 12th September and 27th November, 1968, on an appeal from the Order dated the 29th January, 1968 of the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh, in Election Petition No. 8 of 1967.

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

## Civil Appellate Jurisdiction

CIVIL APPEAL No. 1039 (NCE) OF 1968.

*Swami Rameshwara Nand*—Appellant.

V.

*Shri Madho Ram and another*—Respondents.

SEAL.

## JUDGMENT

MITTAR, J.—In this appeal by an unsuccessful candidate at a Parliamentary election, the main question is, whether a test recount should be ordered as prayed for by the appellant in aid of the prayer for general re-count.

The constituency was 2-Karnal Parliamentary Constituency which comprised of nine segments of Assembly constituencies of Haryana, namely:

1. 12 Babin Legislative Assembly Constituency,
2. 13 Nilokheri Legislative Assembly Constituency,
3. 14 Indri Legislative Assembly Constituency,
4. 15 Karnal Legislative Assembly Constituency,
5. 16 Jundla Legislative Assembly Constituency,
6. 17 Gharaunda Legislative Assembly Constituency,
7. 18 Samalkha Legislative Assembly Constituency,
8. 19 Panipat Legislative Assembly Constituency,
9. 20 Naultha Legislative Assembly Constituency.

February 19, 1967 was the date on which poll was to be taken and the first April was the date before which the election had to be completed. The poll was taken on the 19th February and the result of the election announced at about 10 a.m. on 24th February, 1967 when it was found that the appellant had secured 1,68,001 votes as against the first respondent, Madho Ram who got 1,68,204 votes. The total number of rejected votes was 13,876 and the total number of tendered votes 190.

Even before the declaration of the result, applications had been made by the appellant complaining of irregularities in the matter of reception, refusal and rejection of votes which were dealt with by the Assistant Returning Officers concerned or by the Returning Officer. On an application being made for re-counting of votes of Nilokheri and Indri Assembly Constituencies dated 22nd and 23rd February, 1967 on the ground that there had been bungling in the counting of votes and smuggling of votes, the Assistant Returning Officer and S.D.O.(C) Karnal recorded an order that the appellant had not raised any objection on the date when the ballot papers of Nilokheri Assembly Constituency were counted and the objection was put forward only during the counting of Jundla Assembly Constituency when the appellant "realised that he had lost the battle". The application was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner/Returning Officer. The appellant made another complaint of a general nature to the Returning Officer, Babin Assembly Constituency on 22nd February 1967 complaining that people at the counting tables were mixing the ballot papers of Jan Sangh candidate in the Congress candidate's bundles and no action was being taken in spite of protests. It may be noted here that the appellant belonging to the Jan Sangh party while the first respondent was a Congress nominee. The order made on that application shows that one vote belonging to the Jan Sangh candidate was found inside a bundle of the Congress candidate while the marking was in progress and proper scrutiny of the bundle by the counting supervisor had not been done whereupon the counting assistant had been changed. A memo sent by the Assistant Returning Officer for Karnal Parliamentary Constituency Thanesar to the District Electoral Officer Karnal dated 22nd February 1967 shows that some ballot papers had been rejected on the ground that the impressions thereon appeared to have been made with an instrument other than that provided at the polling booths. The appellant, Rameshwara Nand, had asked for an adjournment of counting when some of the ballot papers in his favour were rejected but no adjournment was granted after reference to the Deputy Commissioner who had been contacted over the telephone whereupon the appellant had left with his counting agent. There was an undated application by the appellant complaining of smuggling of votes

polled for him in the bundle of votes polled for the Congress candidate detected at the time of the counting of Babin Assembly Constituency votes at Thanesar. On 23rd February 1967, the appellant preferred an application to the Returning Officer for re-counting of votes of all the constituencies on the ground that there had been smuggling of votes to his detriment in other constituencies. The margin between the votes at Thanesar and mis-handling of votes alleged to have been polled for the contesting candidate being very small, a re-count was necessary in the interest of justice and fair play. The Returning Officer thereupon ordered that there should be a re-count of votes in three assembly constituencies viz., Babin, Indri and Jundla in respect whereof objections had been specifically raised. In his view, no general re-count was called for in respect of the other constituencies as no complaint had been made to the Assistant Returning Officers. The appellant was not satisfied with this and preferred a further application to the Returning Officer on 24th February that unless re-counting of votes of all the nine Assembly constituencies was allowed, it would not be possible for him to associate with the re-counting of votes of only three Assembly constituencies. The Returning Officer thereupon passed his order on the same day at 9 a.m. that as the appellant was not willing to take part in the re-counting of votes in three Assembly constituencies already ordered, re-counting was to be closed and the result of polling declared. He further turned down the request for postponement of the announcement of the declaration until the decision of the Election Commissioner on the appellant's application.

In the election petition filed on 5th April 1967 the appellant put forward *inter alla* a ground that the result of the election in so far as the first respondent was concerned had been materially affected by improper reception, refusal and rejection of votes and by reception of void votes in favour of the first respondent. The particulars thereof were given in several sub-paragraphs of paragraph 13 of the petition. After complaining of the partisan attitude of the Assistant Returning Officers and others working under them, the appellant stated:

- (i) Ballot papers which constituted valid votes in favour of the petitioner were intentionally placed inside the bundles (of 50 votes each) of the respondent No. 1. This was done secretly at various tables some of which were detected on the spot.
- (ii) During the counting of votes of Babin Constituency at Thanesar, one bundle of votes in favour of the respondent was found to include three ballot papers whereby votes had been cast in favour of the appellant. A similar instance was given in respect of votes at Jundla. At polling stations Nos. 10 and 11 similar malpractices had been discovered.
- (iii) That out of 13876 rejected votes at least 1900 cast in favour of the petitioner had been arbitrarily rejected.
- (iv) Void and invalid votes numbering about 500 were improperly included in bundles of the respondent No. 1 in spite of protests.

The appellant further stated in his petition that the majority of 190 tendered votes had been cast in his favour and if they were taken into account and the corresponding votes cast by false personation in favour of the first respondent were omitted, the difference of 203 votes would be more than counter-balanced. The petitioner's further complaint was that the errors and mistakes discovered at the time of re-count in 23 polling stations were so numerous that a case for re-count of all the ballot papers at the election had been made out.

These allegations were denied by the first respondent in his written statement filed on 2nd May, 1967. The plea put forward therein was that the particulars given in paragraph 13 of the petition were vague and indefinite and far from the counting officials favouring the respondent a large number of them were sympathetic to the petitioner who was a Jan Sangh candidate. The charge in sub-paragraph (f) of paragraph 13 of the petition that the postal ballot papers of public servants on election duty had been received in a lump on the day of counting at 4.05 p.m., by Bhaawat Swarup, Superintendent of Police, Karnal and had been got marked by him at his residence was denied.

It is important to note that in his recrimination petition filed on 9th May the first respondent not only charged the petitioner with having been guilty of corrupt practices but complained of irregularities in the reception and rejection of votes to help the petitioner. In particular, it was alleged.

"In a large number of cases the counting officials (who are pro-Jan Sangh) were counting 55 ballot papers of the recriminator and marking the bundle to show that there were only 50 ballot papers in it. Simultaneously they would count 45 ballot papers of the petitioner and write the number "50" on the bundle to show that there were 50 ballot papers in it".

It was also alleged that some Assistant Returning Officers had applied discriminatory standards in accepting and rejecting the votes in favour of the petitioner.

A very large number of issues were framed and the examination of the witnesses commenced on September 21, 1967. During the hearing a number of miscellaneous petitions were filed on behalf of the petitioner relating to cl.(f) of paragraph 13 and to the tendered votes mentioned in paragraph 14 of the petition. In Civil Miscellaneous Application No. 134-E/1967 it was prayed that the persons who had cast their votes by postal ballot papers besides the six already examined and the persons who cast their votes as tendered should all be summoned and their evidence recorded. The other applications which to a certain extent overlap the one already mentioned were mostly confined to question of postal ballot papers, tendered votes and votes said to have been cast in the name of dead persons. In order to avoid the examination of a very large number of witnesses cited, the trial Judge framed three questions for decision by a Full Bench of the court to be constituted under the orders of the Chief Justice. The first of these was, "whether scrutiny and production of the forms containing the various declarations mentioned in the miscellaneous petitions and the attestation of the signatures of the electors as also the ballot papers and the relevant marked electoral rolls should be allowed in respect of all the postal ballots relating to members of the police force on election duty and tendered votes and votes alleged to have been cast by dead persons?"

A Full Bench of three Judges of the High Court answered the reference on October 16, 1967 ordering that production, inspection and scrutiny should be allowed on the ballot papers mentioned in the first question and further allowing the petitioner to examine only those witnesses who would be material and relevant for the purpose of postal ballots and tendered votes as the evidence relating to the third category had already been examined.

It appears that the examination of witnesses which had stopped on 28th September 1967 was resumed on November 20, 1967 and continued till December 14, 1967. Before this date however a number of miscellaneous applications had been presented on behalf of the petitioner. In Application No. 196-E of 1967 presented on November 1967 for the inspection, scrutiny and re-count of the rejected votes and the votes cast in favour of the respondent No. 1, it was stated *inter alia* that on November 13, 1967 when the Nayab Tehsildar Election who had been ordered by the court to take out the ballot papers relating to postal and tendered votes for inspection and scrutiny was trying to locate the original ballot papers of tendered votes with reference to the marked electoral roll of Jurasi Khalsa polling station, it was found that there was a bundle containing 50 ballot papers counted in favour of the respondent with only the top ballot paper bearing No. 351341 favouring the respondent No. 1 while the remaining 49 ballot papers were in favour of the petitioner and that this alone had made a difference of 98 votes in the result. It was submitted that similar irregularities had also been detected in respect of a number of polling stations. Five votes received through postal ballot of the military personnel had not been counted. In his counter affidavit to this petition, the respondent disputed the discovery of any bundle as mentioned in the petition and asserted that many ballot papers in his favour had been wrongly put in the bundles of the petitioner. On this the learned Judge ordered that the petition should be put up after the entire evidence had been recorded. On another application No. 189-E/1967 with a prayer that the bundle of votes relating to Khalsa Jurasi polling station with ballot paper No. 351341 be produced and re-counted, the learned Judge noted that the evidence for the respondent had yet to be recorded and the entire question of re-count could be considered after all the witnesses had been examined.

It appears that yet another application No. 195-E/1967 was presented on behalf of the petitioner for being allowed to produce evidence in respect of 106 votes cast by member of police force by postal ballots. This was after the production, inspection and scrutiny of the votes done in accordance with the answers returned by the Full Bench. The petition went to show that the total number of valid votes cast by postal ballot was 243 out of which 123 votes related to the military personnel while the remaining 115 pertained to persons on election duty. Out of these 115, 105 votes were of police employees stationed at Karnal police lines and all recorded in favour of the respondent. According to the petitioner, this disclosure *prima facie* supported to his case that all the votes of the police officials had been cast in favour of the respondent by the exercise of pressure and undue influence by the Superintendent of Police, Karnal. The learned Judge took the view that even if the petitioner succeeded in providing that all the said 106 postal ballots and two more which had been opened previously were to be excluded on the ground alleged the result of the election would not be materially affected as the respondent had a lead of 203 votes. Referring to the allegation of serious irregularity with regard to the bundle of 50 ballot papers of Khalsa Jurasi polling station with only one on top being in favour of the respondent which would effected 98 votes the learned Judge felt that this was not a sufficient ground for allowing the petitioner to lead evidence in

respect of the votes cast by postal ballot in view of the reference to the Full Bench and its opinion thereon. After the conclusion of arguments, the learned Judge delivered the judgement on January 29, 1968 dealing with the issues which were pressed by counsel on either side. Issues 3 to 14 bear on the question of the result of the election being materially affected by the improper rejection and reception of votes.

Issues 11, 12, 13 and 14 all relate to the petitioner's claim for re-count and scrutiny of votes. Issue No. 11 was aimed at ascertaining whether the application for re-counting submitted by the petitioner to the Returning Officer was a valid one. Issue No. 12 framed the question as to whether the Returning Officer had gone wrong in disallowing the recount in six out of the nine Assembly constituency segments. Issue 13 raised the question as to whether the said officer was wrong in not-recounting votes of all the polling stations and issue 14 was for adjudication of the petitioner's right to ask for re-count and scrutiny of votes.

The learned trial Judge recorded the following conclusions on the said issues :

- (a) Most of the allegations of the petitioners were confined to the counting of votes of Babin segment although there was a mention that there was a bungling in respect of counting of Nilokheri and Indri segment votes. In the circumstances the Returning Officer was justified in allowing a re-count in respect of three segments only, viz., Babin, Indri and Jundla.
- (b) The Returning Officer did not order a re-count of votes in all the segments on the 23rd February as alleged nor had he counter-manded the same on receiving a telephonic communication from Shri Bhagwat Dayal Sharma. The application of the petitioner filed on 24th February alleging grounds for the petitioner's refusal to associate himself with the re-counting of votes in three Assembly Constituencies only was the result of an after thought.
- (c) In view of the decisions of this Court in **Ram Sewak Yadav v. Hussin Kamil Kidwai** (1) and **Dr. Jagit Singh v. Giani Kartar Singh and other** (2) the petitioner was not entitled to a general re-count as asked for.

According to the learned Judge the petition did not contain adequate statements of material facts and his complaints except with regard to the three constituencies mentioned were vague and general in nature without any material particulars: the petitioner had not given particulars of the polling stations at which votes were alleged to have been rejected improperly and the very bold statements contained in the petition namely that out of 13,876 rejected votes 1900 had been cast in petitioner's favour or that 550 invalid votes had been counted in favour of the respondent did not fulfil the requirements of law. The complaint regarding ballot papers of Khalsa Jurasi polling stations based on the allegation that in a bundle of 50 only the voting paper at the top was in favour of first respondent and the remaining 49 were of the petitioner related to the Smalkha segment about which no grievance had been made at the time of counting nor any irregularity alleged. The learned Judge also noted that at the stage of evidence no facts were averred or deposed to by the petitioner regarding the irregularities in the matter of putting of the ballot papers in wrong bundles and merely on the basis of discovery alleged to have been made as a result of the inspection in the presence of Nayab Tehsildar Election, the prayer for general inspection could not be allowed.

At the hearing before us counsel for the appellant contended that there was sufficient material before the court to order a general re-count but 'he would be content with a re-count of only the votes cast at Khalsa Jurasi polling station by way of test. Mr. Dixit, learned counsel for the respondent, was strongly opposed to this and he drew our attention to the pleadings in great detail, the successive applications made before the declaration of the result of the election and the numerous applications during the hearing preferred by the petitioner and contended that throughout the appellant's efforts had been to secure an order which would help him in making a roving or fishing enquiry into the ballot boxes so as to justify his claim to have the returned candidate's election declared void.

In support of his argument he referred us to the decisions in **Ram Sewak Yadav and Dr. Jagit Singh** (Supra), although in **Ram Sewak Yadav's** case great emphasis was laid on the pleading as to material facts relied on by the petitioner in support of his prayer for inspection. the court was careful to add that an order for inspection would undoubtedly be granted if the interests of justice required. But a mere allegation that the petitioner

(1) 1964—6 S.C.R. 233.

(2) A.I.R. 1966 S.C. 773.

suspected or believed that here had been an improper reception, refusal or rejection of voters would not be sufficient for the purpose. In Dr. Jagit Singh's case stress was again laid on the importance of pleadings that general allegations about valid votes being improperly rejected or invalid votes being improperly accepted would not serve the purpose of s.83(1)(a) of the Act and it was said:

"It may be that in some cases, the ends of justice would make it necessary for the Tribunal to allow a party to inspect the ballot boxes and consider his objections about the improper acceptance or improper rejection of votes tendered by voters at any given election; but in considering the requirements of justice, care must be taken to see that election petitioners do not get a chance to making a roving or fishing enquiry in the ballot boxes...."

The Court was also careful to add that no hard and fast rule could be laid down in this matter.

While it is true that in the instant case the grievance of the petitioner at first related to the votes cast in Babin, Indri and Jundla constituencies he did pray for a re-count of votes in all the nine Assembly Constituencies alleging smuggling of votes polled for him in bundles of votes for the first respondent. Unfortunately he did not take part in the re-count of all the ballot papers in the said three Assembly constituencies and there is no knowing which way the result would have gone if the re-count had been completed as ordered by the Returning Officer. In our view the discovery of 49 votes out of a bundle of 50 in the Khalsa Jurasi segment if correct would go to show that there had been very serious irregularities in the matter of counting of votes polled at that station. The irregularity alleged is of such a grave nature that in the interests of justice we feel that it is a matter which calls for scrutiny. We are also not unmindful of the irregularities alleged in the recrimination petition on the respondent. On a consideration of all the circumstances of this case, we order that the ballot papers of the said constituency be inspected, and whether or not we should order a re-count of ballot papers of other polling stations will depend on the result of this scrutiny.

Sd/- M. HIDAYATULLAH, C.J.I.

G. K. MITTER, J.

NEW DELHI,

Dated, September 4, 1968.

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

Civil Appellate Jurisdiction

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1039 OF 1968.

Swamy Rameshwaranand—Appellant.

V.

Madho Lal and another—Respondents.

## ORDER

As a result of the report of the tellers, it is quite clear that the counting has been very inaccurate. Numerous instances have been brought to our notice which clearly show that votes of one side have been counted as votes of the other side. Whether it is for one party or the other, it makes no difference. It is quite clearly demonstrated that the officers who counted these votes did not do their duty either honestly or carefully. In these circumstances, we think that a general re-count is necessary in the present case.

Six advocates of this Court who will be named by the learned Attorney-General will be appointed as tellers and they are to be paid Rs. 400 each. The remuneration of the tellers will be paid by either party in equal measure. The payment of money will be a condition precedent for the counting of votes of the parties.

The remaining ballot boxes will be sent for immediately and on their receipt, the advocates who are entrusted with the work of re-counting will enter upon their duty. The re-counting will be done in the Supreme Court premises room No. 7. Only the parties and their counsel will remain present at the re-counting.

Sd/- M. HIDAYATULLAH, C.J.

Dated: September 12, 1968.

Sd/- G. K. MITTER, J.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

Civil Appellate Jurisdiction

CIVIL APPEAL No. 1039 1969.

Swami Rameshwara Nand—*Appellant*.

*Vs.*

Shri Madho Ram and Anr.—*Respondents*.

ORDER

HIDAYATULLAH, C.J.

In this election appeal, we had appointed two tellers in the first instance to examine the ballots from a limited number of polling booths. As a result of their scrutiny, we found some discrepancies which we thought required us to go further and to investigate as to the exact voting which had taken place at this election. We accordingly appointed seven tellers to open all the bundles and to recount the ballots cast in favour of each of the two contestants. The result of the tellers' labours is now before us and it appears that the lead of the returned candidate has increased to 1134.

It is submitted by Mr. Naunil Lal for the appellant that the methods followed by the tellers were not alike and that there have been some miscountings. We are of opinion that this case must come to an end. The tellers were chosen by the Attorney-General from amongst the Advocates of the Court and they can be relied upon to work as is done by a Court. As a result of their report, we are satisfied that the returned candidate has, in fact, been returned by a majority. The appeal therefore fails and will be dismissed with costs.

It is represented to us that the work of the tellers took several days, in fact more days than was anticipated. They have counted over 3,50,000 votes and they worked for several days. The remuneration was determined by us at Rs. 400 per teller. It is requested that this amount be substantially increased. We think that the amount to be paid to each teller should be Rs. 600 and that shall be paid by the appellant along with the answering respondent's costs.

....., C.J.

G. K. MITTER, J.

New Delhi, November 27, 1968.

[No. 82/HN/8/67.]

By Order,

K. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Secy.

CABINET SECRETARIAT

(Department of Statistics)

New Delhi, the 23rd January, 1969

S.O. 467.—In partial modification of para 2 the Department of Statistics Notification No. 12/2/67-Tech. dated the 6th March 1968, the Central Government hereby extends the time for submission of the Report by the Indian Statistical Institute Statutory Committee 1968-69, upto 15th January, 1969.

[No. 12/2/67-Tech.]

P. P. CAPRIHAN, Dy. Secy.



संशोधन सचिवालय

(सांख्यिकी विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 28 जनवरी 1969

एस० ओ० 468:—सांख्यिकी विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्या 12/2/67-तकनीकी दिनांक 6 मार्च 1968 के परिच्छेद 2 में वार्षिक परिवर्तन के साथ केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारतीय सांख्यिकीय संस्थान विषयक सांख्यिकीय सन्धि 1968-69 के प्रतिवेदन को प्रस्तुत करने का समय 15-1-1969 तक बढ़ाती है।

[संख्या 12/2/67-तकनीकी]

पी० पी० कैप्रिह्न, उप सचिव।

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 27th December 1968

S.O. 469.—In exercise of powers conferred by Section 3 of the Emigration Act 1922 (VII of 1922), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri M. M. Saigal, Public Relations Officer, Regional Passport and Emigration Office, Delhi, to be Protector of Emigrants, Delhi, in addition to his own duties with effect from 19th December, 1968 vice Shri A. S. Narkar.

[No. CPEO/24/68.]

New Delhi, the 27th January 1969

S.O. 470.—In exercise of powers conferred by Section 3 of the Emigration Act 1922 (VII of 1922), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. Krishna Kutty Nair to be the Protector of Emigrants, Mandapam Camp, with effect from the afternoon of 31st December, 1968 vice Shri T. C. Nityanandam.

[No. CPEO/1/69.]

M. L. KHOSLA, Attache (PVA).

विदेश मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 27 जनवरी, 1969

एस० ओ० 471:—उत्प्रवास अधिनियम, 1922 (1922 का सातवा) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार इसके द्वारा श्री के० कृष्ण कुट्टी नायर को 31 दिसम्बर 1968 को बोपहर-बाद से श्री टी० सी० नित्यानन्दम् के स्थान पर उत्प्रवासी संरक्षक नियुक्त करते हैं।

[सी० पी० ई० ओ०/1/69.]

एस० एल० खोसला, सहचारी।

नई दिल्ली, 24 दिसम्बर 1968

एस० ओ० 472:—राजनयिक एवं कौंसली अधिकारी (शपथ एवं शल्क) अधिनियम 1948 की धारा (क) खण्ड 2 के अनुसार, केन्द्र सरकार इसके द्वारा भारत के प्रधान कौंसलावास, हेम्बर्ग, में

सहायक, श्री पी० बी० मित्रा को, 25 फरवरी 1968 से, भगला आदेश होने तक, "कौंसली अभिकर्ता" का कार्य करने का अधिकार देती है।

[सं० फाइल टी० 4330/1/68.]

एस० ओ० 473.—राजनयिक एवं कौंसली अधिकारी (शपथ एवं शुल्क) अधिनियम, 1948 की धारा (क) खण्ड 2 के अनुसार, केन्द्र सरकार इसके द्वारा भारत के कमीशन, मोम्बासा, में सहायक, श्री टी० बी० देवराज को तत्काल, भगला आदेश होने तक, "कौंसली अभिकर्ता" का कार्य करने का अधिकार देती है।

[सं० फाइल टी० 4330/1/68.]

(ह०) अस्पष्ट

अवर सचिव।

## MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS

### ERRATA

New Delhi, the 23rd January 1969

S.O. 474.—In the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals No. 31/(41)/64-Prod/IOC-(Vol. II), dated 28th May, 1968, published under S.O. No. 2016 in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated 8th June, 1968:

At page No. 2721 and at village Okaf Tal: Dascroi.

"Read"

S. No. 215

"for"

S. No. 111.

[No. 31/(41)/64-Prod/Lab.Vol. II.]

S.O. 475.—In the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals No. 31/(41)/64-ONG-(iii), dated 20th March, 1965, published under S.O. No. 1023, in the Gazette of India, Part II Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated 3rd April, 1965:

At page No. 1105 and at village Makarba Taluka, Ahmedabad City.  
Omit S. N. 822/1.

[No. 31/(41)/64-Prod/Lab.Vol. II.]

New Delhi, the 24th January 1969

S.O. 476.—In the Notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals of No. 31/38/63-ONG, dated 11th March, 1964, published under S.O. No. 991, in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated 21st March, 1964:

(1) At page No. 1229 and at village Samiyala.

"Read"

S. No. 451

"for"

S. No. 461.

(2) At page No. 1229 and at village Bajuwa.

Omit S Nos. 269, 268, 260, 259, 258, 261, 239, 256, 240, 233, 231 and 230.

(3) At page No. 1229 and at village Gotri.

"for"

S. No. 990

S. No. 991.

[No. 31/38/63-ONG/Lab.(i).]

**S.O. 477.**—In the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals of No. 51/38/63-ONG, dated 17th April, 1964, published under S.O. No. 1967, in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated 2nd May, 1964:

At page No. 1703 and at the village Samiyala, Taluka Baroda, Omit Survey Nos. 647 and 649.

[No. 31/38/63-ONG/Lab.(ii).]

I. M. SAHAI, Deputy Secy.

## MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION

(Department of Food)

*New Delhi, the 30th January 1969*

**S.O. 478.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 42 of the Warehousing Corporations Act, 1962 (58 of 1962), the Central Warehousing Corporation, with the previous sanction of the Central Government, hereby makes the following regulations further to amend the Central Warehousing Corporation (Staff) Regulations, 1966, namely:—

1. These regulations may be called the Central Warehousing Corporation (Staff) Second Amendment Regulations, 1969.

2. In the Central Warehousing Corporation (Staff) Regulations, 1966—

(1) for regulation 3, the following regulation shall be substituted, namely:—

“3. *Classification of Posts*—

The employees of this Corporation shall be classified as under:—

- (i) Class I—Officers, the minimum of whose scale of pay is Rs. 400/- or more
- (ii) Class II—Ministerial and Technical staff, the minimum of whose scale of pay is Rs. 325/- or more but below Rs. 400/-
- (iii) Class III—Ministerial and Technical Staff, the minimum of whose scale of pay is Rs. 110/- or more but below Rs. 325/-
- (iv) Class IV—Other staff (Peons and the like), the minimum of whose scale of pay is less than Rs. 110/-

(2) for regulation 12, the following regulation shall be substituted, namely:—

“12. *Scales of Pay*—

- (a) The power to fix or revise the scales of pay of the posts in the Corporation shall vest in the Board of Directors subject to the condition that in the case of posts (other than that of the Managing Director) with scales of pay having a maximum of Rs. 1,600 or above, or in the case of posts with a fixed pay of Rs. 1,600/- prior approval of the Central Government shall be obtained both for fixation and revision of the scales of pay or for the termination of the service of any employee holding any such post:

Provided that the scales of pay and the pay of employees on deputation from the Central Government shall be regulated in accordance with the Ministry of Finance (Department of Expenditure) Office Memorandum No. F. 10 (24)-E. III/60 dated the 4th May, 1961, as amended from time to time, and the scales of pay and pay of employees on deputation from any State Government or an Institution shall be regulated in accordance with the rules, for the time being in force of the concerned State Government or Institution, as the case may be, subject to the condition that the deputation allowance given to an employee in addition to his basic pay under the parent Government or Institution shall not exceed the limits prescribed in the said Office Memorandum as amended from time to time.

- (2) The scales of pay and pay of the employees of the Corporation sent on deputation to the Central Government or a State Government or an

Institution shall be determined in accordance with the provisions contained in the said Office Memorandum as amended from time to time.

NOTE.—No employee shall be permitted to go on deputation during the period of probation, and till he completes service of not less than three years in the Corporation.

(3) Appendix III of the Central Warehousing Corporation (Staff) Regulations, 1966 shall be deleted and Appendix IV renumbered as Appendix III.

(4) In sub-regulation (7) of regulation 34, for the word and figure "Appendix IV", the word and figure "Appendix III" shall be substituted.

[No. F. 26-7/67 SG.II.]

DEVAKI NANDAN GOYAL, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT AND SHIPPING

(Transport Wing)

*New Delhi, the 31st January 1969*

S.O. 479.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 18 of the Calcutta Port Act, 1890 (Bengal Act III of 1890), the Central Government is pleased to authorise the Commissioners for the port of Calcutta to raise on the 1st February, 1969 a debenture loan of Rs. 150 lakhs (Rupees one hundred and fifty lakhs), repayable on the 1st February, 1981, the rate of interest being six per cent per annum.

[No. 9-PG(131)/68.]

K. L. GUPTA, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER

*New Delhi, the 28th January 1969*

S.O. 480.—In pursuance of sub-section 2(a) of section 36A of the Indian Electricity Act, 1910 (9 of 1910), the Central Government is pleased to nominate Shri A. K. Ghosh, Vice-Chairman, Central Water and Power Commission as Chairman of the Central Electricity Board vice Shri K. L. Vij, who has since retired from service.

[No. EL. II-9(1)/68.]

S. NARAYANASWAMY, Under Secy.

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P. & T. Board)

*New Delhi, the 23rd January 1969*

S.O. 481.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director-General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 16th February 1969 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Cooch Behar Telephone Exchange in West Bengal Circle.

[No. 5/2/69-PHB(6).]

D. R. BAHL,

Assistant Director General (PHB).

**संचार विभाग**  
(डाक-तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली 23 जनवरी, 1969

**स्थायी आदेश 482**—स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गये 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने 16-2-69 टेलीफोन केन्द्र में कूच बिहार से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-2/69-पी एच बी(6)]

डी० आर० बहल,

सहायक महानिदेशक (पी० एच० बी०)।

**MINISTRY OF EDUCATION**

*New Delhi, the 24th January 1969*

**S.O. 483.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 24 of the Delhi Primary Education Act, 1960 (39 of 1960) and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Education No. F. 20-5/61-B4, dated the 5th December, 1962, the Central Government hereby authorises the Lt. Governor of Delhi to exercise all powers conferred on the State Government by or under the said Act.

[No. F. 35-6/68-BSE.5.]

GIRDHARI LAL, Under Secy.

**शिक्षा मंत्रालय**

नई दिल्ली, 24 जनवरी 1969

**एस० ओ० 484**—दिल्ली प्राथमिक शिक्षा अधिनियम, 1960 (1960 का 39) की धारा 24 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्री शिक्षा मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना संख्या एफ० 20-5/61 बी०-4 दिनांक 5 दिसम्बर 1962 को रद्द करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार दिल्ली के उप-राज्यपाल को उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा अथवा उसके अधीन राज्य सरकार को प्रदत्त सभी अधिकारों के प्रयोग करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[सं० एफ० 35-6/68-बी० एस० ई०-5.]

गिरधारी लाल, अवसर सचिव।

**Copyright Office**

**CORRIGENDUM**

*New Delhi, the 27th January 1969*

**S.O. 485.**—In paragraph 1 of the Ministry of Education, Government of India, Notification, dated the 24th December, 1968 (S.O. 4637) published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated 24th December, 1968, for item 7 read "Shri B. Sarma," for "Shri B. Sharma".

[No. F.4-2/68-C.O.]

A. K. JAIN, Deputy Secy.

(कापी राखू व कायस्थिय)

शुचि पत्र

नई दिल्ली 27 जनवरी, 1969

एस०ओ० 486 :—भारत के राजपत्र के असाधारण भाग II खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड (ii), दिनांक 24 दिसम्बर, 1968 में प्रकाशित, शिक्षा मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना दिनांक 24 दिसम्बर, 1968 (एस० ओ० 4637) के पैराग्राफ 1 के मद 7 में “श्री बी० शर्मा” के स्थान पर “श्री बी० समी” पढ़ा जाय।

[संख्या एफ० 4-2/68-सी० ओ०]

अभिमान्यु कुमार जैन, उप सचिव

## MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd January 1969

S.O. 487.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the Film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarati to be of the description specified against it column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

## THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section 4 of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XVII of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

## THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Title of the film.	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film in- tended for edu- cational purposes or a film dealing with news & current events or a documentary film.
1. Mahatichitra No. 103	274.32 M	Director of Government Sachivalaya,	Information, of Gujarat, Ahmedabad.	Film dealing with news and current events (For re- lease in Gujarat Circuit only).

[No. F. 24/1/69-FP App. 1321.]

BANU RAM AGGARWAL, Under Secy.

## सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

## आदेश

नई दिल्ली 21 जनवरी, 1969

एस० नो० 488—इसके साथ लगी प्रथम अनुसूची में निर्धारित प्रत्येक अधिनियम के उपबन्ध के अन्तर्गत जारी किये गये निदेशों के अनुसार, केन्द्रीय सरकार, फिल्म सलाहकार बोर्ड, बम्बई की सिफारिशों पर विचार करने के बाद, एतद्वारा, इसके साथ लगी द्वितीय अनुसूची के कालम 2 में दी गई फिल्म की उसके गुजरात भाषा रूपान्तर सहित, जिसका विवरण उसके सामने उक्त द्वितीय अनुसूची के कालम 6 में दिया हुआ है, स्वीकृत करती है :—

## प्रथम अनुसूची

- (1) चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37 वाँ केन्द्रीय अधिनियम) की धारा 12 की उपधारा (4) तथा धारा 16।
- (2) बम्बई सिनेमा (विनियम) अधिनियम 1953 (1953 का 17 वाँ बम्बई अधिनियम) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (3) तथा धारा 9।
- (3) सौराष्ट्र सिनेमा (विनियम) अधिनियम 1953 (1953 का 17वाँ सौराष्ट्र अधिनियम) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (4) तथा धारा 9।

## द्वितीय अनुसूची

क्र० संख्या	फिल्म का नाम	फिल्म की लम्बाई 35 मि० मि०	आवेदक का नाम	निर्माता का नाम	क्या वैज्ञानिक फिल्म है या शिवा सम्बन्धी फिल्म है या समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म है या बाकुमैन्ट्री फिल्म है।
-------------	--------------	----------------------------	--------------	-----------------	--

1                      2                      3                      4                      5                      6

1	महीतिचित्रा संख्या 103	274.32मी०	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, अहमदाबाद-15		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं से सम्बन्धित फिल्म (कुशल गुजरात सकिट के लिये)।
---	------------------------	-----------	---	--	--

[संख्या फाईल 24/1/69-एफ० पी० परिशिष्ट 1321:]

बाबू राम अग्रवालअथ

## MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Industrial Development)

New Delhi, the 31st January, 1969

S.O. 489.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 72 of the Indian Patents and Designs Act, 1911 (2 of 1911), the Central Government hereby appoints the Secretary, National Research Development Corporation of India, New Delhi, as an authority for the purpose of the said section and hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. S.R.O. 681, dated the 23rd March, 1955, namely:—

In the Notification, after item 34 and the entry relating thereto, the following item and entry shall be added, namely:—

“(35) The Secretary, National Research Development Corporation of India, Mandi House, Lytton Road, New Delhi-1”.

[No. 33(28)-PP&amp;D/68.]

HARGUNDAS, Under Secy.

## औद्योगिक विकास तथा समन्वय कार्य मंत्रालय

(औद्योगिक विकास विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 31 जनवरी, 1969

एस० नो० 490—भारतीय पेटेंट तथा डिजाइन अधिनियम, 1911 (1911 का 2) की धारा 72 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये केन्द्रीय सरकार एकद्वारा भारत के राष्ट्रीय अनुसन्धान विकास निगम, नई दिल्ली के सचिव को उपर्युक्त धारा के योजन हेतु प्राधिकारी नियुक्त करती है और भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या एस० नो० 681, दिनांक 23 मार्च, 1955 में निम्नलिखित अग्रैतर संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

अधिसूचना की मद संख्या 34 तथा उससे सम्बन्धित प्रविष्टि में निम्नलिखित मद एवं प्रविष्टि जोड़ी जायेगी, अर्थात्:—

“35, सचिव,

भारत का राष्ट्रीय अनुसन्धान विकास निगम,

मण्डी हाउस, लिटन रोड,

नई दिल्ली -1”

[सं० 33 (28) पी० पी० एण्ड डी/68]

हरगुन दास, अवर सचिव,

(Department of Industrial Development)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 24th January 1969

S.O. 491.—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-rule (2) of Rule 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks), Rules, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the schedule hereto annexed have been published during the quarter ending 31st December, 1968.



THE SCHEDULE

Serial] No. (1)	No. of Indian Standard (2)	Title of Indian Standard (3)
1	IS: 109-1968	Specification for ready mixed paint, brushing, priming, plaster to Indian standard colour No. 361 light stone, and No. 631 light grey ( <i>first revision</i> ).
2	IS: 158-1968	Specification for ready mixed paint, brushing, bituminous, black, lead-free, acid, alkali, water and heat resisting, for general purposes ( <i>second revision</i> ).
3	IS: 213-1968	Specification for naphtha ( <i>first revision</i> ).
4	IS: 300-1968	Specification for the national flag of India (silk khadi) ( <i>second revision</i> ).
5	IS: 398-1968	Specification for ink, stamp-pad ( <i>first revision</i> ).
6	IS: 400-1968	Specification for the national flag of India (wool khadi) ( <i>second revision</i> ).
7	IS: 482-1968	Specification for reels for covered, round electrical winding wires ( <i>second revision</i> ).
8	IS: 505-1968	Specification for light kaolin ( <i>first revision</i> ).
9	IS: 538-1968	Specification for phenol (carbolic acid) ( <i>first revision</i> ).
10	IS: 542-1968	Specification for coconut oil ( <i>second revision</i> ).
11	IS: 544-1968	Specification for groundnut oil ( <i>second revision</i> ).
12	IS: 545-1968	Specification for MAHUA oil ( <i>second revision</i> ).
13	IS: 547-1968	Specification for sesame oil ( <i>second revision</i> ).
14	IS: 786-1967	Indian standard conversion factors and conversion tables ( <i>first revision</i> ).
15	IS: 887-1968	Specification for animal tallow ( <i>first revision</i> ).
16	IS: 918-1968	Specification for calcium carbonate, precipitated, for cosmetic industry ( <i>first revision</i> ).
17	IS: 1024-1968	Code of practice for use of welding in bridges and structures subject to dynamic loading.
18	IS: 1068-1968	Specification for electroplated coatings of nickel and chromium on iron and steel ( <i>first revision</i> ).
19	IS: 1104-1968	Specification for brushes, lettering ( <i>first revision</i> ).
20	IS: 1161-1968	Specification for steel tubes for structural purposes ( <i>second revision</i> ).
21	IS: 1248-1968	Specification for direct acting electrical indicating instruments ( <i>first revision</i> ).
22	IS: 1282-1968	Specification for bicycle cotter pins, washers and nuts ( <i>first revision</i> ).
23	IS: 1374-1968	Specification for poultry feeds ( <i>second revision</i> ).
24	IS: 1376-1968	Specification for cotton sewing threads for aeronautical purposes ( <i>first revision</i> ).
25	IS: 1407-1968	Specification for round paint tins ( <i>first revision</i> ).
26	IS: 1448 [P:44]-1968	Bromine number by electrometric titration method ( <i>first revision</i> ).
27	IS: 1460-1968	Specification for diesel fuels ( <i>first revision</i> ).
28	IS: 1501-1968	Method for Vickers hardness test for steel ( <i>first revision</i> ).
29	IS: 1547-1968	Specification for infant milk foods ( <i>first revision</i> ).
30	IS: 1552-1968	Specification for pitch-bound wire reeds for use in jute looms ( <i>first revision</i> ).
31	IS: 1566-1967	Specification for hard-drawn steel wire fabric for concrete reinforcement ( <i>first revision</i> ).
32	IS: 1586-1968	Method for Rockwell hardness test (b and c scales) for steel ( <i>first revision</i> ).
33	IS: 1635-1968	Code of practice for manufacture of zinc alloy pressure die castings ( <i>first revision</i> ).
34	IS: 1680-1968	Code of practice for treatment of water for land boilers ( <i>first revision</i> ).
35	IS: 1691-1968	Specification for cast iron and mild steel flat pulley ( <i>first revision</i> ).

(1)	(2)	(3)
36	IS : 1739-1968 . . .	Specification for cotton healds for use in cotton looms (first revision).
37	IS: 1751-1968 . . .	Specification for fire clay cupola refractories (first revision).
38	IS: 1791-1968 . . .	Specification for batch type concrete mixers (first revision).
39	IS: 1860-1968 . . .	Code of practice for installation, operation and maintenance of electric passenger and goods lifts (first revision).
40	IS: 1868-1968 . . .	Specification for anodic coatings on aluminium (first revision).
41	IS: 1885 (Part XVI Section I -1968)	Electrotechnical vocabulary Part XVI lighting, Section I, general aspects.
42	IS - 1891 (Part I)-1968	Specification for rubber conveyor and elevator belting Part I General purpose belting (first revision).
43	IS: 1969-1968 . . .	Method for determination of breaking load and elongations at break of woven textile fabrics (first revision).
44	IS: 2215-1968 . . .	Specification for starters for fluorescent lamps (second revision).
45	IS: 2231-1968 . . .	Method of grading hand-made wool carpets (first revision).
46	IS: 2236-1968 . . .	Specification for prawns/shrimp canned in brine. (first revision).
47	IS 2298-1968 . . .	Specification for single barrel stirrup pump for fire fighting purposes (first revision).
48	IS: 2373-1968 . . .	Specification for water meters (bulk type) (first revision).
49	IS: 2427-1968 . . .	Grading of continuous filament viscose rayon yarn and acetate yarn, bright and dull (first revision).
50	IS: 2488 (Part II)-1968	Methods of sampling and test for industrial effluents, Part II
51	IS: 2488 (Part III)-1968	Methods of sampling and test for industrial effluents, Part III.
52	IS: 2585-1968 . . .	Specification for black square bolts and nuts (diameter range 6 to 39 mm) and black square screws (diameter range 6 to 24 mm) (first revision).
53	IS: 2705 (Part IV)-1968	Specification for current transformers Part IV Protective current transformers for special purpose application.
54	IS: 3191-1968 . . .	Methods for sampling of cryolite and aluminium trifluoride.
55	IS: 3218-1968 . . .	Specification for parallel rulers, 150 mm (link type).
56	IS: 3400 (Part VIII)-1967	Methods of test for vulcanized rubbers Part VIII resistance to crack-growth.
57	IS: 3418-1968 . . .	Specification for oil and solvent resistant hose of rubber with braided textile reinforcement (first revision).
58	IS: 3448-1968 . . .	Specification for rice bran oil (first revision).
59	IS: 3591-1968	Specification for solvent-extracted coconut oilcake (meal) as livestock feed (first revision).
60	IS : 3660 (Part II)-1968	Methods of test for natural rubber Part II determination of solvent extract and nitrogen content.
61	IS: 3700 (Part IV)-1968	Essential ratings and characteristics of semiconductor devices Part IV Low power small signal transistors.
62	IS: 3700 (Part V)-1968	Essential ratings and characteristics of semi-conductor devices Part V Power transistors.
63	IS: 3700- (Part VI)-1968	Essential ratings and characteristics of semi-conductor devices. Part VI Switching transistors.
64	IS: 3961 (Part-III)-1968	Recommended current ratings for cables. Part III Rubber insulated cables.
65	IS: 4157 (Part II)-1968	Code for transport of livestock. Part II Transport of cattle by rail and road.
66	IS: 4157 (Part III)-1968	Code for transport of livestock. Part III Transport of sheep and goats by rail and road.
67	IS: 4253 (Part II)-1968	Specification for cork composition sheet. Part II cork and rubber.

(1)	(2)	(3)
68	IS: 4290-1958	Specification for roughness comparison specimens.
69	IS: 4333 (Part IV)-1968	Methods of analysis for foodgrains. Part IV weight of 1000 grains.
70	IS: 4400 (Part III)-1968	Methods of measurements on semiconductor devices Part III rectifier diodes.
71	IS: 4503-1967	Specification for shell and tube type heat exchangers.
72	IS: 4513-1968	Specification for scissors, surgical dressing and stitch.
73	IS: 4526-1968	Specification for 2, 5-Dichloroaniline.
74	IS: 4561 (Part V)-1968	Specification for oil cans. Part V lever type oil cans.
75	IS: 4580-1968	Specification for brushes, shaving
76	IS: 4604-1968	Specification for pattern plates for machine moulding boxes.
77	IS: 4606-1968	Specification for steel shot for use in foundries
78	IS: 4607-1968	Classification of hazardous chemicals and chemical products.
79	IS: 4622-1967	Recommendations for structural design of fixed-wheel gates
80	IS: 4623-1967	Recommendations for structural design of radial gates
81	IS: 4633-1968	Specification for fixed metallized-paper dielectric capacitor for direct current
82	IS: 4635 (Part III)-1968	Method for determination of colour fastness of textile materials to vulcanizing Part III with open steam
83	IS: 4651 (Part I)-1967	Code of practice for design and construction of dock and harbour structures Part I site exploration and soil investigation
84	IS: 4654-1968	Specification for paraffin wax
85	IS: 4660-1968	Glossary of terms on powered and non-powered trucks
86	IS: 4662-1968	Methods for sampling of starch
87	IS: 4665 (Part-I)-1968	Specification for portable motor-operated tools, Part I General requirements and tests
88	IS: 4667 (Part I)-1968	Methods of chemical analysis of silver-copper brazing alloys Part I analysis for silver and copper
89	IS: 4670-1968	Specification for liquor measures
90	IS: 4674-1968	Specification for dressed chicken
91	IS: 4684-1968	Specification for edible groundnut flour (expeller pressed)
92	IS: 4686-1968	Specification for typewriter ribbon fabrics
93	IS: 4688-1968	Specification for proofed cotton duck gland packing
94	IS: 4690-1968	Specification for mooring buoy shackles
95	IS: 4691-1968	Degrees of protection provided by enclosures for rotating electrical machinery
96	IS: 4692-1968	Specification for electrically welded studless link anchor chains and attachments
97	IS: 4694-1968	Basic dimensions for square threads
98	IS: 4695-1968	Basic dimensions for knuckle threads
99	IS: 4696-1968	Basic dimensions for saw tooth threads
100	IS: 4697-1968	Methods of measurements on Geiger-Miller counter tubes
101	IS: 4699-1968	Specification for refined secondary zinc
102	IS: 4700-1968	Quality tolerances for water for fermentation industry
103	IS: 4702-1968	Accuracy requirements for high precision gears
104	IS: 4703-1968	Methods of chemical analysis of silver-manganese brazing alloys
105	IS: 4704-1968	Specification for silver tin dental amalgam alloy
106	IS: 4705-1968	Specification for dental mercury
107	IS: 4706-1968	Methods of test for edible starches
108	IS: 4707 (Part-I)-1968	Classification of cosmetic raw materials and adjuncts, Part I.
109	IS: 4708-1968	Specification for urine glass conical
110	IS: 4709-1968	Specification for flavoured milk
111	IS: 4712-1968	Dimensions for forged steel socket-welding fittings
112	IS: 4713-1968	Method for determination of lower yield stress, proof stress and proving test for steel at elevated temperatures

(1)	(2)	(3)
113	IS: 4715-1968	Specification for excavators, dental, No. Ex 1/2, 3/4, 5/6, 7/8, 9/10, 11/12 and 13/14.
114	IS: 4717-1968	Specification for zinc oxide self-adhesive plaster
115	IS: 4718-1968	Specification for multiple paper-covered round copper conductors
116	IS: 4719-1968	Specification for wire-woven rayon fabric for radar-responsive target sleeves
117	IS: 4720-1968	Code of practice for ventilation of surface hydel power stations
118	IS: 4721-1968	Code of practice for drainage and dewatering of surface hydel power stations
119	IS: 4724-1968	Glossary of terms relating to printing inks and allied industries
120	IS: 4725-1968	Accuracy requirements for precision gears
121	IS: 4726-1968	Specification for light-weight nylon fabric for personnel parachutes
122	IS: 4727-1968	Specification for nylon webbing for aeronautical purposes
123	IS: 4728-1968	Terminal marking for rotating electrical machinery
124	IS: 4730-1968	Method for determination of density of liquids
125	IS: 4731-1968	Guide for preparation of manuscript of an article in a learned periodical
126	IS: 4732-1968	Specification for rivets for shipbuilding
127	IS: 4733-1968	Methods of sampling and test for sewage effluents
128	IS: 4734-1968	Specification for short needle bars for sewing machines for household purposes
129	IS: 4735-1968	Specification for arm shaft cams for sewing machines for household purposes.
130	IS: 4736-1968	Specification for hot-dip zinc coatings on steel tubes
131	IS: 4737-1968	Specification for chromite for chemical industries
132	IS: 4738-1968	Specification for plaster of paris bandage
133	IS: 4740-1968	Code of practice for packaging of steel tubes
134	IS: 4741-1968	Specification for snap fasteners (four pieces)
135	IS: 4742-1968	Specification for settling tanks for ghee (aluminium)
136	IS: 4743-1968	Specification for settling tanks for ghee (stainless steel)
137	IS: 4744-1968	Specification for packaging of jute products in rolls
138	IS: 4745-1968	Code of practice for design of cross-section of lined canals
139	IS: 4746-1968	Code of transport of dogs and cats by rail, road and air
140	IS: 4747-1968	Specification for pads for rubber stamps
141	IS: 4750-1968	Specification for sorbitol, food grade
142	IS: 4751-1968	Specification for potassium metabisulphite, food grade
143	IS: 4752-1968	Specification for sodium metabisulphite, food grade
144	IS: 4753-1968	Specification for potassium nitrate, food grade
145	IS: 4754-1968	Specification for staining troughs and jar
146	IS: 4755-1968	Reference zero for the calibration of pure-tone audiometers
147	IS: 4756-1968	Safety code for tunneling work
148	IS: 4758-1968	Methods of measurement of noise emitted by machines
149	IS: 4759-1968	Specification for hot-dip zinc coatings on structural steel and other allied products
150	IS: 4761-1968	Specification for unsupported PVC Rainwear
151	IS: 4762-1968	Specification for worm drive hose clips for general purposes
152	IS: 4763-1968	Specification for manganese ore for production of ferro manganese
153	IS: 4764-1968	Tolerance limits for sewage effluents discharged into inland surface waters
154	IS: 4765-1968	Specification for NEEM kernel oil
155	IS: 4766-1968	Specification for thiram water dispersible powder
156	IS: 4767-1968	Specification for steam-jacketed ghee pans (aluminium)
157	IS: 4769-1968	Specification for trolley, dressing
158	IS: 4770-1968	Specification for rubber gloves for electrical purposes.
159	IS: 4771-1968	Specification for abrasion-resistant iron castings
160	IS: 4775-1968	Specification for picking sticks for underpick cotton looms

(1)	(2)	(3)
161	IS: 4778-1968 . . .	Specification for cotton laces for footwear
162	IS: 4779-1968 . . .	Specification for leather sole and edge wax polishes
163	IS: 4780-1968 . . .	Specification for fresh silver pomfret and brown pomfret
164	IS: 4781-1968 . . .	Specification for fresh threadfin
165	IS: 4788-1968 . . .	Specification for knife, eye, capsulotomy (Ziegler's pattern)
166	IS: 4790-1968 . . .	Specification for knife, eye, corneal splittings (Lang's and Took's patterns)
167	IS: 4791-1968 . . .	Glossary of mining terms (drainage)
168	IS: 4793-1968 . . .	Specification for frozen silver pomfret and brown pomfret
169	IS: 4800(Part-II)-1968 . . .	Specification for enamelled round winding wires Part II maximum overall diameters
170	IS: 4801-1968 . . .	Specification for chemically-bonded magnesite-chrome refractories for roof lining
171	IS: 4802-1968 . . .	Method for determination of colour fastness of textile materials to dry-cleaning
172	IS: 4803-1968 . . .	Method for determination of colour fastness of textile materials to chlorinated water
173	IS: 4806-1968 . . .	Specification for heat coil pliers
174	IS: 4812-1968 . . .	Specification for silica refractories for coke oven
175	IS: 4814-1968 . . .	Specification for chemically bonded magnesite chrome refractories for general purposes

*New Delhi, the 27th January, 1969*

**S.O. 492**—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks), Regulations, 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that two hundred licences particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been renewed.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Licence No. & Date	Period of Validity		Name and address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the licence and the Relevant IS : Designation
		From	To		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	CM/L-41 10-12-1957	16-12-68	15-12-69	Carew & Co. Ltd., Narsamuda, Asansol (West Bengal).	Rectified spirit, grades A and B—IS : 323-1959.
2	CM/L-66 7-2-1958	1-12-68	28-2-69	Woodcrafts (Assam), Props : Jahyshree Tea & Industries Ltd., P.O. Mariani, Distt. Sibsagar (Assam).	Tea-chest plywood panels—IS : 10-1964.
3	CM/L-85 24-4-1958	1-1-69	31-12-69	Hindusthan Timber Industries, 41, Chaulpathy Road, Beliaghata, Calcutta-10.	Do.
4	CM/L-106 4-11-1958	16-12-68	15-12-69	Mysore Chemical Manufacturers Ltd., Chikbanavar P.O., Bangalore District.	Copper sulphate, technical—IS : 261-1966.
5	CM/L-112 26-12-1958	1-1-69	31-12-69	The Kesar Sugar Works, 45-47, Apollo Street, Fort, Bombay-1.	Hydroquinone, photographic grade—IS : 388-1963.
6	CM/L-146 28-9-1959	1-1-69	30-6-69	Bharat Pulverising Mills Private Ltd., 38-A, Sayani Road, Bombay-28.	BHC WDP—IS : 562-1958.
7	CM/L-243 23-11-1960	1-12-68	30-11-69	Hindustan Tin Works Pvt. Ltd., G.T. Road, Ghaziabad.	18-Litre square tins—IS : 916-1966.
8	CM/L-245 28-11-1960	16-12-68	15-12-69	TIPCO, the Industrial Plastics Corpn. Ltd., 14, Hamam Street, Fort, Bombay-1.	Phenolic moulding materials, grades 2 & 3—IS : 1300-1966.
9	CM/L-248 19-12-1960	1-12-68	* 30-11-71	Kaira District Co-operative Milk Producers Union Ltd., Anand (W.R.) Kaira District Gujarat State.	Infant milk food—IS : 1547-1960.
10	CM/L-250 26-12-1960	1-1-69	31-12-69	Krishnaveni Ink Factory, 292, Thiruvottiyur High Road, Madras.	Ferro-gallo tannate fountain pen ink (0.1 percent iron content)—IS : 220-1959.
11	CM/L-251 26-12-1960	1-1-69	31-12-69	Do.	Dye-based fountain pen inks, black, blue, green, violet and red—IS : 1221-1957.
12	CM/L-253 26-12-1960	1-1-69	31-12-69	Travancore Chemical & Mfg. Co. Ltd., Eloor Udyogmandal P.O., Via Alwaye, Kerala State having their Regd. Office at Kalmassery, Alwaye, Kerala State.	Copper oxychloride water dispersible powder concentrates—IS : 1507-1966.

13	CM/L-347 29-10-1961	16-10-68	15-10-69	The Mysore Electro-chemical Works Ltd., Rajajinagar, Yeswantpur, Bangalore.	Lead—acid storage batteries (light duty) for motor vehicles—IS: 395-1962.		
14	CM/L-352 13-10-1961	16-11-68	15-11-69	Devidayal Cable Industries Ltd., Gupta Mills Estate, Darukhana, Reay Road, Bombay-10.	PVC Insulated cables	Voltage grade	Conductor
					(i) Single core (unsheathed)	250/440 & 650/1100 V	Copper or Aluminium
					(ii) Single core (PVC sheathed)	250/440 & 650/1100 V	
					(iii) Circular twin, three four and core (PVC sheathed)	250/440 V	
					(iv) Flat twin with or without ECC (PVC sheathed).	250/440 & 650/1100 V	
					(v) Flat three core (PVC sheathed).	Do.	
					(vi) Circular twin three & four core (PVC sheathed)—IS: 694 Parts I & II—1964.	650/1100 V	Aluminium only.
15	CM/L-358 1-12-1961	1-12-68	30-11-69	Indian Traders Pvt. Limited, Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi.	Rubber Insulated Cables TRS (tough rubber sheathed)	250/440 V	With cop per or alu minium conductors
					Braided & compounded]	250/44 V & 650/1100V	
					Weatherproof	250/440V & 650/1100V	
					—IS: 434(Pt.I)-1964		
16	CM/L-361 27-11-1961	16-12-68	15-12-69	Modi Vanaspati Mfg. Co., Modinagar, Distt. Meerut (U.P.).	18-Litre square tins—IS : 916-1966.		
17	CM/L-366 15-12-1961	1-1-69	31-12-69	Tunghbadra Industries Ltd., Kurnool (Andhra Pradesh).	Do.		
18	CM/L-367 15-12-1961	1-1-69	31-12-69	Bharat Pulverising Mills Pvt. Ltd., 589, Thiruvottiyur High Road, Madras-19.	Emuldrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS : 1310-1958.		
19	CM/L-371 22-12-1961	1-1-69	31-12-69	Berar Oil Industries, Vanasdapeth, Akola	18-Litre square tins—IS : 1916-1966.		

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
20	CM/L-382 9-2-1962.	16-12-68	15-12-69	Narhari Engg. Works, Shah Industrial Estate Amboli Hill, Varsova Road, Andheri (West), Bombay-58.	Three-phase induction motors with class 'A' insulation upto 10 HP only—IS : 325-1961.
21	CM/L-441 31-7-1962	1-1-69	31-12-69	Engineering Products Ltd., Jogeshwari Estate, Ghodbunder Road, Jogeshwari, Bombay.	Do.
22	CM/L-455 14-9-1962	1-11-68	31-10-69	Associated Pigments Ltd., 260, Barrackpore Trunk Road, P.O. Sukchar, 24 Parganas (W. Bengal) having their office at 4 Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta.	Red Lead for jointing purposes—IS : 57-1965.
23	CM/L-456 1-10-1962	16-12-68	15-12-69	Grandlay Electricals (India), Military Prade Road, Radio Colony, Delhi having their office at 3/4 Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi-1	<p><i>Rubber Insulated Cables</i></p> <p>(a) <i>VIR Non-flexible cables.</i></p> <p>(i) Braided and compounded 250/440 V } With Copper or Aluminium conductors. ..</p> <p>(ii) Tough rubber sheathed 250/440 V</p> <p>(iii) Weatherproof 250/440V &amp; 650/1100 V</p> <p>(iv) Braided &amp; compounded 650/1100V } Copper only</p> <p>(v) Tough rubber sheathed 650/1100V</p> <p>(b) <i>VIR Flexible Cords</i></p> <p>(vi) Tough rubber sheathed } Copper only</p> <p>(vii) Twisted twin and braided 250/440 V</p> <p>(viii) Workshop type, un-kinkable</p> <p>(ix) Circular twin &amp; braided—IS: 434 (Part I &amp; II)—1964.</p>
24	CM/L-463 11-10-1962	1-12-68	30-11-69	Basant Pran & Company, Industrial Estate, Baruipur, 24 Parganas, West Bengal.	Metal clad switches, 15 Amp 250 Volts, with HCtype fuse base and carrier—IS : 4064-1967.
25	CM/L-465 30-12-1962	1-12-68	30-11-69	E.A.G. Minerals Supply, B/I, Hide Road, Kidderpore, Calcutta-23 having their head office at P.22, Swallow Lane, Calcutta-1.	BHC dusting powders—IS : 561-1962.



26	CM/L-483 5-12-1962	16-12-68	15-12-69	Lakhi Trading Co., Village Road, Bhandup Bombay-78, having their office at 2nd Jai Hind Estate, Building No. 2, Bhuleshwar, Bombay and Lakhi Industrial House, Agra Road, Bombay-78 (NB).	Wrought aluminium utensils, grade SIC—IS : 21-1959.
27	CM/L-530 29-4-1963	1-12-68	30-11-69	J.B. Norton & Sons Ltd., 50, Debendra Ch- andra Dey Road, Calcutta-15.	Flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals (valveless siphonic type), high level of 10 litres, 12.5 litres and 15 litres capacity and low level of 12.5 litres capacity only IS : 774-1964.
28	CM/L-538 13-5-1963	16-12-68	15-6-69	The Aluminium Industries, Ltd., No. 1, Ceramic Factory Road, Kundara, Kerala State.	Polythene insulated and PVC sheathed aluminium cables— IS 1596-1962
29	CM/L-547 14-6-1963	16-12-68	15-12-69	Sheet and Metal Industries, 134, Jessore Road, Calcutta-28.	Tea-chest metal fittings—IS : 10-964.
30	CM/L-564 19-7-1963	1-12-68	31-5-69	Associated Tubewells (I) P. Ltd., Modinagar having their office at 12, Scindia House, New Delhi.	Flushing cisterns, high level, 12.5 litres capacity and 15 litres capacity—IS 774-1964.
31	CM/L-592 21-10-1963	16-11-68	15-11-69	Shalimar Tar Products (1935) Ltd., 26, Lake Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78.	Preformed fillers for expansion joint in concrete, non-ex- truding and resilient type (bitumen-impregnated fibre IS : 1838-1961.
32	CM/L-598 7-11-1963	1-12-68	30-11-69	Skytone Electricals (India), 43, Industrial Area, Faridabad, having their office at 2655, Sadar Thana Road, Delhi-6.	PVC Insulated Cables : (1) Single core (unsheathed) 250/440 V & Copper 650/1100V   Aluminium (2) Single core (sheathed) 250/440 V With Copper or Aluminium (3) Single core (sheathed) 650/1100V conductors. With copper conductors only and (4) Twin-twisted (unsheath- 250/440 V with Copper ed) flexible cords. Grade conductors only. IS:694 (Part I & II)-1964
33	CM/L-642 4-3-1964	1-1-69	31-12-69	National Laminators, Agarpara, P.O. Kamar hali, 24 Parganas, West Bengal.	Bitumen felts for waterproofing and damp proofing, type 3, grades 1 and 2—IS : 1322-1965.
34	CM/L-654 28-4-1964	16-12-68	15-12-69	Shree Venkateswara Minerals (Pvt.) Ltd., BHC 3, Elaiya Mudali Street, Tondiarpet, Madras-21 having their Registered Office at 337, Thambu Chetty Street, Madras-1	DP—IS : 561-1962.
35	CM/L-752 31-7-1964	1-9-68	31-8-69	Indian Plastics Ltd., Poisar Bridge, Kandivli, Bombay-67 NB.	Plastic water-closet seats and covers—IS : 2548-1967.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
36	CM/L-783 25-9-1964	16-12-68	15-12-69	Jamna Auto Industries, E-46, Industrial Area, Yamunanagar, (Distt. Ambala) having their office at Auto Industries Road, Yamunanagar.	(i) Leaf spring for automobile suspension (ii) Spring leaf for automobile suspension— IS: 1135-1966		
37	CM/L-801 20-10-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Satellite Engg. Ltd., P. O. Maize Products, Kathwada, Ahmedabad-2.	Starters for fluorescent lamps, 20/40/80 watts rating— IS: 2215-1968.		
38	CM/L-834 9-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Special Steels Limited, Dattapara Road, Borivli (East), Bombay-92.	Steelwire for the core of steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS: 398-1961.		
39	CM/L-837 23-11-1964	16-12-68	15-12-69	Lucky Acid & Chemical Works, 32/2, Murari Pukur Road, Calcutta-4.	Nitric acid, AR grade—IS: 264-1950		
40	CM/L-839 23-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Industrial Research Corpn., 2/70, East Madan Street, Thiruvananthapuram Madras.	Dye-based fountain pen ink (blue, green and red)— IS: 1221-1957.		
41	CM/L-840 23-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Shamsher Sterling Cable Corpn. Ltd., Kiroli-Ghatkopar, Bombay-77.	(a) <i>PVC Insulated Cables</i> (i) Single core (unsheathed) (ii) Single core (PVC sheathed) (iii) Flat twin with or without ECC (PVC sheathed) (b) <i>PVC Insulated Flexible Cords</i> (iv) Twin twisted (unsheathed) (v) PVC Insulated & sheathed— IS: 694 (Parts I & II)—1964.	<i>Voltage Grade</i> 250/440 and 650/1100V Do. 250/440V Do. Do.	<i>Conductor</i> Copper or aluminium Aluminium only Do. Copper only Do.
42	CM/L-841 25-11-1964	16-12-68	15-12-69	New Chemi-Minerals Mills Pvt. Ltd., Chakravarti Ashok Road, Industrial Estate, Kandivli (East), Bombay-67 having their office at 7-A, Lean Lane, Fort, Bombay-1.	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates—IS: 562-1962.		
43	CM/L-844 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Baranagore Jute Factory Co. Ltd., 284, Maharaja Nandakumar Road, Alambazar, Calcutta-35 having their regd. office at 4, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	Jute hessian—IS: 2818-1964.		
44	CM/L-845 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	Jute sackings— (1) A-Twill jute bags—IS: 1943-1964 (2) B-Twill jute bags—IS: 2566-1965]		

- (3) Heavy Cee jute bags—IS: 2874-1964.
- (4) Jute corn sacks—IS: 2875-1964.
- (5) B-Twill cloth—IS: 3667-1966.
- (6) Liverpool twill (L-twill) cloth—IS: 3668-1966.
- (7) Jute corn sack cloth—IS: 3750-1966.
- (8) Heavy Cee cloth—IS: 3751-1966.
- (9) Liverpool twill (L-twill) bags—IS: 3794-1966.

45	CM/L-846 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Kanknarrah Co Ltd., 1, Clark Ghat Road, Bhatpura, 24 Parganas having their office at 4, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
46	CM/L-847 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
47	CM/L-848 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Kamarhatty Co Ltd., 907, Graham Road, Kamarhatty, 24 Parganas having their office at 4, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
48	CM/L-849 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
49	CM/L-850 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Howrah Mills Co. Ltd., 493/C/A G. T. Road, South Howrah having their office at 4, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
50	CM/L-851 28-11-64	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
51	CM/L-852 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Budge Budge Amalgamated Mills, (Mill No. 1), 57, Maulana Azad Road, Budge Budge, Calcutta having their office at 8, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
52	CM/L-853 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
53	CM/L-854 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Budge Budge Amalgamated Mills, (Mill No. 3) Manikpore, Sankrail, Howrah having their office at 8, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
54	CM/L-855 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
55	CM/L-856 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Budge Budge Amalgamated Mills, (Mill No. 2), Badakalinagar, Budge Budge, Calcutta having their office at 8, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
56	CM/L-857 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
57	CM/L-858 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Auckland Jute Co. Ltd., Jagatdal, 24 Parganas having their office at Chartered Bank Bldg., Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
58	CM/L-859 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
59	CM/L-860 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The Dalhousie Jute Co. Ltd., Champdany, Distt. Hooghly having their office at Chartered Bank Bldg., Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
60	CM/L-861 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The Kinnison Jute Mills Co. Ltd, Titaghur, 24 Parganas having their office at Chartered Bank Bldg., Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
61	CM/L-862 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-89	Do.	As in CM/L-845
62	CM/L-865 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The Northbrook Jute Co. Ltd., Champdany, Distt. Hooghly having their office at Chartered Bank Bldg., Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
63	CM/L-866 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
64	CM/L-868 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Union Jute Co. Ltd., 12, Convent Lane, Calcutta-15 having their office at Chartered Bank Bldg., Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
65	CM/L-869 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The Gourpore Co. Ltd, Garifa, 24 Parganas having their office at 2, Fairlie Place, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
66	CM/L-870 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
67	CM/L-871 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The Nuddea Mills Co Ltd., Naihati, 24 Parganas having their office at 2, Fairlie Place, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
68	CM/L-872 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
69	CM/L-875 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Angus Co. Ltd., P. O. Angus, Hooghly having their office at 3, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
70	CM/L-876 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
71	CM/L-877 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Samnuggur Jute Factory Co. Ltd., Bhadreswar, Hooghly having their office at 3, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
72	CM/L-878 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845

73	CM/L-879 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Titaghur Jute Factory Co. Ltd., P.O. Titaghur, 24 Parganas having their office at 3, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844.
74	CM/L-880 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
75	CM/L-881 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Victoria Jute Co. Ltd., P.O. Tilinipara, Distt Hooghly having their office at 3, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
76	CM/L-882 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
77	CM/L-883 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Hastings Mills Ltd., Rishra, Hooghly having their office at 14, Netaji Subhash Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
78	CM/L-884 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
79	CM/L-885 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Fort William Co. Ltd., 47/48, Raj Narain Roychowdhury Ghat Road, Shibpore, Howrah having their office at 14, Netaji Subhash Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
80	CM/L-886 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
81	CM/L-887 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Khardah & Co. Ltd., Titaghur, 24 Parganas having their office at 7, Wellesley Place, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
82	CM/L-888 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
83	CM/L-889 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Megna Mills Co. Ltd., P.O. Jagatdal, 24 Parganas having their office at 16, Strand Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
84	CM/L-890 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
85	CM/L-893 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Hukumchand Jute Mills Ltd, 47, Ghoshpara Road, Halishahar having their office at 9, Brabourne Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
86	CM/L-894 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
87	CM/L-895 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Anglo-India Jute Mills Co. Ltd., (Lower Mills), P.O. Jagatdal, 24 Parganas having their office at 31, Netaji Subhash Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
88	CM/L-896 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
89	CM/L-897 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Anglo-India Jute Mills Co. Ltd., (Middle Mill), P.O. Jagatdal, 24 Parganas having their office at 31, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
90	CM/L-898 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
91	CM/L-899 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Naskarpara Jute Co. Ltd., 220/2, Shibgopal Banerjee Lane, Ghusuri, Howrah having their office at 8, Dalhousie Square East, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
92	CM/L-900 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
93	CM/L-903 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Gagalbhai Jute Mills (P) Ltd, Sijberia, P.O. Ulberia, Howrah having their office at 18, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
94	CM/L-904 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
95	CM/L-905 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Caledonian Jute Mills Co. Ltd. 18, Mehta Road, Badekalinagar, Budge Budge, 24 Parganas having their office at 9, Brabourne Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
96	CM/L-906 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
97	CM/L-907 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The Calcutta Jute Mfg. Co. Ltd., 93, Narkeldanga Main Road, Calcutta-11 having their office at 4, Dalhousie Square, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
98	CM/L-908 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
99	CM/L-909 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	India Jute Co. Ltd., P.O. Serampore, Hooghly having their office at 16, Strand Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
100	CM/L-910 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
101	CM/L-911 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Shri Gourishankar Jute Mills (P) Ltd, Ghoshpara Road, P.O. Garulai, Sumnagar, 24 Parganas having their office at 10, Clive Row, Calcutta.	As in CM/L-844
102	CM/L-912 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845

103	CM/L-913 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Bally Jute Co. Ltd., 58, Scott Kerr Road, Bally, Howrah having their office at 16, India Exchange Place, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
104	CM/L-914 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
105	CM/L-915 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Birla Jute Mfg. Co. Ltd., Birlapur, 24 Parganas having their office at 15, India Exchange Place, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
106	CM/L-916 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
107	CM/L-917 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Soorah Jute Mills Co. Ltd., 102, Narkeldanga Main Road, Calcutta-11 having their office at 15, India Exchange Place, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
108	CM/L-918 28-11-1864	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
109	CM/L-919 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The Naihati Jute Mills Co. Ltd., P.O. Hazinagar, 24 Parganas having their office at 33, Netaji Subhash Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
110	CM/L-920 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
111	CM/L-921 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Fort Gloster Industries Ltd., (New Mill), P.O. Fort Gloster, Railway Station Bauria, Distt. Howrah having their office at 21, Strand Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
112	CM/L-922 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
113	CM/L-923 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Fort Gloster Industries Ltd., (North Mill) P.O. Fort Gloster, Rly. Station Bauria, Distt. Howrah having their office at 21, Strand Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
114	CM/L-924 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
115	CM/L-925 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The Hooghly Mills Co Ltd., 9, Garden Reach Road, Kidderpore, Calcutta-43 having their office at 10, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
116	CM/L-926 28-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
117	CM/L-927 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Champdany Jute Co. Ltd., (Wellington Jute), G. T. Road, Rishra Hooghly having their office at 2, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
118	CM/L-928 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
119	CM/L-929 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Alexandra Jute Mills Ltd., Jagatdal, 24 Parganas having their office at 3, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844]
120	CM/L-930 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
121	CM/L-931 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Eastern Mfg. Co. Ltd., Ali Hyder Road, Titaghur, 24 Parganas having their office at 3, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844]
122	CM/L-932 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
123	CM/L-933 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Empire Jute Co. Ltd., Titaghur, 24 Parganas having their office at 3, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
124	CM/L-934 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
125	CM/L-935 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Kelvin Jute Co. Ltd., Titaghur, 24 Parganas having their office at 3, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
126	CM/L-936 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
127	CM/L-939 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	The General Industrial Society Ltd., Gondalpara, Hooghly having their office at 15, India Exchange Place, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
128	CM/L-940 28-II-64	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845]
129	CM/L-941 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	New Central Jute Mills Co. Ltd., (Albion Mills), Budge Budge, 24 Parganas having their office at 11, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
130	CM/L-942 26-II-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845]



131	CM/L-943 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	New Central Jute Mills Co. Ltd., (Lothian Mills), Budge Budge, 24 Parganas having their office at 11, Clive Row, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
132	CM/L-944 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
133	CM/L-945 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Ganges Mfg. Co. Ltd., P. O. Bansberia, Hooghly having their office at 11, Rabindra Sarani, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
134	CM/L-946 26-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
135	CM/L-947 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	The Agarpara Co. Ltd., P. O. Kamarhatti, 24 Parganas having their office at 1 & 2 Old Court House Corner, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
136	CM/L-948 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
137	CM/L-949 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Shree Hanuman Jute Mills, 76, Jogendra Nath Mukherjee Road, Ghosuri, Howrah having their office at 8, Dalhousie Square East, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
138	CM/L-950 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
139	CM/L-957 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Prabartak Jute Mills Ltd, Kamarhatti, B. T. Road, 24 Parganas having their office at 5, Synagogue Street, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
140	CM/L-958 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
141	CM/L-959 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Reliance Jute Mills Co. Ltd., Railway Station Kankinarrah, P. O. Bhatpara, 24 Parganas having their office at 9, Bra-bourne Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
142	CM/L-960 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
143	CM/L-963 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Naffor Chandra Jute Mills Ltd', Kankinarrah, 24 Parganas having their office at 36, Strand Road, Calcutta-7.	As in CM/L-844
144	CM/L-964 28-II-1964	1-12-68	30-II-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
145	CM/L-971 30-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Chittavalsah Jute Mills Co Ltd., Chittavalash, Visakhapatnam having their office at 7 Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
146	CM/L-972 30-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
147	CM/L-973 30-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Nellimaria Jute Mills Co. Ltd., Nellimaria, Visakhapatnam having their office at 3 Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	As in CM/L-844
148	CM/L-974 30-11-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Do.	As in CM/L-845
149	CM/L-975 30-11-1964	16-12-68	15-12-69	Hindustan Chains P. Ltd., G. T. Road, P. O. Pasaunda, Ghaziabad (U.P.)	Wrought aluminium utensils, grade SIC-IS:21-1959
150	CM/L-977 30-11-1964	16-12-68	30-11-69	Industrial Research Corporation 2/70, East Mada Street, Thiruvanniyur, Madras.	Ferro-gallo tannate fountain pen ink, (0.1 percent iron content)— IS:220-1959
151	CM/L-978 2-12-1964	1-12-68	30-11-69	Gladstone Lyall & Co Ltd., 59 Kalicharan Gosh Road, Sinthi, Calcutta-2.	Bitumen felts for waterproofing, type 3, grades 1 & 2. IS:1322-1965
152	CM/L-979 21-12-1964	1-1-69	31-12-69	Periyar Metal Products, Industrial Estate, Ettumanoor, Kottayam (Kerala State)	Wrought aluminium utensils, grade SIC-IS:21-1959
153	CM/L-981 21-12-1964	1-1-69	31-12-69	Industrial Chemical Ltd., Sankarnagar, Talaiyuthu R. S., Tirunelveli District having their Registered office at 175/1 Mount Road, Madras-2.	Calcium carbide, technical— IS:1040-1960
154	CM/L-1154 12-10-1965	16-10-69	15-10-68	Power Products Mfg. Co., Plot No. 5 & 6, Road No. 14, Udhna Udyog Nagar, Udhna, Distr. Surat.	(1) Single-phase small AC electric motors up to 0.75 Kw (IHP) with class 'A' insulation—IS: 996-1964 and (2) Three-phase induction motors up to 0.75 Kw (IHP) with class 'A' insulation—IS : 325-1961.
155	CM/L-1162 4-11-1965	1-1-69	31-12-69	Prem Cables Private Limited, P.O. Pipalia Kalan Via Raipur, Marwar, Distt. Pali (Rajasthan).	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes—IS: 398-1961.
156	CM/L-1163 4-11-1965	16-11-68	15-11-69	Kent Leeds Meter Mfg. Co. Ltd., Signal Hill, Tank Road, Bombay-33.	Water meters (domestic type), 15 mm size—IS: 779-1966.
157	CM/L-1171 6-12-1965	16-12-68	15-12-69	Power Cables Pvt. Ltd. Vitthalwadi, Kalyan	Steel wire for the core of steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS: 398-1961.

158	CM/L-1173 7-12-1965	16-12-68	15-12-69	The Bharat Carbon & Ribbon Mfg. Co. Ltd. 543, Basant Lal Saha Road, P.O. New Alipore, Calcutta-53 having their office at N-75, Bombay Life Building, Con- naught Circus, New Delhi-1.	(i) Carbon paper for type writers, types 1 & 3—IS : 1551-1959 and (ii) Carbon papers for hand writing, type C—IS: 3450- 1966.
159	CM/L-1174 7-12-1965	16-12-68	15-12-69	Krishna Silicate & Glass Works Ltd., Barui- pur, Post office Barui-pur, Distt. 24 Par- ganas, having their office at 17, Radha Bazar Street, Calcutta-1.	Glass milk bottles—IS: 1392-1967.
160	CM/L-1178 13-12-1965	1-1-69	31-12-69	Madhya Pradesh Industries, 31 Industrial Estate, P.O. Birla Nagar, Gwalior.	Hard-drawn stranded and steel-cored aluminium con- ductors for overhead power transmission purposes— IS: 398-1961.
161	CM/L-1182 15-12-1965	16-12-68	16-12-69	Fort Gloster Industries Ltd., Bauria, S. E. Railway (W. Bengal).	PVC Insulated (heavy duty) electric cables for working voltages upto and including 1100 volts—IS: 1554 (Part I)—1964.
162	CM/L-1186 23-12-1965	1-1-69	31-12-69	Indo Engineering (Kota) Private Ltd., Indus- trial Estate Kota (Rajasthan).	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored alu- minium conductors for overhead power transmission urposes—IS: 398-1961.
163	CM/L-1243 15-4-1966	16-12-68	15-6-69	Bharat Pulverising Mills Pvt. Ltd., Andheri Kurla Road, Chakala, Andheri, Bombay —58 having their office at Hexamar House, 28 Sayani Road, Bombay-28.	Methyl parathion emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 2865- 1964.
164	CM/L-1272 31-5-1966	16-12-68	15-6-69	Kisan Chemicals, 127 Industrial Area, Chandigarh.	Dieldrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 1054-1962.
165	CM/L-1273 31-5-1966	16-12-68	15-12-69	Do.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 1310-1958.
166	CM/L-1295 6-7-1966	16-1-69	15-1-70	Anand Insecticides, 4/5 Elaiya Mudali Street, Korukupet, Madras-21 having their office at 17 first Cross Street, C.I.T. Colony, Madras-4.	DDT WDP—IS: 565-1961.
167	CM/L-1334 23-9-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	The Bhor Industries Ltd., Borivili (East) Bombay-66 having their office at Sir Vithaldas Chambers, 16, Apollo Street, Fort, Bombay-1.	Unsupported, flexible vinyl film and sheeting, types 1 & 2—IS: 2076-1962.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
168	CM/L-1342 30-9-1966	1-12-68	31-5-69	Swan India Private Ltd., 12/1 Mathura Road, P.O. Ambar Nagar, Faridabad.	Dye-based fountain pen ink (blue, green and red)— IS: 1221-1957.
169	CM/L-1353 23-11-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Kores India Ltd., Panchpakhadi Village, Hutarna Maruti Road, Thana (West) having their office at Plot No. 10, Off Haines Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Carbon papers of typewriters, type 3—IS: 1551-1969.
170	CM/L-1356 30-11-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Travancore Chemicals & Mfg. Co. Ltd., Eloor, Udyogmandal P.O., Via. Alwaye, Kerala State having their Regd. office at Kalamassery, Alwaye, Kerala State.	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates—IS : 562-1962.
171	CM/L-1358 30-11-1966	1-12-68	30-11-69	Shamsher Sterling Cable Corpn., Ltd., Plot No. 7, S.S.I., Kiorl Ghatkopar, Bombay-77.	(1) <i>Thermoplastic W.P. cables.</i>  Polythene insulated, taped, braided and compounded cables with aluminium conductors of the follow- ing types:  (i) Single core, 250/440 V grade. (ii) Twin core, 250/440 V grade; and (iii) Single core, 650/1100 V grade.  (2) <i>PVC insulated and PVC sheathed cables :</i> Single core, 250/440 V with aluminium conductors— IS-3035 (Part II)-1965.
172	CM/L-1362 30-11-1966	1-12-68	30-11-69	Ankar Industries, Jessore Road, P. O. Madhyamgram, Distt. 24 Parganas.	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates—IS :565- 1961.
173	CM/L-1365 14-12-1966	16-12-68	15-12-69	The Modi Torch Works, Modinagar, Distt. Meerut (U.P.)	Flash lights—IS: 2083-1962.
175	CM/L-1366 16-12-1966	16-12-68	15-12-69	Bramec Suri Private Ltd., G.T. Road, Ghaziabad having their office at 1655 S.P. Mukherjee Marg, Delhi-6.	Automotive brake lining, types 1-A and 1-B—IS: 2742- 1964.
175	CM/L-1367 16-12-1966	16-12-68	15-12-69	Emco General Industries, 6/1, Nawab Ditawarjung Rd., Cossipore, Cal-2.	Low density polythene pipes for cold water services, normal and heavy gauge up to 50 mm size—IS ; 3076 (PT. D)-1965.

176	CM/L-1368 16-12-1966	16-12-68	15-12-69	Metal Udyog Pvt Ltd., Pratapnagar, Industrial Area, Udaipur (Rajasthan).	BHC dusting powders—IS: 561-1962.
177	CM/L-1369 16-12-1966	16-12-68	15-12-69	The Western India Plywoods Ltd., P.O. Baliapatam, Cannanore Dt., Kerala State.	(i) Medium strength aircraft plywood—IS: 709-1957 & (ii) Marine plywood—IS: 710-1957.
178	CM/L-1375 28-12-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Sandoz (India) Ltd., Kolshet Road, Thana having their office at 3 Wittet Road, Bombay-1.	Parathion emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 2129-1962.
179	CM/L-1382 30-12-1966	1-1-69	31-12-69	Camlin Pvt Ltd., Kondivate, Near Marol Bazar, Andheri—Kurla Road, Bombay-59 having their office at 210, Lady Jamshedji Road, Bombay-16.	Ink, duplicating, black, all weather for rotary type machines—IS: 1222-1957
180	CM/L-1430 14-4-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Shree Vallabh Glass Works Ltd. Vallabh Vidyanagar, Via Anand, Gujarat State.	Laminated safety glass—IS: 2553-1964
181	CM/L-1454 12-6-1967	16-12-68	15-6-69	Hindustan Watermeter Industries, Jawahar Ice Factory Compound, Chipara, Rampura Bazar, Kota (Rajasthan), having their office at Naya Katra, Rampura Street, Kota (Rajasthan)	Water meters, 15 mm size, dry-dial, inferential type 'A'—IS: 779-1966
182	CM/L-1508 6-9-1967	16-12-68	15-6-69	Metropole Industries, Pradhankhunta, Distt. Dhanbad.	BHC D P—IS: 561-1962
183	CM/L-1524 15-9-1967	1-12-68	31-5-69	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Plot No. 94, Industrial Estate, Ambathur, Madras-58.	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates—IS: 562-1962.
184	CM/L-1551 24-10-1967	1-1-69	31-12-69	Mahabir Steel Rolling Mills, Qabool Nagar, G.T. Road, Shahdara, Delhi-32.	Rolled steel sections F 4B, FB, F5, F8 and T6 for doors, windows and ventilators—IS: 1038-1957.
185	CM/L-1572 27-11-1967	1-12-68	30-11-69	Arail Brothers, M/4 Milestone, Mathura Road, Faridabad having their office at Chawri Bazar, Delhi-6.	Plastic water-closet seats and covers, type 'A'—IS: 2548-1967
186	CM/L-1575 27-11-1967	16-12-68	15-12-69	J. L. Banerjee & Sons, 47-B, Joy Mitra Street, Calcutta-5.	Tea-chest metal fittings—IS: 10-1964
187	CM/L-1576 29-11-1967	1-12-68	31-5-69	Sudershan Timber Trading Co., Saharanpur Road, P.O. Yamunanagar, Distt. Ambala.	Plywood tea-chest battens—IS: 10-1964
188	CM/L-1577 1-12-1967	1-12-68	31-5-69	Metropole Industries, Pradhankhunta, Distt. Dhanbad, Bihar.	DDT emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 633-1966
189	CM/L-1578 1-12-1967	1-12-68	30-11-69	Jai Chemicals, 14/1, Mathura Road, Faridabad (Haryana)	BHC dusting powder, —IS: 561-1962.
190	CM/L-1579 12-12-1967	16-12-68	15-12-69	Ajeet Industrial Corporation, Naliya Pool (Rly Colony), P.O. Dibrugarh (Assam).	Tea-chest metal fittings—IS: 10-1964
191	CM/L-1582 13-12-1967	16-12-68	15-12-69	Venkateswara Agro Chemicals and Minerals, 6/303 Thiruvottiyur, High Road, Madras-21.	BHC WDP—IS: 562-1962
192	CM/L-1583 13-12-1967	16-12-68	15-12-69	Rattanchand Harjasrai (Mouldings) P Ltd., 54 Industrial Area, Faridabad.	Water closet seats and covers made out of phenolic plastics or area formaldehyde, type 'A'—IS: 2548-1967

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
193	CM/L-1586 14-12-1967	16-12-68	15-11-69	Prakash Pulverising Mills, Industrial Area, Ahwar (Rajasthan).	BHC WDP concentrates—IS: 562-1962
194	CM/L-1587 15-12-1967	16-12-68	15-12-69	Do.	DDT WDP concentrates—IS: 565-1961
195	CM/L-1594 26-12-1967	1-1-69	30-6-69	Selective Chemicals Pvt Ltd., Ruvapari Road, Bhavnagar (Gujrat).	BHC WDP concentrates—IS: 562-1962
196	CM/L-1595 26-12-1967	1-1-69	30-6-69	Do.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 1310-1958
197	CM/L-1601 1-1-1968	1-1-69	31-12-69	A. Maschmajer Kr (India) Pvt. Ltd., Grand Southern Trunk Road, Madras-44.	Musk ambrette—IS: 3131-1965
198	CM/L-1602 1-1-1968	1-1-69	31-12-69	Do.	Musk xylol—IS: 3145-1965
199	CM/L-1603 1-1-1968	1-1-69	31-12-69	The English Electric Co. of India Ltd., 19/1 Officers Lines, Pallavaram, Madras-43.	HRC cartridge fuse links up to 650 volts—IS: 2208- 1962
200	CM/L-1620 12-1-1968	16-1-69	15-1-70	Metal Udyog Private Limited, Industrial Area, Pratapnagar, Udaipur (Rajasthan).	BHC emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 632-1966.

[No. CMD/13 : 12.]

New Delhi, the 28th January 1969

**S.O. 493.**—In pursuance of sub-regulation (4) of regulation 14 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulation 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that licence No. CM/L-1458, particulars of which are given below, has been cancelled with effect from 16 January, 1969:


Licence No. & Date	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the licence cancelled	Relevant Indian Standard
CM/L—1458 15-6-1967	M/s Meher Tiles, Subhas Nagar, Caves Road, Jogeshwari (East), Bombay-60 having their office at Meher House, 15 Cawasji Patel Street, Bombay-1.	Cement Concrete Flooring Tiles Brand : 'MEHER'	IS : 1237—1959 Specification for Cement Concrete Flooring Tiles.

[No. CMD/55 : 1458]

**S.O. 494.**—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) 1955 the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Standard Mark design of which together with the verbal description of the design and the title of the relevant Indian Standard is given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been specified.

This Standard Mark for the purpose of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Act, 1952 and the Rules and Regulations framed thereunder shall come into force with effect from 1 February 1969 :

## THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Design of the Standard Mark	Product/Class of Product	No. and Title of the Relevant Indian Standard	Verbal description of the design of the Standard Mark
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	IS : 245 	Trichloroethylene, technical	IS : 245-1962 Specification for trichloroethylene, technical ( <i>revised</i> )	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution, consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.

[No. CMD/13 : 9]

**S.O. 495.**—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fee per unit for trichloroethylene, technical, details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been determined and the fee shall come into force with effect from 1 February 1969:

## THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Product/Class of Products	No. and Title of Relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee per Unit
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	Trichloroethylene, technical	IS : 245-1962 Specification for trichloroethylene, technical ( <i>revised</i> )	One Tonne	Rs. 3.00



[No. CMD/13 : 10]

*New Delhi, the 30th January 1969*

**S.O. 496.**—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955 the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Standard Mark(s), design(s) of which together with the verbal description of the design(s) and the title(s) of the relevant Indian Standard(s) are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been specified.

These Standard Mark(s) for the purpose of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Act, 1952 and the Rules and Regulations framed thereunder, shall come into force with effect from 1 January, 1969 :

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Design of the Standard Mark	Product / Class of Product	No. and Title of the Relevant Indian Standard	Verbal description of the Design of the Standard Mark
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	IS : 416 	Cricket and hockey balls	IS : 416-1963 Specification for cricket and hockey balls (revised)	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution, consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.
2	IS : 3584  TECHNICAL	Camphor, technical	IS : 3584-1966 Specification for camphor	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side and the word 'TECHNICAL' being subscribed under the bottom side of the monogram as indicated in the design.

[No. CMD/13 : 9]

(DR.) A. K. GUPTA,  
Dy. Director General.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(Office of the Joint Chief Controller of Imports and Exports, Bombay)

ORDERS

*Bombay, the 9th January 1969*

**S.O. 497.**—A licence No. P/SS/1560863, dated 30th October, 1967, of the value of Rs. 14,445/- for import of Zinc, Tin was issued to Messrs Rashmi Industries, Rural Works Shed, Station Road, Harsood, Madhya Pradesh.



2. Thereafter, a show cause notice No. 1/90/68/AU.Enf./3670, dated 3rd/10th July, 1968, was issued asking them to show cause within 15 days as to why the said licence in their favour should not be cancelled in terms of Clause 9, sub-clause (cc) on the ground that they had not produced any record of utilization of one ton of Tin allotted to them by the Deputy Director of Industries, Khandwa in 1967 and that they have also not declared arrivals of any materials from imports nor produced them for inspection by that office. They have been continuously failing to respond to any of the communications addressed to them by that office.

3. Messrs Rashmi Industries, Rural Works Shed, Station Road, Harsood, Madhya Pradesh, have not come forward with sufficient reasons nor have they sent any communication in reply to the aforesaid Show Cause Notice.

4. The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the said licence No. P/SS/1560863, dated 30th October, 1967 will not serve the purpose for which it was granted.

5. Having regard to what has been stated in the preceding paragraph, the undersigned is satisfied that the licence in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore, the undersigned, in exercise of the powers vested in him under Clause 9, sub-clause (cc) of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955, hereby cancel the licence No. P/SS/1560863, dated 30th October, 1967 for Rs. 14,445/- issued in favour of Messrs Rashmi Industries, Rural Works Shed, Station Road, Harsood, Madhya Pradesh.

To

M/s. Rashmi Industries,  
Rural Works Shed,  
Station Road, Harsood,  
Madhya Pradesh.

[No. 1/90/68/AU-Enf.]

S.O. 498.—A licence No. P/SS/1559403, dated 21st August, 1967 of the value of Rs. 1,734/- for import of A. P. Sheets was issued to Messrs Pravin Plastics, Lakhavadi Bhagol Mahesana, Gujarat.

2. Thereafter, a Show Cause Notice No. 1/83/68/AU/Enf./3846, dated 23rd July, 1968 was issued, asking them to show cause within 15 days as to why the said licence in their favour should not be cancelled in terms of Clause 9, sub-clause (cc) on the ground that they failed to account for the raw materials imported against the licence No. P/SS/1525182, dated 31st December, 1964 for Rs. 867/- issued to them for import of Acrylic Plastic Sheets during April—March, 1965 period and that the communications addressed to them in this connection by the office of the Industries Commissioner, Ahmedabad, were returned undelivered by the Postal Authorities with the remarks "Not found".

3. Messrs Pravin Plastics, Lakhavadi Bhagol, Mahesana, Gujarat, have not so far come forward with sufficient reason nor have they sent any communication in reply to the aforesaid Show Cause Notice.

4. The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the said licence will not serve the purpose for which it was granted.

5. Having regard to what has been stated in the preceding paragraph, the undersigned is satisfied that the licence in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore, the undersigned, in exercise of the powers vested in him under Clause 9, sub-clause (cc) of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955, hereby cancel the licence No. P/SS/1559403, dated 21st August, 1967, for Rs. 1,734/- issued in favour of Messrs Pravin Plastics, Lakhavadi Bhagol, Mahesana, Gujarat.

[No. 1/83/68/AU/Enf.]

B. C. BANERJEE,

Deputy Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.  
for Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

To

M/s. Pravin Plastics,  
Lakhavadi Bhagol, Maheshna,  
Gujarat.

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 13th January 1969

S.O. 499.—Messrs The Fertilizer Corporation of India Ltd., P.O. Sindri District Dhanbad (Bihar), were granted licence No. G/AU/1034047/R/1A/26/CH/26, dated 25th November, 1967 for Import of spares for Plant and Machinery valued at Rs. 2,30,000/-. They have requested for the issue of duplicate copy of the licence on the ground that the original Customs Purposes copy of the licence has been misplaced by them. It has been further reported by the licensee that the licence was lost after utilising Rs. 7,000/-. The licence has been registered with Collector of Customs, Bombay.

2. In support of their contention the applicant have filed an affidavit. The undersigned is satisfied that the original Customs copy of the licence has been lost and directs that a duplicate licence for Customs purposes should be issued to them. The original Customs Purposes copy of the licence is cancelled. A duplicate copy of the licence is being issued separately.

[No. Cent/Fert./23/67-68-PLS.]

P. C. VERMA.

Deputy Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

(Office of the Joint Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

(Central Licensing Area)

### ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 21st January, 1969*

**S.O. 500.**—M/s. Swaran Trading Co., 40/9, Shakti Nagar, Delhi-6 were granted an import licence No. P/S/1611942C/XX/28/D/27-28 dated 14th August, 1968 for the import of Acrylic Plastic Sheets, Cellulose Acetate Butyrate Moulding Powder and Polystyrene Moulding Powder for Rs. 16,293/- (Rupees sixteen thousand, two hundred and ninety three only) on General Area. They have applied for the issue of duplicate copy of both Customs as well as Exchange Control Copy thereof on the ground that original licence in duplicate has been lost without having been utilized and without having been registered with any Custom House.

2. The applicant have filed an affidavit on stamped paper in support of their contention as required under para 299(2) read with Appendix 8 of the I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure, 1968. I am satisfied that the original licence (in duplicate) has been lost and

3. In exercise of the powers conferred on me, under Clause 9(CC) Imports (Control) Order, 1955 dated the 7th December, 1955 as amended up-to-date, I order cancellation of both Customs as well as Exchange Control Copy of Licence No. P/S/1611942C/XX/28/D/27-28 dated 14th August, 1968.

4. The applicants are now being issued a duplicate copy both of Customs as well as Exchange Control Copy of the said import licence in accordance with para 299(2) of I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure, 1968.

[No. F. S-4/AM-69/AU-U.T./CLA.]

*New Delhi, the 25th January 1969*

**S.O. 501.**—M/s. Hafiz Abdul Jabbar Hafiz Shah Mohd., Village Sheikhpur, P.O. Gopalpur, Distt., Jaunpur (U.P.) were granted an import licence No. P/EP/2578962 dated 4th September 1968 for Rs. 10,850 under erstwhile export Promotion Scheme for import of (1) Wool tops combed in India/Raw Wool/Shoddy wool for Rs. 8,410 including manmade fibre/tow/yarn including Nylon Yarn upto Rs. 7328 (2) Dyes and Chemicals of permissible type only Acid and direct Dyes will be free from face value restrictions for Rs. 2,440 for S. No. 47/V, 122-XIV/IV, I-B-III, 22-31-V and 177-IV for January-March, 1966 period. They have now applied for the duplicate customs purposes copy of the licence on the grounds that the original custom purpose copy of the licence has been lost/misplaced. They have also stated that the original custom purposes copy of the licence was not registered with any custom house and has not been utilized.

In support of this declaration, the applicant has filed an affidavit duly attested stating that the original custom purpose copy of the licence has been lost/misplaced.

I am satisfied that the said original custom purpose copy of the licence No. P/EP/2578962 dated 4th September 1968 has been lost and direct that a duplicate custom purpose copy should be issued to the applicant. The original custom purpose copy of licence is cancelled.

[No. Carpet-1034/66/EPS-II/SC.IV/CLA.]

*New Delhi, the 28th January 1969*

**S.O. 502.**—M/s. The Indian Motor Transport Co. (P) Ltd., Karnal were granted licence No. P/AU/1211714/C/XX/26/CD/25-26 dated 5th March, 1968 for import of Motor Vehicle Parts of permissible Types as per Appendix 26 to the Red Book for AM—68

period worth Rs. 16,500/-. They have applied for a duplicate copy for Exchange Control Purposes thereof on the ground that original has been lost/misplaced. It is further stated that the original licence was not registered with any customs authority and was not utilized at all.

(2) In support of this contention, the applicant has filed necessary affidavit as required under para 299(2) read with Appendix 8 of the I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure, 1968. I am satisfied that the original exchange control purposes copy of Licence No. P/AU/1211714/C/XX/26/CD/25-26 dated 5th March, 1968 has been lost/misplaced and

(3) In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Clause 9 (c) Import (Control) order, 1955 dated 7th December, 1955 as amended upto date, I order cancellation of Exchange Control copy of licence P/AU/1211714/C/XX/26/CD/25-26 dated 5th March, 1968.

(4) The applicants are now being issued a duplicate copy of the said licence for exchange control purposes in accordance with para 299(2) of I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure, 1968.

[No. FO/13/AM-68/AU-Misc/CLA.]

*New Delhi, the 29th January 1969*

**S.O. 503.**—A licence No. P/SS/1610661/C, dated 30th March, 1968, of the value of Rs. 6,000/- for import of Acrylic Plastic Sheets was issued to Messrs Nihal Industries, No. 761, Village and P.O. Mundka, Delhi.

2. Thereafter, a show cause notice No. N-9/68/Enf./CLA/8085, dated 16th November, 1968, was issued asking them to show cause within 15 days as to why the said licence in their favour should not be cancelled on the ground that the Central Government is satisfied that the licence will not serve the purpose for which it was issued in terms of Clause 9, sub-clause (cc) of Imports (Control) Order, 1955, as amended.

3. Messrs Nihal Industries, No. 761 Village and Post Office Mundka, Delhi, have not replied to the said show cause notice.

4. Having regard to what has been stated in the preceeding paragraph, the undersigned is satisfied that the licence in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore, the undersigned, in exercise of the powers vested in him under Clause 9, sub-clause (cc) of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955, hereby cancel the licence No. P/SS/1610661/C, dated 30th March, 1968, for Rs. 6,000/- issued in favour of Messrs Nihal Industries, No. 761, Village and Post Office Mundka, Delhi.

[No. N-9/68/Enf./CLA/11169.]

J. S. BEDI,

Joint Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(Office of the Deputy Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

#### ORDERS

*Kanpur, the 22nd January 1969*

**S.O. 504.**—The following licences were issued to M/s. Nagendra Industries, 6983, Pushkar Pd. Street, Naubasta, Agra:—

- |   |                                     |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1. No. P/SS/1623272 dt. 30-1-1968<br>for Rs. 930/-  | } For the import of Leather Splits. |
| 2. No. P/SS/1583748 dt. 5-8-1968<br>for Rs. 9,070/- |                                     |

Thereafter a Show Cause Notice No. Enf. I. (173)/1968/KAN dated 9th/11th November, 1968 was issued asking them to Show Cause within seven days of the date of receipt of the notice as to why the licence in their favour should not be cancelled, on the ground that the same was obtained on a false statement regarding installation of machinery in their factory, in terms of clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955.

In response to the aforesaid show cause notice M/s. Nagendra Industries had by their letter No. Imp/67-68 dated 19th Oct. 1968 furnish explanation and they contended that the licences were granted after an enquiry made by the officer of the Industries Deptt. regarding premises of the factory and installation of machinery etc. hence notice regarding cancellation be withdrawn.

The undersigned has carefully examined the said representation and has come to the conclusion that the reasons advanced by the party are inconvincing so far as it has been reported by the D.I. that no machinery was installed in the factory of their unit.

Having regard to what has been stated in the preceeding paragraph, the undersigned is satisfied that the licences in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore, the undersigned in exercise of the powers vested in him under clause 9 sub-clause (cc) of the Imports (Control) Order, 1955 hereby cancels the said licences No. P/SS/1623272 dated 30th January, 1968 and No. P/SS/1583748 dated 5th August, 1968 for Rs. 930/- and Rs. 9070/- respectively issued in favour of M/s. Nagendra Industries, 6983, Pushkar Street, Naubasta, Agra.

To

M/s. Nagendra Industries,  
6983, Pushkar Prasad Street,  
Naubasta,  
Agra.

[No. Enf. I(173)/1968/KAN.]

Kanpur, the 24th January 1969

**S.O. 505.**—The following licences were issued to M/s. Bharat Industries, 61/36 Seoka Bazar, Agra :—

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1. No. P/SS/1623556 dt. 27-2-1968 for Rs. 10,000/- | } For the import of German Silver Scrap-Graphite Crucible above No. 100. |
| 2. No. P/SS/1623557 dt. 27-2-1968 for Rs. 8,000/-  |  |

Thereafter a Show Cause Notice No. Enf. I(197)/1968/Kan dated 2-1-1969 was issued asking them to Show Cause Within Ten days of the date of receipt of the notice as to why the said licences in their favour should not be cancelled, on the ground that their factory has closed down and that they will not serve the purpose for which they were issued, in terms of clause 9, sub clause (CC) of Import (Control) Order, 1955 as amended.

The above show cause notice has been returned undelivered by postal authorities with the remarks that firm failed.

The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the party has no defence to urge and has avoided a reply.

Having regard to what has been stated in the preceding paragraph, the undersigned is satisfied that the licences in question should be cancelled or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore, the undersigned in exercise of the powers vested in him under clause 9 sub-clause (cc) of the Import (control) Order 1955 hereby cancels the licence No. P/SS/1623556 dated the 27th February, 1968 for Rs. 10,000/- and No. P/SS/1623557 dated 27th February, 1968 for Rs. 8,000/- issued in favour of M/s Bharat Wire Industries, 61/36, Seoka Bazar, Agra.

To

M/s. Bharat Wire Industries,  
61/36, Seoka Bazar,  
Agra.

[No. Enf. I(197)/1968/KAN.]

**S.O. 506.**—The following licence was issued to M/s. Sanmati Bangle Stores, Mob. Kumbuan, Firozabad :—

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| No. P/SS/1623581 dt. 8-2-1968<br>for Rs. 3937/- | } For the Import of Bronze Powder, Polythelene Moulding Powder (High/Low Density). |
|   |  |

Thereafter a show cause notice No. Enf. (20)/1968/Kan dated 16th July, 1968 was issued asking them to show cause within 7 days as to why the item Bronze Powder should not be deleted, from their AM-68 licensing period licence for the reason that it was included in it inadvertently, in terms of clause 7 of Import (Control) Order, 1955.

In response to the aforesaid show cause notice no reply has been received from M/s. Sanmati Bangle Stores, Firozabad. The stipulated period of 7 days is over as the aforesaid notice was received by them on 17th July, 1968 as the Postal Acknowledgment Card on record shows:

The under signed has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the party has no defence to urge and has avoided a reply.

Having regard to what has been stated in the preceding paragraph the undersigned is satisfied that the item in question should be deleted or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore the undersigned in exercise of powers vested in him under clause 7 of the Import (Control) Order. 1955 hereby deletes the item Bronze Powder from the licence

mentioned above issued in favour of M/s. Sanmati Bangle Stores, Moh. Kambuan, M/s. Sanmati Bangle Stores, Moh. Kambuan, Ferozabad.

[No. ENF. I(150)/1968/KAN.]

**S.O. 507.**—The following licences were issued to M/s. Verma Plastic Works, C-15/152, Lallapura, Varanasi.

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1. P/SS/1623107 dt. 8-1-1968<br>for Rs. 10,000/- | } | For the import of Polythelene Moulding Powder (High/Low density) Acrylic Plastic Sheets, Cellulose Acetate Butyrate Moulding Powder, Cellulose Nitrate Sheets, Polystrene, Polypropylene Moulding Powder. |
| 2. P/SS/1623108 dt. 8-1-1968<br>for Rs. 18,500/- |   |   |

Thereafter a show cause notice No. Enf. I(133)/1968/KAN, dated 5th July, 1968 was issued asking them to show cause within 7 days as to why the item Polystrene should not be deleted, from their AM-68 licensing period licences for the reason it was included in them inadvertently, in terms of clause 7 of Import (Control) Order, 1955.

In response to the of aforesaid show cause notice no reply has been received from M/s. Verma Plastic Works, Varanasi. The stipulated period of 7 days is over as the aforesaid notice was received by them on 9th July, 1968 as the Postal Acknowledgement Card on record shows:

The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the party has no defence to urge and has avoided a reply.

Having regard to what has been stated in the preceeding paragraph the undersigned is satisfied that the item in question should be deleted or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore the undersigned in exercise of powers vested in him under clause 7 of the Import (Control) Order 1955 hereby deletes the item Polystrene from the licences mentioned above issued in favour of M/s. Verma Plastic Works, Varanansi.

M/s. Verma Plastic Works,  
C-15/152, Lallapura,  
Varanasi.

[No. Enf. I(133)/1968/KAN.]

**S.O. 508.**—The following licences were issued to M/s. Prakash Celluloid and Glass Chemical Works, Kambuhan Street, Firozabad—

- |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| 1. P/SS/1623095 dt. 8-1-1968<br>for Rs. 10,000/- | } | For the Import of Cellulose Nitrate Sheets Diacelone Bronze Powder, Cellulose Scrap Acrylic Plastic Sheets Polythelene Moulding Power (Low density). |
| 2. P/SS/1623096 dt. 8-1-1968<br>for Rs. 1,025/-  |   |  |

Thereafter a show cause notice No. Enf. I(134)/1968/KAN dated 5th July, 1968 was issued asking them to show cause within 7 days as to why the item Bronze Powder should not be deleted, from their AM-68 licensing periods licences for the reason it was included in them inadvertently, in terms of clause 7 of Import (Control) Order, 1955.

In response to the aforesaid show cause notice no reply has been received from M/s. Prakash Celluloid and Glass Chemical Works. The stipulated period of 7 days is over as the aforesaid notice was received by them on 9th July, 1968 as the Postal Acknowledgement Card on record shows:

The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the party has no defence to urge and has avoided a reply.

Having regard to what has been stated in the preceeding paragraph the undersigned is satisfied that the item in question should be deleted or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore the undersigned in exercise of powers vested in him under clause 7 of the Import (Control) Order 1955 hereby deletes the item Bronze Powder from the licences mentioned above issued in favour of M/s. Prakash Celluloid and Glass Chemical Works.

M/s. Prakash Celluloid & Glass Chemical Works,  
Kambuhan Street,  
Firozabad.

[No. Enf. I(134)/1968/KAN.]

**S.O. 509.**—The following licences were issued to M/s. B. Kumar Industries, Mohalla, Kamphuhan, Firozabad:—

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1. P/SS/1623316 dt. 2-2-1968<br>for Rs. 10,000/- | } | For the Import of Cellulose Nitrate Sheets Bronze Powder, Dacetone, Cellulose Acetate film Scrap Acrylic Moulding Powder and Polythelene Moulding Powder. |
| 2. P/SS/1623317 dt. 2-2-1968<br>for Rs. 7,166/-  |   |   |

Thereafter a show cause No. Enf. I(137)/1968/KAN dated 5th July, 1968 was issued asking them to show cause within 7 (seven) days as to why the item Bronze Powder should not be deleted, from their AM-68 licensing period licences for the reason that it was included in them inadvertently, in terms of clause 7 of Imports (Control) Order, 1955.

In response to the aforesaid show cause notice no reply has been received from M/s. B. Kumar Industries, Firozabad. The stipulated period of 7 days is over as the aforesaid notice was received by them on 9th July, 1968 as the Postal Acknowledgement Card on record shows:

The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the party has no defence to urge and has avoided a reply.

Having regard to what has been stated in the preceeding paragraph the undersigned is satisfied that the item in question should be deleted or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore the undersigned in exercise of powers vested in him under clause 7 of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 hereby deletes the item Bronze Powder from the licences mentioned above issued in favour of M/s. B. Kumar Industries, Mohalla Kamphuan, Firozabad.

M/s. B. Kumar Industries,  
Mohalla Kamphuan,  
Firozabad.

[No. Enf. I(137)/1968/KAN.]

**S.O. 510.**—The following licences were issued to M/s. S. M. Goil Plastic and Chemical Industries, Laxmi Nivas, Gher Asgaran, Firozabad:—

1. P/SS/1623160 dt. 19-1-1968  
for Rs. 2,375/-
2. P/SS/1623161 dt. 19-1-1968  
for Rs. 10,000/-
3. P/SS/1623707 dt. 12-3-1968  
for Rs. 10,000/-  
for Rs. 2,375/-
4. P/SS/1623708 dt. 12-3-1968  
for Rs. 2,375/-
5. P/SS/1582943 dt. 27-3-1968  
for Rs. 10,000/-  
for Rs. 2,375/-
6. P/SS/1582944 dt. 27-3-1968  
for Rs. 2,375/-

For the Import of Cadimium Metal,  
Cellulose Acetate Film Scrap, Cellulose  
Nitrate Sheets Trachlorothana, Butter Paper,  
Diacetone Alcohol Polythene Moulding  
and Polystrene.

Thereafter a show cause notice No. Enf. (20)/1968/KAN dated 16th July, 1968 was issued asking them to show cause within 7 days as to why the item Polystrene should not be deleted, from their AM-68 licensing period licences for the reason that it was included in them in advertently in term of cluse 7 of Import (Control) Order, 1955.

In response to the aforesaid show cause notice no reply has been received from M/s. S. M. Goil Plastic & Chemical Industries, Firozabad. The stipulated period of 7 days is over.

The undersigned has carefully examined the case and has come to the conclusion that the party has no defence to urge and has avoided a reply.

Having regard to what has been stated in the preceeding paragraph the undersigned is satisfied that the item in question should be deleted or otherwise rendered ineffective. Therefore the undersigned in exercise of powers vested in him under clause 7 of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 hereby deletes the item Polystrene from the licences mentioned above issued in favour of M/s. S. M. Goil Plastics & Chemical Industries, Firozabad.

M/s. S. M. Goil Plastics & Chemical Industries,  
Laxmi Nivas, Gher Asgaran,  
Firozabad.

[No. ENF. I(152)/1968/KAN.]

O. N. ANAND.

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 25th January 1969

**S.O. 511.**—Shri Phunam Singh S/O Shri Bhola Singh was granted the Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/2352469/N/MN/29/H-27-28 dated 4-12-68 for Rs. 12,000/- for import of 1967 Fiat 850 car. He has applied for a duplicate of the Customs Clearance

Permit, on the ground that the original Customs Clearance Permit has been lost. It is further stated that the original Customs Clearance Permit was not registered with any Customs House and not utilized.

In support of this contention Shri Phunam Singh has filed an affidavit. I am satisfied that the original Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/2352469/N/MN/29/H/27-28 has been lost and direct that a duplicate Customs Clearance Permit be issued to him. The original Customs Clearance Permit is cancelled.

[No. F. 2(R-176)/68-69/BLS/5491.]

P. C. NANDA,

Deputy Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

### ORDER

*New Delhi, the 31st January 1969*

**S.O. 512.**—Messrs. Prem Enamel & Metal Factory, Delhi, were granted licence No. P/D/2164888/C, dated 26th August, 1968, for import of Point Sharpner, Cadmium red etc., for Rs. 24,000/-. They have applied for issue of duplicate licence on the ground that the original (both copies) has been misplaced/lost without having been registered with any customs authority and utilised at all.

In support of this contention Messrs. Prem Enamel & Metal Factory, Delhi, have produced an affidavit. The undersigned is satisfied that the original licence has been lost/misplaced. Therefore, in exercise of the power conferred under clause 9(cc) of the Import Control Order, 1955, dated 7th December, 1955, as amended, the original licence is hereby cancelled.

A duplicate licence is being issued separately.

M/s. Prem Enamel & Metal Factory, Bara Hindu Rao, Delhi.

[No. Enamel/533/67-68/R.M.6/1750.]

G. S. SHARMA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

## MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

*New Delhi, the 25th January 1969*

**S.O. 513.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Malabar Group of Shipping Companies comprising—

1. The Malabar Steamship Company Ltd., Cochin-2.
2. Messrs. New Dholera Steamships Limited, Cochin.
3. The National Steamship Company Ltd., Cochin-2.
4. Messrs. New Dholera Shipping and Trading Company Ltd., Cochin-2.

and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16th January 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. CGIT-25 OF 1966.

### PARTIES S

Employers in relation to the Malabar Group of Shipping Companies comprising  
(1) The Malabar Steamship Company Ltd., Cochin-2. (2) Messrs. New Dholera Steamships Limited, Cochin. (3) The National Steamship Company Ltd., Cochin-2. (4) Messrs. New Dholera Shipping and Trading Company Ltd., Cochin-2.

AND

Their workmen

## PRESENT :

Shri A. T. Zambre, Presiding Officer

## APPEARANCES :

*For the employers*—Shri K. V. R. Shenoi, Advocate of Messrs. Menon and Pai with Shri Rathensi Panchen, Manager.

*For the workmen*—Shri T. C. N. Menon, Advocate, Shri P. Sankaran Kutty, Advocate and Shri K. D. Kurup, Advocate.

STATE : Kerala.

INDUSTRY : Ports and Docks.

*Bombay, dated the 28th December, 1968*

## AWARD PART I

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment have by their order No. 28(86)/65-LRIV dated 14-4-1966 referred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Malabar Group of Shipping Companies and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the following schedule:—

## SCHEDULE

1. Whether the workmen are entitled to revision of the existing pay scales and dearness allowance? If so, to what extent, and from what date such revision is to be given effect to and how the workmen are to be fitted in the revised pay scales?
2. Whether the demand for linking the dearness allowance with the cost of living index is justified? If so, how the dearness allowance is to be paid and from what date.
3. Whether the following demands of the workmen are justified, If so to what extent and from what date?
  - (a) Special allowance for clerks doing typing work.
  - (b) Medical aid.
  - (c) Revision of Provident Fund rate.
  - (d) Raising of retirement age.
  - (e) Increase in the gratuity.
4. Whether the workmen are entitled to bonus for the years 1961-62, 1962-63 and 1963-64? If so, to what extent?
5. Whether the demands for free supply of uniforms, footwear, and umbrellas to the peons, watchmen and drivers and free supply of umbrellas and rain coats to the clerks doing outdoor duties are justified? If so to what extent?
6. Whether the action of the management of Messrs. Malabar Steamship Company Limited in dismissing Shri N. Gangadharan driver is justified. If not to what relief is he entitled?

2. The employers in this case are a group of four joint stock companies registered under the Indian Companies Act, viz., (1) Malabar Steamship Company Ltd., Cochin-2 (2) Messrs. New Dholera Steamship Ltd., Cochin (3) The National Steamship Company Ltd., Cochin-2 and (4) New Dholera Shipping and Trading Company Ltd., Cochin-2. The first three companies are coastal shipping companies doing the business of carrying coastal cargo and the fourth is doing the work of stevedores and boatowners for transporting cargo from shore to ship and *vice versa*.

3. The employees of the group of four companies are the members of the Cochin Commercial Employees' Association and they had through the union made to the management various demands such as holidays, revision of conditions of service, increase in wages and dearness allowance and linking it to the cost of living index, leave, working hours etc. By their letter dated 8-3-1965 they had also claimed for the years 1961-62, 1962-63 and 1963-64 bonus for the staff equal to two months salary for the first two years and six months salary for the third year. The other grievance was about the dismissal of an employee.



The management had by their order dated 26-12-1962 dismissed the driver of the company Shri N. Gangadharan and the workmen had also made a demand about his reinstatement. The matter was also referred to the Conciliation Officer (Central) Ernakulam but as it could not be settled Government have referred the dispute for adjudication as stated in the schedule.

4. The union had by their statement of claim contended that the four companies taken together and individually were prospering concerns increasing their profits year after year and have established themselves on a very firm financial and business foundation for some time. The wages of the workmen were fixed as early as in the year 1957. The cost of living had increased enormously thereafter and the workmen were entitled to revision of the pay scales and dearness allowance and other allowances. They have further alleged that there were surplus profits after meeting the prior charges to justify the demand for bonus and they were entitled to get bonus for the years in question. Regarding the dismissal of Shri Gangadharan they have alleged that he was wrongly dismissed from service and the action of the management was in violation of the principles of natural justice. The decision was perverse and he should be reinstated in service with back wages.

5. The management has by their reply denied the allegations and has contended that the companies were not prosperous and were struggling for existence and the reserves of the companies were meagre and the existing pay scales and other terms and conditions of service were fixed in the year 1957 and they were to ensure for a long period. No changes material or otherwise have taken place subsequent to the settlement and the existing pay scales of the staff were fair and adequate and compared very favourably with those in other comparable establishments and the workmen were not entitled to any revision.

6. Regarding bonus they have alleged that the management had paid bonus of one month's pay to the workmen for the year 1962-63 and 4 per cent of the annual pay for the year 1963-64 without prejudice to the contention of the management that the workmen are not entitled to any bonus and in view of the settlements the workmen are not justified in demanding additional bonus for the years 1962-63 and 1963-64. Regarding the issue of the dismissal of Shri N. Gangadharan they have admitted that the workmen was in the employ of the company as a driver and have contended that when the car was sent to the workshop on the 14th October 1962 it was noticed that there was no engine oil at all in the engine which caused considerable damage to the engine and the management was put to loss. Some of the tools in the car like jack, spanners, rubber tubes, pump etc., were found missing. The driver had not reported the loss at any time and hence Shri Gangadharan was charge-sheeted for the misconduct of gross negligence in the discharge of his duties in that he was not keeping car KLR 3191 properly. In the domestic enquiry Shri Gangadharan was found guilty of the misconduct and hence after a proper enquiry he was dismissed validly and he was not entitled to reinstatement.

7. On 23-4-1968 the date on which the dispute was fixed for hearing both the parties submitted that out of the six issues they had settled four issues and the question of bonus and dismissal of the driver should be heard. They have also produced the terms of settlement and have requested the Tribunal to accept the terms and pass an award accordingly.

8. By the terms of settlement the management has agreed to revise the basic pay scales and also introduce a dearness allowance scheme to the members of the staff which is based upon the Ernakulam cost of living index for the month of March 1967. They have also calculated the basic salary on the revised scale as on 1-8-1967 together with the dearness allowance and have produced a list of the employees giving their salary in the revised scales and the dearness allowance. It will be further clear from the settlement that the management had agreed to pay to the typists a machine allowance of Rs. 5/- per month and have further introduced medical benefits insurance scheme. They have also agreed to start deducting contribution to the provident fund at 8 1/3 per cent of the wages from November 1966. The peons, watchmen and drivers will be given three uniforms per year. They will also be given an umbrella every two years. As the management has linked the dearness allowance to the cost of living index it shall have to be held that the terms of settlement are fair and reasonable.

9. The matter was thereafter adjourned for hearing on the issue of bonus and the dismissal of the driver Shri N. Gangadharan. On the date of hearing at Ernakulam on 18-12-1968 the union filed a statement to the effect that they do not press their demand for bonus for 1961-62 and additional bonus for the years 1962-63 and 1963-64. It is clear from the statement that the management has paid some bonus to the workmen for the two years and taking into consideration all the facts and circumstances of the

case in my opinion the terms of both the settlements are fair and reasonable and I accordingly pass an award in terms of the settlement dated 23-4-1968 and the statement of the union dated 31st October 1968—annexures A and B which shall form part of this award.

10. As the parties wish to lead further evidence on the issue regarding the dismissal of the driver Shri N. Gangadharan award Part II in respect of the same will follow after the evidence and the hearing.

Fix the Reference for further hearing.

A. T. ZAMBA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,  
Bombay.

#### ANNEXURE 'A'

#### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY

REFERENCE NO. CGIT-25 OF 1966 (CENTRAL)

#### *Memorandum of Settlement submitted by the Parties*

The parties have settled fully and finally all the issues referred for adjudication in the above dispute as follows, except issue Nos. 4 and 6 regarding bonus and the dismissal of N. Gangadharan, Driver, respectively. It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to accept the terms of the settlement and pass an award in terms thereof.

1. *Issue Nos. 1 and 2 : Salary scales and dearness allowance :* The parties have agreed to the following revised basic pay scales for staff employees with effect from 1st April 1967.

<i>Classified Category.</i>	<i>Basic Wage Scale.</i>
1. Clerks	
'B' Grade Junior	215—15—350.
'C' Grade	120—8—160—EB—10—270.
'C' Grade Junior	90—6—120—8—160.
2. Senior Peons & Watchmen	60—5—125.
Junior Peons	50—4—70—5—115.
3. Driver	65—5—130.

After fitment the basic salary of the various employees as on 1-8-1967 in the grades given to each employee is as shown in the list attached hereto as Annexure 'A'.

#### 2. *Dearness allowance :*

(a) At present the employees are paid consolidated salaries. However, the Management has now decided to fix the basic wage scales as stated in Annexure 'A' and to introduce dearness allowance scheme to its staff members as shown in Annexure 'A' which is based on the Ernakulam cost of living index for the month of March 1967 which is 728. The dearness allowance which the existing employees will get is also given in the Annexure 'A'.

(b) *Variable dearness allowance:*—The variation for every rise or fall of 10 points in the Ernakulam cost of living index after 728 index number shall be as under:

1st slab basic salary between	1 to 100 (Rupees)—3% minimum Rs. 3.
2nd slab " " "	101 to 300 (Rupees)—2%
3rd slab " " "	301 to 500 (Rupees)—1%

(c) The index number compiled for a particular month shall have relation and be reflected in the computation of dearness allowance not of that month but the next third month. For instance a workman will get his dearness allowance computed on the index number of January only in the month of March immediately succeeding.

3. The above rates are fixed on the basis of the existing method of calculation of the cost of living index figure published in the Kerala Gazette and if that method is changed, the rates will be suitably modified.

4. *Issue No. 3 :*

(a) *Special allowance for typists :* The typists will get a machine allowance of Rs. 5/- per month effective from 1st April, 1967.

(b) *Medical Aid :* The Management has agreed to introduce medical benefits insurance scheme to the office staff with effect from 1st June 1968. The premium for such insurance will be borne by the Companies.

(c) *Provident Fund :* The Management has started deducting contributions at 8 1/3% of the wages towards provident fund with effect from November 1966. This will continue.

(d) *Retirement Age :* Fifty five years. But the Management will have the option to extend the period of service beyond the retirement age for one year at a time.

(e) *Gratuity :* The scheme in force in the Bombay Office will be followed here.

5. *Issue No. 5: Free supply of uniforms, footwear and umbrellas to peons, watchmen and drivers and free supply of umbrella and rain coats to clerks doing outdoor duties.*

(a) The peons and watchmen and drivers will be given three uniforms per year consisting of a pant and a shirt. They will also be given an umbrella every two years. No footwear will be supplied.

(b) Clerical staff doing regular outdoor work will be supplied with an umbrella once in every two years.

(c) The employees will not be supplied with rain coats.

6. *Free tea :* The Management shall forthwith provide tea or coffee once in a day preferably in the afternoon.

7. *Retrospective effect :* The Union does not press the demand in view of the Management having agreed to pay *ex-gratia* payments to its staff members as shown in the Annexure 'A'.

8. *Period of the Settlement :* This settlement shall be deemed to have come into force from 1st April, 1967 and shall remain in force till 31st March, 1970 and shall continue thereafter unless terminated by either side by two months notice in writing and during the period of this settlement the Cochin Commercial Employees Association on behalf of the workmen agrees not to raise any demand involving any further financial commitments on the part of the Management except with regard to bonus.

Dated this the 20th day of April, 1968.

(Sd.)

(Sd.) K. V. R. SHENOI,

Manager.

Menon & Pai,

The Malabar Steamship Co. Ltd.,

Advocates for the Management.

and its three sister companies.

For the Workmen :

(Sd.)

(Sd.) T. C. N. MENON,

General Secretary.

Advocate for the Union.

The Cochin Commercial Employees Association.

ANNEXURE 'A'

Name	Category	Consolidated pay on 1-8-1967	Basic 'pay as on 1-4-1967	Dearness allowance	Exgratia prior to April, 1967
1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>Clerk</b>					
1. K.P. Alexander	'B' Junior	310.00	260.00	125.00	460.00
2. A. Narayanan Pillai	'C' Grade	240.00	200.00	100.00	370.00
3. N.K. Janardhanan	'C' "	240.00	200.00	100.00	370.00
4. K.V. Achamby	'C' "	240.00	200.00	100.00	370.00
5. Bhagawandas Velji	'C' "	240.00	200.00	100.00	370.00

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6.	Dayalji Vishram	'C' Junior	240.00	200.00	100.00	370.00
7.	K.M. Augustine	'C' Grade	220.00	180.00	100.00	370.00
8.	Gopal Ratanshi	'C' "	200.00	160.00	100.00	370.00
9.	T.B. Neelambaran	'C' "	180.00	144.00	102.00	406.00
10.	M.N.K. Menon	'C' "	180.00	144.00	102.00	406.00
11.	V.V. Asher	'C' "	180.00	144.00	102.00	406.00
12.	V.S. Vittalnath	'C' "	170.00	136.00	102.00	418.00
13.	M.K. Amirkhan	'C' "	155.00	120.00	102.00	412.00
14.	N.S. Sivan	'C' "	147.50	120.00	102.00	457.00
15.	Govindji Visanji	'C' "	140.00	120.00	102.00	502.00
16.	R.S. Sisupalan	'C' Junior	115.00	96.00	85.00	400.00
17.	K.N. Thangappan Nair	'C' "	110.00	90.00	85.00	400.00
Peons & Watchmen Senior						
18.	K. Velayudhan Nair	"	105.00	75.00	85.00	340.00
19.	P.N. Bhaskaran Nair	"	105.00	75.00	85.00	340.00
20.	K. Madhava Panicker	"	105.00	75.00	85.00	340.00
21.	M.K. Krishnan	" Junior	85.00	50.00	70.00	220.00
22.	Bhim Bahadur	" "	90.00	50.00	70.00	190.00
23.	Bhaskaran	Driver	90.00	65.00	85.00	370.00

## Dearness Allowance Scheme

Revised basic salary as on 1-8-1967	D.A. Ernakulam Cost of living index No. 728	Revised basic salary on 1-8-1967	D.A. Ernakulam Cost of living index No. 728
--	---	-------------------------------------	---

## 'B' Grade Junior Clerks

215  
230  
245  
260  
275  
290  
305  
320  
335  
350

125  
125  
125  
125  
125  
125  
125  
125  
125  
125

## 'C' Grade Clerks

120  
128  
136  
144  
152  
160  
170  
180  
190  
200  
210  
220  
230  
240  
250  
260  
270

102  
102  
102  
102  
102  
100  
100  
100  
100  
100  
100  
120  
120  
120  
120  
120  
120

## 'C' Grade Junior Crks

90  
96  
102  
108  
114  
120  
128  
136  
144  
152  
160

85  
85  
85  
85  
85  
102  
102  
102  
102  
102  
100

## Peons &amp; Watchmen—Junior

50  
54  
58  
62  
66  
70  
75 to 115

70  
70  
70  
85  
85  
85  
85

Revised basic salary as on 1-8-1967	D.A. Breakdown cost of living index No. 728	Revised basic salary as on 1-8-1967	D.A. Breakdown cost of living index No. 728
--	---	--	---

		<i>Driver</i>	
<i>Peons &amp; Watchmen—Senior</i>		65	85
		70	85
		75	85
		80	85
		85	85
60 to 120	85	90	95
125	95	95	95
		100	95
		105	95
		110	95
		115	105
		120	105
		125	105
		130	105

ANNEXURE 'B'

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. CGIT No. 25 OF 1966 (CENTRAL)

*Statement submitted by the Union in the above dispute.*

The parties have fully and finally settled the dispute regarding bonus which is the subject matter of issue No. 4 in the above case as per the Memorandum of Settlement between the parties dated the 30th October 1968. Hence the Union does not press their demand for bonus for 1961-62 and their claim for additional bonus for 1962-63 and 1963-64.

Dated this the 31st day of October, 1968.

T. C. N. MENON,  
Advocate for the Union.

P. K. CHAKRAPANI,  
General Secretary,  
Cochin Commercial Employees Association,  
(For the Workmen)  
[No. 28/86/65-LR. IV]

*New Delhi, the 28th January 1969*

**S.O 514.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Arbitrator, in the industrial dispute between the Paradip Port Trust and their workmen represented by Paradip Port Workers' Union, Paradip which was received by the Central Government on the 15th January 1969.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF LABOUR COMMISSIONER  
(CENTRAL)

*New Delhi, the 9th December 1968*

[No. 11(89)/68/CON.I.]

**In the matter of arbitration in the industrial dispute between the Paradip Port Trust and their workmen represented by the Paradip Port Workers' Union, Paradip (Orissa) under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.**

**PRESENT :**

Shri O. Venkatachalam, Chief Labour Commissioner and Arbitrator.

*Representing the Port Trust*—1. Shri R. N. Mohanty, Chairman, Paradip Port Trust.

2. Shri S. K. Das, Labour Officer, Paradip Port Trust.

*Representing workmen*—Shri N. Khuntia, President, Paradip Port Workers Union.

The Chairman, Paradip Port Trust and the Paradip Port Workers Union arrived at an agreement on 10th July 1968 and submitted the same in Form 'C' appended to the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules agreeing voluntarily to refer the following matters in dispute for my arbitration under Section 10A of the I.D. Act, 1947 :—

(1) "Whether the management have correctly implemented the following recorded decisions taken during the discussion held on 12th July 1967 between the

management of Paradip Port and the General Secretary, All India Port and Dock Workers Federation in fixing the pay of Wiremen and Linemen :

"At present there are two scales of pay for Wiremen and separate scale of Rs. 80—110 for junior Wiremen. It was decided to check up if the Junior Wiremen possess a licence, they should be taken in as Grade III Wiremen. The three grades of Wiremen should be in three different scales of pay viz. Rs. 110—131; Rs. 125—155 and Rs. 150—180.

104, 105 and 106 Linemen: It was recommended that there should be three different scales of pay as in the case of Electrician instead of two scales already approved by the M.O.T. and S."

If not, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled?

(2) From which date Khalasis redesignated as Helpers are entitled to the new scale of pay Rs. 70—95 with a starting pay of Rs. 75/-.

(3) If the workmen who have lost in their basic pay consequent upon the change over from the State Government scales to Central Government Scales on 1st June 1966 are entitled to protection of their basic pay and if so, whether the concession suggested by the management as per Board's proceedings dated 22nd April 1968 is adequate. If not, to what relief they are entitled?"

2. The arbitration settlement was duly notified by the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) in the official Gazette with their Order No. 28(56)/68-LR/III dated 5th August 1968. In the said settlement the parties further agreed that the Arbitrator should make his award within a period of three months or within such further time as may be extended by mutual agreement in writing. Subsequently, the parties extended the time-limit for making the award by two months, i.e. till 9th December 1968.

3. At my request the parties submitted written statements of their case and also counter-statements on each other's statements endorsing a copy thereof to the other party. These statements and counter-statements set out in detail the full facts of the case as well as their contentions. Without reproducing them in extenso, I shall only refer to the essential facts and contentions in so far as they are material for appreciating the issues involved in the dispute.

4. The history of this dispute is that on representations made by the All India Port and Dock Workers Federation to the Government of India on various matters concerning the workers of all major ports in the country, a meeting was held between the representatives of the Federation and the Ministries of Transport and Labour on 19th July 1966 when, among other things, the following decision was taken regarding the Paradip Port :—

"It was agreed in principle that Central pay scales and allowances as also the wage increase recommended by the Wage Board will be extended to employees of the Paradip Port authority. Details will be settled by negotiation between the Administrator and the Federation."

The Administrator, Paradip Port accordingly appointed a Committee to examine the existing pay scales for different categories of posts with a view to fitting them into one or the other Central scales of pay adopted for corresponding categories in a comparable port and also to re-classify or re-designate the existing posts wherever necessary to fall in line with the other ports. Subsequently, the scales of pay suggested by the Committee were intimated to the Paradip Port Workers Union whose President, Shri Nishamani Khuntia, gave his tentative consent to their introduction in order to avoid immediate hardship to the employees caused by the sudden discontinuance of pay by the State Government consequent on the taking over of the Port by the Central Government from 1st June 1966. He, however, made it clear that his Federation would examine the scales and furnish its comments in due course. The comments of the Federation were later sent to the Port Administration on which there were negotiations between the parties on 12th December 1966 and 12th July 1967. The Port Administration agreed to make certain changes in the pay scales already adopted by them keeping in view the recommendations of the Classification and Categorisation Committee for Major Ports (hereinafter referred to as C.C.C.). There was disagreement between the parties on certain matters.

5. Coming to the specific matters referred by the parties for my arbitration, the position is as follows:—

#### **Wiremen and Linemen—**

The agreed decisions between the Port Administration and the Federation taken on 12th July 1967 regarding the wiremen have already been reproduced in item (1) of the specific matters in dispute. Before the Central Government assumed responsibility for the Port from 1st June 1966, the Port had wiremen and linemen in 3 grades

*viz.* Grade 'A' (Rs. 100-155), Grade 'B' (Rs. 80-120) and Junior Grade (Rs. 60-75), 'A' and 'B' Grade men being treated as skilled and the Junior Grade men being treated as semi-skilled. This was the position when the Port was under the administrative control of the State Government. After the Central took over the Port from 1st June 1966, those three grades were re-named as Grade I (Rs. 125-155), Grade II (Rs. 110-131) and Junior Grade (Rs. 80-110) respectively. In so doing, the Port Administration did not give Grade I (Rs. 150-180) of C.C.C. to any of the wiremen or linemen on the ground that the existing grades under the State Government were on par with the skilled Grade II (Rs. 125-155) and Grade III (Rs. 110-131) of C.C.C. and with the semi-skilled Grade I (Rs. 80-110) of C.C.C. Their further contention was that the actual gradation of wiremen and linemen was done on the basis of their skills and nature of work. In support of this contention they pointed out during the hearing that the State grades of electrician *viz.* Grade 'A' (Rs. 150-180) and Grade 'B' (Rs. 100-155) were placed in the Grade I (Rs. 150-180) and Grade II (Rs. 125-155) respectively of C.C.C. scales ignoring the skilled Grade III as well as the semi-skilled Grade I of C.C.C. in their case. They contended that while they were committed to the principle of having three scales of pay for skilled wiremen and linemen instead of two as at present, the highest scale of Rs. 150-180 (Grade I of C.C.C.) should be brought into force only in accordance with the recommendations of the C.C.C. which laid down that there should be trade tests before the skilled workmen with approved service are allowed to proceed to the next higher scale (para 27 of the C.C.C. Report). The same view has also been taken by the Superintending Engineer (Electrical and Mechanical) of the Paradip Port Trust whose expert view has been sought during the pendency of this arbitration case. He even went to the extent of saying that qualifications, duties and responsibilities of wiremen and linemen have not been formulated as yet in Paradip Port with the result that some of the existing staff has not got the requisite qualifications as in Vizag Port and that wiremen at Paradip are not employed in wiring of crafts, polyphase motors, etc. and further there are some whose works are not upto the mark. He also stated that trade tests and qualifications are absolutely necessary before the wiremen and linemen can be fixed up in the proper grade. In the larger interests of the Port and its efficient working, I must appreciate the stand taken by the Port Trust Engineer on this issue. At the same time, I must accept the contention of the Union that the Port Administration had in their settlement with the Federation committed themselves to the introduction of 3 scales for the skilled wiremen and linemen instead of two scales as at present. I, therefore, direct the Administration to introduce Grade I (Rs. 150-180) of C.C.C. for wiremen and linemen, and after giving trade test to the existing wiremen and linemen, to place them in the appropriate Grade I, II or III of C.C.C. w.e.f. 12th July 1967, the date of their agreement with the Federation. I am unable to accept the union's contention that this should be done w.e.f. 1st June 1966 when the Port was taken over by the Central Government because it was specifically understood between the Federation and the Government of India on 19th July 1966 that while agreeing in principle that Central scales and allowances etc. will be extended to employees of Paradip Port, details thereof will be settled by negotiations between the Administration and the Federation. The details as to what scales of pay should be awarded to the different categories of Port employees were settled between the parties, in so far as the wiremen and linemen are concerned, only on 12th July 1967 when they agreed that the wiremen and linemen should be given three different scales of pay *viz.* Rs. 110-131, Rs. 125-155 and Rs. 150-180. I, therefore, find it difficult to go beyond 12th July 1967 for giving effect to my direction.

6. With regard to the mutual decision of the Port Trust and the Federation for placing such of the Junior wiremen as had possessed the certificate of the State Licensing Board in the skilled Grade III of C.C.C., the representatives of the Administration accepted this obligation during the hearing before me, and stated that 3 of the junior wiremen and 6 of the junior linemen were found to possess the certificates issued by the State Licensing Board. The only difference between the Port Trust and the Union is the date from which they should be placed in Grade III (Rs. 110-131), the Union contending that they should be given this grade w.e.f. 1st June 1966 when the Central Government took over the Port. Considering the terms of the agreement between the Port Trust and the Federation and other relevant factors, it would be fair and equitable to the junior wiremen involved if they are placed in Grade III from the respective dates on which they were granted the certificate by the State Licensing Board but not earlier than 1st June 1966 in any case, and I direct accordingly.

#### *Khalasies redesignated as helpers*

7. The relevant facts of this case are that about 154 helpers (Grade III) who used to be in the same scale of pay as Khalasies *viz.* Rs. 45-55 during the State Government's April 1968 when the relevant orders were issued by the Administration. This date of scales were introduced w.e.f. 1st June 1966. The Union's complaint is that the helpers

being semi-skilled should have continued to be helpers even after the Port was taken over by the Central Government from 1st June 1966 and they should have been in the scale of Rs. 75-95 w.e.f. that date. This matter was duly considered by the Port Trust Board on 6th December 1967 when it was decided that such of the posts of Khalasies as are required to be manned by semi-skilled persons should be re-designated as helpers in the scale of Rs. 70-95 but with a starting pay of Rs. 75/- and the existing incumbents should be shown against these posts provided they are found suitable. In accordance with this decision, 140 helpers were fitted in the scale of Rs. 70-95 (with a higher start of Rs. 75/-) w.e.f. 19th April 1968 when the relevant orders were issued by the Administration. This date of operation of the decision has been stoutly disputed by the Union which claims that it should be operative from 1st June 1966. As regards the actual number of wiremen involved in this case, the Administration furnished the relevant data showing that out of 154 helpers as on 31st May 1966 (the last date of State Government Administration of the Port) who were redesignated as Khalasies w.e.f. 1st June 1966, 21 of them were later retrenched leaving a balance of 133 helpers as per old designation, but the Administration in fact redesignated 140 Khalasies as Helpers w.e.f. 19th March 1968 in pursuance of the Port Trust Board's decision dated 16th December 1967. Having regard to the circumstances of this case and the agreements between the Central Government and the Federation on the one hand and the Port Trust and the Federation on the other, it would meet the ends of justice if the Port Administration determines the dates from which the different helpers in question have been performing semi-skilled work and place them in the scale of Rs. 70-95 (with higher initial start of Rs. 75/-) from the respective dates, subject to the condition that in no case shall any such date be earlier than 1st June 1966 when the Central Government took over this Port nor later than 19th April 1968 when the Port Trust Board's decision to redesignate them as Helpers in the higher scale was implemented by the Administration. I direct accordingly.

8. I shall later give my award on the remaining item (3) of the terms of reference in this case

(Sd-) O. VENKATACHALAM,

Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) and Arbitrator.

#### OFFICE OF THE CHIEF LABOUR COMMISSIONER (CENTRAL)

(No. 11(89)/68/Con. I.)

New Delhi, the 8th January 1969

In the matter of arbitration in the industrial dispute between the Paradip Port Trust and their workmen represented by the Paradip Port Workers' Union, Paradip (Orissa) under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

#### PRESENT :

Shri O. Venkatachalam, Chief Labour Commissioner & Arbitrator

Representing the Port Trust—1. Shri R. N. Mohanty, Chairman, Paradip Port Trust.

2. Shri S. K. Das, Labour Officer, Paradip Port Trust.

Representing workmen—Shri N. Khuntia, President, Paradip Port Workers Union.

This case of arbitration arose out of an agreement arrived on 10th July, 1968 between the Chairman, Paradip Port Trust and the Paradip Port Workers Union on three different issues. I had earlier given my award on items 1 and 2 and deferred my award on item 3 thereof, vide my decision No. 11(89)/68/Con.I dated 9th December, 1968. Both the parties have since extended the time-limit for my award on the remaining issue till 9th January, 1969.

2. The facts and circumstances set out in paragraphs 1 to 4 of my decision referred to above hold good *mutatis mutandis* to this case namely item 3 of the reference to me which reads as under:—

"If the workmen who have lost in their basic pay consequent upon the change over from the State Government scales to Central Government scales on 1st June, 1966 are entitled to protection of their basic pay and if so, whether the concession suggested by the management as per Board's proceedings dated 22nd April, 1968 is adequate. If not, to what relief they are entitled?"



3. According to the Port Trust, while bringing over the Port employees (including work-charged employees) from the State scales of pay to the Central scales of pay with effect from 1st June, 1966, the pay was regulated as follows:—

“Basic pay in the State scale *plus* Dearness Allowance, if any, at State rates *minus* Dearness Allowance at the Central rates. The pay so arrived at to be fixed under F.R.22(a)(ii).”

There was, no doubt, no loss in the total emoluments of any of the employees as on 1st June, 1966. But some employees sustained loss in basic pay due to the aforesaid procedure of fixing pay. The Board in its sixth meeting held on 22nd April, 1968 decided on compassionate ground that the basic pay should be protected by giving reducible personal pay with effect from 1st June, 1966 with the approval of the Government of India. The Administration contends that these employees are not entitled to full protection of their basic pay in coming over to different scales of pay under another Government as it cannot be deemed to be a revision of the existing scales. They also feel that the provisions of the Government of India's decision No. 3 below F.R.22(c) will also not apply to the cases under consideration, as there was no assumption of higher responsibilities in any of these cases consequent on the introduction of the pay scales. When assumption of higher responsibilities was not involved, pay is fixed under F.R.22(a)(ii) as was in fact done in the case of these employees.

4. The union's case is that the scales of the Classification & Categorisation Committee (Jeejeebhoy Committee) for Major Ports were introduced in Paradip Port with effect from 1st June, 1966 on the basis of the following agreement reached between the Government of India and the All India Port and Dock Workers Federation on 19th July, 1966:—

“It was agreed in principle that Central Pay Scales and Allowances as also the wage increases recommended by Wage Board will be extended to employees at the Paradip Port Authority. Details will be settled by negotiations between the Administrator and Federation.”

The union invited attention to the following formula adopted by the Ministry of Transport in 1958 (based on the recommendation of the Classification & Categorisation Committee) while implementing the C.C.C. scales in major ports viz,

“The pay in revised scale shall be fixed at such minimum stage in that scale as would give the incumbent an increase in pay which is not less than the last increment drawn by him in the scale applicable to him prior to the revision. Of course no one should get more than the maximum of the revised scale.”

The management, however, took the stand that the C.C.C. formula referred to above, cannot be invoked in the present case because this is not a case of revision of pay scales on the implementation of the C.C.C. scales of pay but only the extension of the Central pay scales and allowances to the Paradip Port employees consequent on the transfer of the Port from the State Government to the Central Government. While there is some force in the Port Administration's contention, it appears to me that this case deserves special consideration in view of the agreement dated 19th July, 1966 between the Central Government and the All India Port and Dock Workers Federation referred to above as well as the following decision reached at the meeting between the Port authorities and the Federation's representative on 12th July, 1967:—

“The question of giving some advance increments in deserving cases may be taken up with Government after full justification is given by the Federation.”

The Federation later furnished the necessary justification as envisaged in the above decision but the Paradip Port Trust Board at its meeting on 22nd April, 1968 had decided to give only reducible personal pay to the employees concerned from 1st June, 1966 instead of giving them any advance increments as per the aforesaid decision. The Board's decision gives only a temporary relief to the workers concerned which in the circumstances set out above cannot be considered adequate, especially as it does not give any weightage to the past service on a permanent basis.

5. According to the Paradip Port Workers Union, 56 employees sustained loss in basic pay due to the procedure of fixation of pay adopted in this case, the amount of loss being Rs. 5/- p.m. in case of 28 employees, Rs. 6/- in case of 9 employees, Rs. 10/- in case of 2, Rs. 12/- in case of 2, Rs. 13/- in case of one, Rs. 15/- in case of 7, Rs. 18-25 in case of 6 and Rs. 40/- in case of two employees. However, from the information received through the Ministry of Transport and Shipping it appears that as many as 104 employees had suffered a loss in their basic pay ranging from Rs. 5/- to Rs. 51/- per month. Even if their total emoluments remained the same, that is not enough consolation to the employees because the basic pay has its own importance for various purposes such as retrenchment, compensation, retirement benefits, etc. The union's case for protection of

the basic pay of these employees therefore deserves some consideration, particularly in the context of the agreements reached between the Federation and the union concerned on the one hand and the Ministry of Transport and the Paradip Port Administration on the other. I, therefore, direct that the workmen who have suffered a loss in their basic pay consequent upon the change over from the State Government to the Central Government from 1st June, 1966 shall be granted one advance increment from that date in the relevant Central scales of pay if they had completed two years or more of service in the Port on 31st May, 1966. As regards the remainder of the loss in the basic pay, if any, suffered by the employees, it may be treated as personal pay to be absorbed in future increments as decided by the Port Trust Board at its meeting on 22nd April, 1968. I have not given them full protection of basic pay because that might disproportionately increase their total emoluments creating anomalies *vis-a-vis* the other workers who did not suffer any loss in basic pay as on 1st June, 1966.

(Sd.) O. VENKATACHALAM,

Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) & Arbitrator.

[No. 28/56/68-LR.III.]

*New Delhi, the 3rd February 1969*

**S.O. 515.**—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 28th February, 1969.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

#### *Draft Scheme*

1. This Scheme may be called the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Second Amendment Scheme, 1969.

2. In clause 11 of the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, in item (g), for the proviso the following proviso shall be substituted namely:—

“Provided that the creation of posts the maximum salary of which exclusive of allowances is upto Rupees three hundred per month and appointment of persons to such posts shall be subject to clauses 8(1) and 9(i)(j);”

[No. 56/15/68-Pw.II.]

#### ORDER

*New Delhi, the 27th January 1969*

**S.O. 516.**—Whereas the employers in relation to the Cochin Port Trust, Cochin and their workmen represented by Cochin Thuramugha Thozhilali Union, Cochin have jointly applied to the Central Government for reference of an industrial dispute that exists between them in respect of the matter set forth in the said application and reproduced in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the persons applying represent the majority of each party;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal No. 2 Bombay constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the demand for changing the ‘roster off’ system to giving Sunday off as the weekly day of rest in respect of Grade II Supervisors and Markers, Sorters and Checkers, is justified?

[No. 29/47/68-LR.III.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 29th January 1969

S.O. 517.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Khas Mehtadih Colliery Company, P.O. Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 22nd January, 1969.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 3) AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 80 OF 1968

**PRESIDENT:—**

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, *Presiding Officer*.

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the Khas Mehtadih Colliery.

AND

Their Workmen.

**APPEARANCES:**

*For Employers:—*Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate.

*For Workmen:—*Shri S. Das Gupta, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

**INDUSTRY:** Coal.

**STATE:** Bihar.

*Dhanbad, dated the 14th of January 1969*

**AWARD**

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Khas Mehtadih Colliery Company, P.O. Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 1/1/67-LR-II, dated the 3rd of February, 1967 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:—

**SCHEDULE**

"Whether the management of Khas Mehtadih Colliery of Messrs Khas Mehtadih Colliery Company, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad was justified in refusing to pay wages to the pick miners named below for their doing the work of cutting stone bands at No. 8 Seam bottom Incline of Khas Mehtadih Colliery with effect from the 10th November, 1966?

1. Shri Polawan Chamar,
2. Shri Dura Chamar.
3. Shri Dukoo Chamar,
4. Shri Paseram Chamar,
5. Shri Sanker Chamar,
6. Shri Mahabir Chamar,
7. Shri Dharamdoo Chamar,
8. Shri Munilal Chamar,
9. Shri Mewa Chamar,
10. Shri Kishun Chamar,
11. Shri Ganesh Chamar,
12. Shri Kera Chamar,
13. Shri Bandhoo Bhar,
14. Shri Jaikaran Chamar,
15. Shri Somaroo Chamar.

16. Shri Jagtoo Chamar.
17. Shri Kharpatoo Chamar.
18. Shri Lachhan Chamar.
19. Shri Piarj Chamari.
20. Shri Magan Chamar.
21. Shri Bhawani Rabidas.
22. Shri Domaury Chamar.
23. Shri Sankar Bhat.
24. Shri Munar Bhar.
25. Shri Lachhiram Bhar.
26. Shri Gama Bhar.
27. Shri Chauthi Bhar.
28. Shri Biswanath Chamar.
29. Shri Bara Dukhanti Chamar.
30. Shri Haradoo Chamar.
31. Shri Kubor Chamar.
32. Shri Lotan Bhunia.
33. Shri Ramker Chamar.
34. Shri Dharam Rawani.
35. Shri Gobind Chamar.
36. Shri Dasrath Chamar.
37. Shri Moonu Gope.
38. Shri Sharmoo Gope.
39. Shri Boltan Chamar.
40. Shri Sadhul Mian.
41. Shri Sahamali Mian.
42. Shri Hakim Mian.
43. Shri Babulal Chamar.
44. Shri Bhagbat Bhar.
45. Shri Bhirgoo Pasi.
46. Shri Melec B'n.
47. Shri Batohi Bin.
48. Shri Dharandhar Bin.
49. Shri Pasram Bin.
50. Shri Sikaran Chaman.
51. Shri Jaimangal Chamar.
52. Shri Saran Chamar.
53. Shri Dewoo Chamar.
54. Shri Balkishun Chamar.
55. Shri Pasram Gope.
56. Shri Nankoo Singh.
57. Shri Khedan Singh.
58. Shri Firdos M'an.
59. Shri Mohan Bhunia.
60. Shri Nurmohammed.
61. Shri Ram Brich Chamar.
62. Shri Deochand Chamar.
63. Shri Jangi Bhar.

If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 11 of 1967 on its file. While it was pending there the proceeding was transferred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Dhanbad by the

Central Government's Order No. 8/25/67-LR-II, dated the 8th of May, 1967 where it was numbered as reference No. 209 of 1967. By its subsequent Order No. 8/71/68-LR-II, dated the 13th of August, 1968 the dispute was transferred to this tribunal and here it has been renumbered as reference No. 80 of 1968.

3. It is unnecessary to state the respective cases of the parties because they negotiated the dispute and have settled it amicably. The dispute was whether the management was justified in refusing to pay wages to the pick miners named in the order of reference for their doing the work of cutting stone bands at No. 8 seam bottom incline of Khas Mehtadih Colliery with effect from the 10th November 1966?

4. According to the terms of compromise the management had been paying to the pick miners in question with effect from the 10th June, 1968 the sum of 25 paise (Twenty five paise only) per tub of 40½ c.ft. capacity for cutting and loading stone and coal whenever stone bands appeared in the working faces and the miners had to cut the same along with coal and that this rate has been increased with effect from the 1st January, 1969 to 28 paise (Twenty eight paise only) per tub of 40½ c.ft. capacity.

5. I consider the terms of compromise as satisfactory and fair. The compromise is therefore, accepted and the award is made in terms of the compromise. The memorandum of settlement is enclosed herewith and made part of the award.

6. The award may now be submitted to the Central Government under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA, Presiding Officer,  
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court  
No. 3, Dhanbad.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 3 DHANBAD

REFERENCE NO. 80 OF 1968.

BETWEEN

Employers in relation to Khas Mehtadih Colliery.

AND

Their workmen

*Memorandum of Settlement*

The parties aforesaid beg to jointly submit as under:—

1. The dispute involved in the present reference has been amicably settled between the parties on terms hereinafter stated.

2. By mutual agreement, verbally entered into between the pick miners of 8 seam Bottom here in concerned and the management, the latter had been paying to the said pick miners with effect from 10th June, 1968 the sum of 25 paise (Twenty five paise only) per tub of 40½ c.ft. capacity for cutting and loading stone and coal whenever stone bands appeared in the working faces and the miners had to cut the same along with coal.

3. The management and the Union agree that with effect from 1st January 1969 the rate of pick miners for cutting and loading stone and coal at 8 Bottom Seam Incline be increased to 28 paise (Twenty eight paise only) per tub of 40½ c.ft. capacity and that the said payment be made through regular wages sheets.

4. It is further agreed that such extra payment for each such tub loaded with pick coal and stone will continue only wherever stone band of more than one inch thickness appears in the coal face of the 8 Seam Bottom and not in any other situation.

5. The workmen and their Union have no further dispute with the management and have no other claim against it in the present proceedings.

6. The parties shall bear their own respective costs of these proceedings.

7. The parties, therefore, pray that the Tribunal may be pleased to accept the above settlement and to dispose of the reference by giving its award in terms thereof.

*For the Workmen,*

(S. DAS GUPTA.)

Secretary,

Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

*For the Employers,*

(RATILAL M. CHAWRA.)

Partner,

Khas Mehtatili Colliery.

D. NARSINGH,

Advocate.

*Dated 4th January, 1969*

[No. 1/1/67-LR-II.]

*New Delhi, the 3rd February 1969*

S.O. 518.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Western Kajora Colliery, Post Office Raniganj, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 30th January, 1969.

### CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 20 OF 1968

#### **PARTIES :**

Employers in relation to the Western Kajora Colliery,

AND

Their workmen.

#### **PRESENT :**

Shri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

#### **APPEARANCES :**

*On behalf of Employers*—Shri Nikhilesh Das, Advocate.

*On behalf of Workmen*—Shri Kalyan Roy, General Secretary, Indian Mine Workers Federation.

**STATE:** West Bengal

**INDUSTRY:** Coal Mines.

### **AWARD**

By Order No. 6/6/68-LR-II, dated April 16, 1968, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following dispute between the employers in relation to the Western Kajora Colliery and their workmen, for adjudication, to this tribunal, namely:

"Whether the management of the Western Kajora Colliery, Post Office Raniganj was justified in stopping of Shri Jaiku Bin, Pick Miner from work with effect from the 14th August, 1967? If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled?"

2. The employers filled their written statement first of all. In the written statement, it was pleaded that the workmen concerned was not at all stopped from work. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 4 of the written statement, which are the material paragraphs, are set out below:—

"1. That Sri Jaiku Bin was appointed to work as a pick miner in the Management's colliery on 14th January, 1967.

2. That he resigned from his job of his own accord on 14th August, 1967 and left the colliery after taking payment of all his final dues.

3. \*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

4. That the management never stopped the workman from his work either on 14th August, 1967 or on any other date and begs to submit that the pick miners, as a class to which the workmen belongs, are floating labourers in the coal-field and they always move from colliery to colliery."

3. A trade union known as the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha espoused the cause of the workman concerned. In the written statement filed by the Mazdoor Sabha, it was pleaded that the concerned workman was victimised because he had taken a leading part in organising pick miners of the colliery, who were being subjected to many malpractices. In paragraph 3 of the said written statement, it was further pleaded:

"3. That the management took the plea in the conciliation that the workman has resigned which was strongly challenged by the Union and the workman. The workman has never resigned nor submitted any such letter. The management has fabricated the letter in order to stop his work and this stoppage is malafide, illegal and amounts to unfair labour practice."

The plea of victimisation was not emphasised upon during the course of argument and I do not make much of it.

4. The alleged letter of resignation was marked Ext. 7 (subject to objection). The workman himself deposed and, confronted with the letter of resignation in course of cross-examination, said:

"The thumb impression on the letter is not that of myself. I did not also have the letter written by somebody on my behalf."

The management examined one J. K. Prasad, who is by designation a Provident Fund clerk but claims to work as an Accountant and also as some sort of Supervisor of work. His evidence in proof of the letter of resignation (Ext. 7) is curious reading. In his examination in-chief he stated:

"After the resignation letter was received, I was asked to find out to what benefits the workman was entitled. I went to the Cashier and found out the amount to which the workman became entitled on resignation. (Shown endorsement 'accepted' on the alleged letter of resignation). This is written by the Manager."

In course of further examination in-chief he explained:

"I was not present when Jaiku Bin submitted the letter of resignation. I cannot say in whose handwriting the letter is. Jaiku Bin, however, was standing before the Manager when the letter sent for me and asked me to ascertain how much was due to the workman on his resignation just submitted. I presumed this to be the letter of resignation submitted by Jaiku Bin, because on this letter appears the order of acceptance of resignation by the Manager. (Letter of resignation marked Ext. 7 subject to objection). After resignation, Jaiku Bin was paid Rs. 25/-. What happened to him thereafter, I do not know." (Underlined by me for emphasis).

In course of cross-examination he admitted:

"I was not present in the manager's office when Jaiku Bin is said to have handed over his letter of resignation. The thumb impression of Jaiku Bin on the letter of resignation was not taken in my presence."

Admittedly R. D. Pasi is the Manager of the concerned colliery. In course of a question put to witness J. K. Prasad by the Tribunal, he answered that Pasi was still the Manager and able to come and depose before the tribunal. He was the person before whom the letter of resignation, as stated by witness J. K. Prasad, was submitted and who was said to have written the order of acceptance thereon. Why he avoided the witness box was not explained. During the course of argument, I asked Mr. Nikhilesh Das, learned advocate for the employer colliery, to explain why the best evidence of the Manager was withheld in this matter. He, however, could not give any explanation.

5. Nevertheless, Mr. Das himself felt, after the recording of evidence had been completed, that the evidence of J. K. Prasad was not sufficient to prove the letter of resignation (Ext. 7) and therefore filed an application praying that the thumb impression on letter (Ext. 7) be examined by a thumb impression expert, to be selected by this tribunal. The tribunal selected Mr. S. Chatterjee as an expert. His report, however, proved to be unhelpful. He stated:

"The specimen impressions obtained in the court are all highly blurred impressions and as such are unfit to be used as proper standards for the purpose of scientific comparison with the disputed impression. \*\*\* The disputed impressions agree with the specimen impressions in matter of pattern (Whorl), location of the Core, Deltas and two points of identity in matter of ridge peculiarity. But in analysis of finger prints, at least six such points of identity

(besides general pattern) must be established to prove a case of identity beyond any doubt."

Mr. Das was not willing to have fresh thumb impression of the workman obtained for the purpose of fresh examination by the same or any other expert. I, therefore, do not place any importance on the expert's opinion. With the expert evidence out of the way, the evidence of J. K. Prasad standing by itself proves little. He does not know who wrote the body of letter Ext 7. He was not present when the thumb impression was affixed on Ext. 7. He is not in a position to prove that the thumb impression is that of Jaiku Bin himself. He merely "presumes" that it is so.

6. Then again, there are other points which go to show the unsoundness of the point as to resignation. On behalf of the employers the register of employees in Form B was produced and marked Ext. 1. Again Serial No. 332, Jaiku Bin. it was noted, in the remarks column, 'left' and not 'resigned'. J. K. Prasad was asked why the note 'left' was made against the name of Jaiku Bin although he had voluntarily resigned. He answered:

"I know the difference between 'resigned' and 'left'. The endorsement 'left' against the name of Jaiku Bin is wrong. I cannot explain why such a wrong entry was made. The manager may do that."

As I have already observed, the Manager, who could give the best evidence in this matter, was not called to give evidence.

7. Apart from this there is another point which does not induce me to accept anything stated by the witness J. K. Prasad. This witness stated in his examination in-chief that he had personally ascertained how much became due to the workman on resignation. He also stated in course of his examination in-chief that after resignation Jaiku Bin was paid Rs. 25/-. In course of his cross-examination, however, he crumbled down and stated as follows:

"I ascertained that nothing more was due to the workman from the accounts books. I consulted the bill book, bonus book, profit, sharing bonus book. It appears from Ext. 4 (Profit-sharing bonus register) that profit-sharing bonus amounting to Rs. 16.63 has not been paid to Jaiku Bin. The sentence that 'further no (?) any claim was due from the company' in Ext 6 is incorrect because bonus of Rs. 16.68 was still due to the workman concerned. Payments are not entered in loose papers but in bound volumes known as Register of pay-sheet. I have not produced such volumes. The final payment made to Jaiku Bin, as in Ext. 6, is not to be entered in such volume."

Ext. 6, the receipt reads:

"Pay to Jaiku Bin (Pick miner) as his full and final payment. Further no ... any claim or dues from the company.

Ex-gratia wages Rs. 25/- (Rupees twenty-five only).

(Thumb impression on Revenue Stamp)."

This receipt, as stated by witness J. K. Prasad, is in the handwriting of one P. N. Singh, who still now works in the colliery. The workman was confronted with this receipt. He denied that the thumb impression was his. P. N. Singh was not examined in this case nor was any attempt made to have this thumb impression examined by any expert. Further, the receipt admittedly contains a wrong or incorrect statement, as appears from the evidence of Prasad, already quoted. I therefore make little of this receipt.

8. In my considered opinion I should not proceed on the basis either of letter of resignation (Ext. 7) or the receipt (Ext. 6). They are highly disputed documents and they have not been properly proved. I have already given my reason why I am unable to proceed on the basis of the evidence of J. K. Prasad, who may not be a very reliable witness. His evidence did not impress me at all. Thus, the case made by the employer colliery in the written statement has not been proved either by oral or by documentary evidence. It is not the case of the management that the workman was either discharged, or retrenched or that his services were terminated. The only once made on behalf of the employer colliery was that the workman had voluntarily resigned. That case, the employer colliery has failed to make out.

9. This being the position, there appears to be no justification for stopping the workman Jaiku Bin from work with effect from the 14th August, 1967, which again is the admitted date, since when he became unemployed in the company. The workman is, therefore, entitled to remain in employment as he was and shall be deemed always so to have been.



Since his resignation has not been proved, there would be no break in his service. He will be entitled to his one half of back wages in the company from August 14, 1967, since there is no evidence that he remained wholly unemployed during the entire period.

This is my award.

Dated:

January 25, 1969.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,  
Presiding Officer.

[No. F.6/68-LR.II.]

**S.O. 519.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bhaladih Sand Line of Messrs. Equitable Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dishergarh, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 30th January, 1969.

### CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 41 OF 1968

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the Bhaladih Sand Line of Messrs. Equitable Coal Co., Ltd.,

AND

Their workmen.

#### PRESENT :

Shri B. N. Banerjee—Presiding Officer.

#### APPEARANCES :

*On behalf of Employers*—Shri Monoj Kumar Mukherjee, Advocate.

*On behalf of Workmen*—Absent.

STATE : West Bengal

INDUSTRY : Coal Mines

#### AWARD

By Order No. 6/50/68-LR-II, dated August 13, 1968, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bhaladih Sand Line of Messrs. Equitable Coal Company Limited and their workmen, to this tribunal, for adjudication:

"Keeping in view the recommendations of the Central Wage Board for the Coal Mining Industry, as accepted by the Central Government under its Resolution No. WB.16(5)/66, dated the 21st July, 1967, whether the demand of Shri Budhadeb Chattaraj, Canteen Incharge of Bhaladih Sand Line of M/s. Equitable Coal Company Limited, for his placement in the clerical Grade II and fixation of his pay in the scale of Rs. 205—7—275—10—325/- is justified? If so, to what relief is the workman entitled and from which date?"

2. Notices were duly served upon the parties calling upon them to file their respective written statement but neither the employer nor the workmen did file their respective written statement.

3. Notice was again sent to the parties asking them to appear before this tribunal on December 19, 1968, so that a date of hearing may be fixed in their presence. Pursuant to this notice Shri S. S. Banerjee, Labour Officer of the employer Equitable Coal Company Limited appeared but nobody appeared for the workmen. Peremptory date of hearing was fixed for today in the presence of Shri S. S. Banerjee and notice of the date of hearing was sent to the Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMS), which had espoused the cause of the workman.

4. To-day, Mr. Monoj Kumar Mukherjee, Advocate, appeared for the management. Nobody appeared for the workman. Mr. Mukherjee submitted that there has been an oral settlement between the workman and the management and he has instructions not to participate in the reference further. This oral settlement has, however, not been proved before me and I cannot record the settlement in the absence of the workman.

5. In these circumstances, I think there is at present no dispute between the management and the workmen and I record a 'no dispute' award between the parties.

This is my award.

Dated, January 25, 1969.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,  
Presiding Officer.

[No. 6/50/68-IRII.]

## ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 25th January 1969*

**S.O. 520.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Western Kajora Colliery, Post Office Raniganj, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

### SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Western Kajora Colliery was justified in stopping from work Sarvashri Habu Gope, Bhuneswar Turi, Timber Mazdoors and Bihari Singh, Pickminer of Western Kajora Colliery from the 1st week of January, 1968? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?

[No. 6/123/68-LRII.]

**S.O. 521.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Vishveshwari Khandra Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

### SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Vishveshwari Khandra Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan was justified in placing Shri Harihar Ahir, Under-ground Loader in "Badli List" as "Badli" worker with effect from the 27th June, 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 6/120/68-LRII.]

**S.O. 522.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of East Sitalpur Colliery, Post Office Ukhra (Burdwan) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

Whether the management of East Sitalpur Colliery Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan was justified in dismissing Shri Shiv Rajvar, Under ground trammer with effect from the 7th September, 1968? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 6/121/68-LRII.]

**S.O. 523.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the P. D. Kajora Colliery, Post Office Kajogram, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

Whether the management of P. D. Kajora Colliery was justified in suspending and stopping from work Shri Benarasi Singh, Mining Sirdar, P. D. Kajora Colliery from the 7th February, 1968? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 6/126/68-LRII.]

*New Delhi, the 29th January, 1969.*

**S.O. 524.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Bhagatdih (Rise Area) Colliery of Messrs Bengal Nagpur Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dhansar, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

**SCHEDULE**

Whether the management of Bhagatdih (Rise Area) Colliery of Messrs Bengal Nagpur Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dhansar, District Dhanbad, was justified in dismissing Shri Bandhu Rajbhar underground Trammer, with effect from the 25th June, 1968? If not to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/245/68-LR-II].

*New Delhi, the 3rd February, 1969*

**S.O. 525.**—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Alkusha Gopalpur Colliery of Messrs Khanna Commercial Corporation (Private) Limited, Post Office Samdi, District Burdwan and their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (AITUC);

And whereas the said employers and their workmen have, by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to arbitration by the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to, the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 15th January, 1969.

## AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10A of the I.D. Act, 1947)

## BETWEEN

## NAME OF THE PARTIES:

*Representing Employers.*—1. Shri S. M. Bhattacharjee, Manager, Alkusha Gopalpur Colliery, of M/s. Khanna Commercial Corporation (P) Ltd., P.O. Samdi, District Burdwan.

*Representing workman.*—Shri B. N. Tewary, General Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (AITUC), G.T. Road, Asansol.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri K. Sharan, Regional Labour Commissioner (C), Asansol.

(i) Specific matters in dispute.

"Whether the management of Alkusha Gopalpur Colliery of M/s. Khanna Commercial Corporation (P) Ltd., P.O. Samdi, Dist. Burdwan was justified in stopping Shri Ram Bahadur Singh, Register Keeper from work from 1st August, 1968.

2. If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the Estts. or undertaking. | Employers in relation to Alkusha Gopalpur Colliery of M/s. Khanna Commercial Corporation (P) Ltd., P.O. Samdi, Dist. Burdwan and their workmen. |
| (iii) Name of the Union if any representing the workmen in question.                                    | Colliery Mazdoor Sabha (AITUC), G.T. Road, Asansol, Burdwan.  |
| (iv) Total No. of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.   | 150   |
| (v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.                       | 1(one)  |

We further agree that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us,

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 2 months or within such further time as is entered by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period afore-mentioned, the reference to arbitrator shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

*Signature of Parties,*

*Representing Employees*—(SHRI S. M. BHATTACHARJEE,  
Manager, Alkusha Gopalpur Colliery, of M/s. Khanna Commercial Corporation (P) Ltd.,  
P.O. Samdi, Dist.—Burdwan,

*Representing Workmen*—(SHRI B. N. TEWARY,  
General Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, G. T. Road, Asansol.

## Witnesses:

1. (A. K. BANDYOPADHYAY.)
2. (D. C. CHAKRAVORTY.)

[No. 6/2/69-I.R.II.]

BALWANT SINGH, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 29th January 1969

**S.O. 526.**—Whereas the Central Government, having been satisfied that the public interest so required had, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) declared by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 552 dated the 3rd February, 1968;

any service in, or in connection with, the working of, any major port or dock, to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 5th February, 1968;

And whereas the period specified in the said notification was extended by a like notification of this Ministry No. S.O. 3016 dated the 2nd August, 1968 for six months from the 5th August, 1968;

And whereas the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the said service to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act for a further period of six months from the 5th February 1969.

[No. F. 1/6/69-LR-I.]

*New Delhi, the 1st February 1969*

**S.O. 527.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of Shri P. C. Rai, Arbitrator in the dispute between Messrs. Premraj Gundhar, Contractors, Kokan Mines of Bhilai Steel Plant, Post Office Dalli-Rajhara (District Durg) Madhya Pradesh and their workmen represented by Samyukta Khadan Mazdoor Sangh, Post Office Dalli-Rajhara (District Durg) Madhya Pradesh, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th January, 1969.

**ARBITRATION AWARD OF SHRI P. C. RAI, REGIONAL LABOUR COMMISSIONER (CENTRAL), JABALPUR IN THE CASE OF SHRI MAROTI RAO.**

(RLC FILE No. J-81(4)/67)

(M/L&E FILE No. 37/2/68-LR-I).

**PRESENT :**

Shri P. C. Rai—Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Arbitrator.

*Representing Employers*—Shri Manoharlal Jain, Representative of M/s. Premraj Gundhar, Contractors, Kokan Mines of Bhilai Steel Plant, P.O. Dalli-Rajhara (Distt. Durg).

*Representing workmen*—(1) Shri Jibon Mukherjee, President, Samyukta Khadan Mazdoor Sangh, Branch Rajhara Mines, P.O. Dalli Rajhara. (Distt. Durg).

(2) Shri P. Ganapathi Rao, Secretary, Samyukta Khadan Mazdoor Sangh, Br. Rajhara Mines, P.O. Dalli-Rajhara, (Distt. Durg.).

Ministry of Labour, Employment & Rehabilitation, Deptt. of Labour and Employment, New Delhi by their notification No. 37/2/68-LRI dated 22-4-1968 (received in my office on 3-6-1968) published an Order in the Gazette of India, which included an agreement dated 17-2-1968 under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. By this Order, the dispute was referred to my arbitration. The following is the relevant portion of the Agreement:

"It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Jabalpur.

- (i) *Specific matters in dispute.*—Whether the demand of the Samyukta Khadan Mazdoor Sangh for providing employment to Shri Maroti Rao with effect from the date Shri Premraj Gundhar, Contractor started work in Kokan Mines of the Bhilai Steel Plant is justified? If so, to what relief is the workmen entitled?
- (ii) *Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.*—Employers in relation to the establishment of M/s. Premraj Gundhar, Contractors, Kokan Mines of Bhilai Steel Plant, P.O. Dalli-Rajhara (Distt. Durg.) (MP) and their workmen represented by Samyukta Khadan Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Dalli-Rajhara (Distt. Durg) (MP).
- (iii) *Name of the union, if any, representing the workmen in question.*—Samyukta Khadan Mazdoor Sangh P.O. Dalli-Rajhara (Distt. Durg) (M.P.).
- (iv) *Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.*—1400.
- (v) *Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.*—1.

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 3 months or within such further time as is extended by the mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration."

2. Hearing in the matter were fixed for 21-5-68, 24-7-68, 25-7-68, 25-8-68, 12-9-68, 4-11-68, 11-11-68, 24-12-68, 23-12-68 and finally the parties were heard on 6-1-1969. The parties also agreed for extension of time limit for giving the Award, by their joint applications dated 28-7-68 and 11-11-1968 by 5-3-1969.

3. The management in their written statements dated 18-5-68, among other things stated that Shri Maroti Rao was never appointed by them at any time during the tenure of their contract work in their industrial establishment and as such in the absence of any master and servant relationship Shri Maroti Rao was not a "workman" within the meaning of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and as such the dispute is not an industrial dispute and consequently the Arbitrator appointed under the Act has got no jurisdiction to adjudicate. Shri Maroti Rao was working under Shri Dhanraj Lunia, the previous Contractor and his services were terminated by the above contractor on account of long absence without sufficient cause and without leave and intimation by Shri Maroti Rao and the cause of such nature justifies termination of service as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India as reported in 1967(2) LLJ-883. They also stated that their industrial establishment had been closed down w.e.f. 23-3-1968 and it was an absurd proposition to give employment in a closed or dead industry. There is, thus, no necessity at all of holding the arbitration proceeding and the Arbitrator has got no jurisdiction to adjudicate and that the mere fact that once a consent as was given by the parties does not itself gives the Arbitrator/adjudicator to exercise jurisdiction when it is not legally acquired or provided in law. The arbitrator must first decide as a preliminary issue whether under the I. D. Act 1947 he has got jurisdiction to adjudicate or not before proceeding the case on merits.

4. The Union in their written statement dated 19-5-1968, among other things, stated that Shri Maroti Rao had been working as a raising mazdoor under different contractors at Rajhara group of mines for more than 6 years. Shri Maroti Rao fell sick while working in Kokan Mines under Shri Dhanraj Lunia, Contractor and was under medical treatment of Dr. Anand N. Dasani upto 5-11-67 and on the advice of Doctor, he was admitted in Bhilai Steel Plant Hospital at Rajhara and thereafter in Bhilai Main Hospital till 14-11-67 and after 14-11-67, he was under the treatment of Dr. Anand till 9-12-67. As Shri Maroti Rao was confined to bed, the union, in the meantime, sent a letter to the contractor vide No. SKMS/RM/134 dated 8-11-67 under copy to Mines Manager, Kokan Mines requesting for grant of leave till he is recovered. On being discharged with satisfactory improvement by Dr. Anand, Shri Maroti Rao approached the contractor Shri Premraj Gundhar, who succeeded the former contractor Shri Dhanraj Lunia of the same group of contractors for employment in Kokan Mines in terms of minutes of discussions held on 2-12-67 before the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Jabalpur in which the contractor had agreed to keep all the workers employed, but Shri Maroti Rao was not given employment. The union also cited the terms of Memorandum of Settlement dated 5-12-67. After a score of representations, having failed to secure employment for Shri Maroti Rao, the union served a strike notice dated 24-1-68 for 19-2-1968. The union also stated that Shri Maroti Rao is the Vice President of the union and he has been deprived of employment because of his being an active worker of the union which is an act of victimisation of workman by the contractor and tantamounts to unfair labour practices. The union requested that the Arbitrator may award for employment of Shri Maroti Rao at Kokan Mines with wages from 11-12-1967.

5. The union in its rejoinder No. SKMS/RM/172 dated 25-7-68 in response to Contractor's written statement referred to above, stated that as a matter of fact that dispute has arisen before the retrenchment/termination of services of workmen of Shri Gundhar and the arbitration agreement was signed as early as 18-2-1968 i.e., before the so called closure of establishment. No notice categorically mentioning the intention to close the establishment was served or issued. Shri Dhanraj had agreed to employ all workmen vide minutes of discussions dated 2-12-1967 in presence of Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Jabalpur and subsequently vide Memorandum of Settlement dated 28-4-1968. Shri Akhraj Jain had agreed to employ all workers of Shri Prem Raj Gundhar with continuity of service. The union also cited following case laws delivered by the

Supreme Court to establish the justifiability of Union's demand and the Arbitrator's jurisdiction to decide the matters in dispute under the provisions of the I.D. Act.

- (1) Pipraich Sugar Mills Ltd. Vs. its Mazdoor Union 1957 SC 95.
- (2) Shorilal Vs. Hind I.T. Punjab, 14 FJR 384.
- (3) N. T. Chavan Vs. P. D. Sawarkar reported in A.I.R. 1958, Bombay 133 and ILR 1958 Bo.209.
- (4) Kaya Construction Co. Vs. its workmen, 1958 II-LLJ 660.
- (5) Indian Rolling Mills Company, Kanpur Vs. their workmen (Iron and Steel Mazdoor Union) 1954-II-LLJ 652.

6. During the course of hearing of parties on 12-9-1968, the union's representative stated that some talks for mutual settlement were going on and the Proceedings were adjourned.

7. The contractor, in reply to Union's statement dated 19th/31st May, 1968, re-iterated their stand in their written statement dated 19-9-1968 and added that the leave application was not made by Shri Maroti Rao but by the union without mentioning the period, cannot be treated as an application and this was also given after absentsing w.e.f. 20-10-1967 without intimation. They also stated that the concerns Shri Dhanraj Lunia and Shri Premraj Gundhar are entirely separate and cannot be regarded as successors of the others and that Shri Premraj Gundhar was not a party to the discussion dated 2-12-67 and that Shri Premraj Gundhar was only the party in the Settlement dated 5-12-1967 and by this it does not establish the allegation that Shri Premraj Gundhar is the successor of Shri Dhanraj Lunia. The mere fact that a sick person was not selected and appointed by them does not prove the case of victimisation. It is the onus on the union to prove that due to trade union activities Shri Maroti Rao was not given employment.

8. At the final hearing on 6-1-1969, after talks, the parties arrived at a mutual settlement as follows:—

"The parties have mutually agreed to settle this case and agreed to settle it on payment of Rs. 200/-. This money shall be paid to the workman i.e., Shri Maroti Rao on or before 26-1-1969. This payment is in full and final settlement of all claims of the workman".

9. The parties requested that the Award may be given in the above terms. Accordingly, I give my award on the terms of amicable settlement recorded above that Shri Maroti Rao shall be given a sum of Rs. 200/- in full and final settlement of all claims of the workmen. Shri Maroti Rao shall be paid the abovesaid money on or before 26-1-1969 by M/s. Prem Raj Gundhar.

(Sd.) P. C. RAI,

Regional Labour Commissioner (Central)

Jabalpur,

Arbitrator.

Jabalpur,

Dated the 21st January, 1969.

[No. 37/2/68-LRI.]

## ORDERS

New Delhi, the 27th January 1969

**S.O. 528.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Godrugad Mines of Shri Motiram Oduguiji Mesram and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

## SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Godrugad Mines of Shri Motiram Odugujji Mesram in stopping the workman Shri Nandhanwar from work and in terminating his services with effect from the 4th July, 1967, is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

[No. 24/41/68-LRI.]

New Delhi, the 29th January 1969

**S.O. 529.**—Whereas the industrial dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed is pending before Shri Jawan Singh Ranawat, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Rajasthan, Jaipur.

And whereas the services of the said Shri Jawan Singh Ranawat are no longer available;

And whereas for the ends of justice and convenience of parties, the said dispute should be disposed of without further delay;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and sub-section (1) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri Gopal Narain Sharma, as the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Jaipur, withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said dispute from Shri Jawan Singh Ranawat, and transfers the same to Shri Gopal Narain Sharma, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Jaipur for the disposal of the said proceedings with the direction that the said Tribunal shall proceed with the said proceedings from the beginning and dispose of the same according to law.

## SCHEDULE

S. No.	Parties to the dispute	Reference number and date to the Industrial Tribunal	S.O. of Gazette of year of publication
1	2	3	4
I.	Employers in relation to Association Stone Industries (Kota) Ltd., Ramganjmandi.	No. 36/47/67-LRI, dated 1-8-68.	3023/68

[No. 36/47/67-LR-I.]

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1969

**S.O. 530.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Punjab National Bank Limited and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri P. P. R. Sawhny shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Chandigarh and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

## SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of the Punjab National Bank Limited in withdrawing the special allowance for confidential roll work given to Shri D. S. Sawhny, Clerk in the Hall Bazar Branch (Amritsar) of the Bank with effect from the 1st January, 1968 was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 23/86/68-LR.III.]

O. P. TALWAR, Under Secy.



## (Department of Labour and Employment)

*New Delhi, the 1st February, 1969*

**S.O. 531.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 26 of the Minimum Wages Act 1948 (11 of 1948), the Central Government hereby directs that, for a period of two years from the date of this notification, the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 18 of the said Act, in so far as it requires a register of wages and wage slip to be maintained in the forms prescribed in the Minimum Wages (Central) Rules 1950, shall not apply in relation to the employees of the Madras Port Trust for whom minimum rates of wages have been fixed under the said Act, subject to the condition that particulars of such employees shall be maintained in Statement I and II set out in the Schedule below.

## STATEMENT I

## SCHEDULE

## FORM 'X'—Register of Wages

*Revised form suggested for use by the Trust*

Pay and allowances for the month of

.....

Madras Port Trust.

Item No. in the Schedule of  
Staff/Artisans and Labourers.

Name of the employee:

Designation:

Rates of wages actually paid:

Number of days for which pay is drawn:

Number of hours/days for which over-  
time is claimed:

Deduction:

Employees' contribution to Provident  
Fund etc.

Wages paid:

Date of payment:

Signature or thumb impression of the  
employees:

## STATEMENT II

## FORM 'XI'—Wage Slip

*(b) Revised form suggested for use by the Trust*

1. Name of the Employee.

2. Designation.

3. Wage period: From To Date of payment.

4. Rate of Wages Payable:

(a) Basic Pay:

(b) Dearness Allowance:

5. Total attendance/Units of work done:

## 6. Overtime wages Rs. Ps.

Particulars	Amount Rs. Ps.	Particulars	Amount Rs. Ps.
(A) Gross wages payable including all allowances.		(B) Deductions	
		1. Income Tax	
		2. Provident Fund	
		3. Rent of Quarter	
		4. Water Charges	
		5. Electricity Charges	
		6. Court attachment	
		7. Postal Insurance Premium	
		8. Fines	
		9. Festival Advance	
		10. Cycle Advance	
		11. M.P.T.E. Co-op. Bank	
		12. M.P.T.S.I.	
		13. C.T.D.	
		14.	
		15.	
Total :		Total :	
LESS			
(B) Deductions			
(C) Net Amount Payable (Actual Wages Paid).			

Signature of the Head of the Department.

Signature or thumb impression of the employee.

[No. LWI-I-8(3)/68.]

B. K. SAKSENA,  
Deputy Director (Enforcement).

## (Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 3rd February 1969

**S.O. 532.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 9th day of February, 1969 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except sub-section (1) of section 76 and sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Assam, namely:—

The areas comprised within the revenue villages of:—

(i) N. C. Bakola

(ii) N. C. Dafala

in Mauza Charduar in District Darrang.

[No. F. 13(3)/69-HI.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

## (Department of Labour and Employment)

[Office of the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central)]

## ORDERS

New Delhi, the 27th January 1969

**S.O. 533.**—Whereas an application has been made under Section 19 (b) of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 by Messrs. Panduronga Timblo Industrials (employer)

in relation to their establishments mentioned in the Schedule below for extension of the period for the payment of bonus to their employees for the accounting year ending on 31-3-68.

And whereas being satisfied that there are sufficient reasons to extend the time I have, in exercise of the powers conferred on me by the proviso to clause (b) of Section 19 of the said Act read with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. WB-20(42)/65 dated the 28th August, 1965, passed orders on 25-1-69 extending the period for payment of the said bonus by the said employer by 2 (Two) months from the last date for payment of bonus under clause (b) of Section 19 of the Act.

Now this is published for information of the employer and all the employees of the said establishment.

THE SCHEDULE

Name and address of the employer(s)	Establishment(s)
M/s. Panduronga Timblo Industrias (In respect of Borga Dongon, Bondra Caixa Postal No. 242, Margao—Goa.	Advona, K. Molli, Sonshi, Erac and Salginim Mines in Goa)

[No. BA-6(25)/68-LSI.]

*New Delhi, the 29th January 1969*

**S.O. 534.**—Whereas an application has been made under Section 19(b) of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 by Messrs Vasu's Mining Works (employer) in relation to their establishments mentioned in the Schedule below for extension of the period for the payment of bonus to their employees for the accounting year ending on 31st March, 1968.

And, whereas being satisfied that there are sufficient reasons to extend the time I have, in exercise of the powers conferred on me by the proviso to clause (b) of Section 19 of the said Act read with the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. WB-20(42)/65, dated the 28th August, 1965, passed orders on.....extending the period for payment of the said bonus by the said employer by 3 (three) months from the last date for payment of bonus under clause (b) of Section 19 of the Act.

Now this is published for information of the employer and all the employees of the said establishment.

THE SCHEDULE

Name and address of the employer(s)	Establishment(s)
Messrs Vasu's Mining Works, 1, K. V. R. Street, Gandhinagar Udumalpet, P.O. (Coimbatore Distt.).	(In respect of Moongaltholuvu, Kongalnagaram and Kulanaikennipatti Gypsum Mines).

[No. BA-6(35)/68-LSI.]

O. VENKATACHALAM,  
Chief Labour Commissioner (Central).

(Department of Labour and Employment)

ERRATA

The S.O. No. of the Ministry of Labour Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) notification No. F. 3/14/68-HI, dated 2nd January, 1969, published on page 207 of the Gazette of India, Part II—Section 3—sub-section (ii), dated 11th January, 1969, should be "S.O. 136 A".

## MINISTRY OF FINANCE

## (Department of Expenditure)

New Delhi, the 28th January 1969

**S.O. 535.**—In pursuance of clause (3) of article 77 of the Constitution and of all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1958, namely:—

1. These rules may be called the Delegation of Financial Powers (Amendment) Rules, 1969.

2. In the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1958.

(a) for rule 11-A, the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

“11-A. *Power to release funds.*—Subject to the existence of specific budget provision for the purpose and observance of the procedure laid down by the Finance Ministry from time to time, the Departments of the Central Government have powers to release funds for investment as equity capital of statutory corporations or companies, wholly owned by the Central Government”.

(b) in rule 14-B,—

(i) for the expression “Rs. 10,000”, wherever they occur, the expression “Rs. 50,000”, shall be substituted;

(ii) for condition No. (1), the following condition shall be substituted, namely:—

“(1) No public building shall be sold, unless it has been previously ascertained that it is not required by any Department of the Government of India or the Government of State or Union Territory where it is situated.”

(c) in Schedule I,—

(i) under the heading “H. Ministry of Finance (Department of Economic Affairs)”, for item 8 and the entry relating thereto, the following shall be, and shall be deemed to have been substituted, with effect from the 27th day of August, 1968, namely:—

“8. Chairman and Managing Director, Kolar Gold Mining Undertakings”,

(ii) under the heading “K. Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture)” after item 25 and the entry relating thereto the following shall be, and shall be deemed to have been inserted, with effect from the 19th day of September, 1968, namely:—

“26. Director, Central State Farm, Jullunder”;

(iii) under the heading “N. Ministry of Home Affairs”,—

(1) for item 6 and entry relating thereto the following shall be, and shall be deemed to have been substituted, with effect from the 15th day of July, 1968, namely:—

“6. Director General, Central Reserve Police”,

(2) after item 16 and the entry relating thereto, the following shall be, and shall be deemed to have been inserted, with effect from the 31st day of August, 1968, namely:—

“17. Inspectors-General, Central Reserve Police Sectors I and II”;

(iv) under the heading “O. Ministry of Information and Broadcasting”, after item 8 and the entry relating thereto, the following shall be, and shall be deemed to have been inserted, with effect from the 26th day of September, 1968, namely:—

“9. Director, Song and Drama Division”;

(v) under the heading “Q. Ministry of Industry and Supply (Department of Industry), after item 4 and the entry relating thereto, the following shall be, and shall be deemed to have been inserted, with effect from the 28th day of September, 1968, namely:—

“5. Director General, Research and Development Organisation for Electrical Industry”;

(vi) under the heading "S. Ministry of Labour and Employment", for item 2 and the entry relating thereto, the following shall be and shall be deemed to have been substituted, with effect from the 20th day of August, 1968, namely:—

"2. Director of Training, Directorate General of Employment and Training (in respect of the Central Training Institutes, Central Staff Training and Research Institute, Calcutta, Advanced Training Institute, Madras and Foreman Training Institute, Bangalore under his control)".

[No. F.1(41)-EII(A)/67.]

N. BALAKRISHNAN, Under Secy.

## वित्त मंत्रालय

(व्यय वि. 19)

नई दिल्ली, 28 जनवरी 1969

एन० ओ० 536—संविधान के अनुच्छेद 77 के खण्ड (3) और इस निमित्त अपने को समर्थ बनाने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों के अनुसरण में, राष्ट्रपति वित्तीय शक्तियों का प्रत्यायोजन नियम, 1958 में अतिरिक्त संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम एतद्वारा बनाते हैं, अर्थात् :—

1. ये नियम वित्तीय शक्तियों का प्रत्यायोजन (संशोधन) नियम, 1969 कहे जा सकेंगे।
2. वित्तीय शक्तियों का प्रत्यायोजन नियम, 1958 में—

(क) नियम 11—क के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित नियम प्रतिस्थापित कर दिया जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

"11-क. निधियां देने की शक्तियां—इस प्रयोजन के लिए विनिर्दिष्ट बजट-उपबन्ध की विद्यमानता के और वित्त मंत्रालय द्वारा समय समय पर अधिक्तित प्रक्रिया के अनुपालन के अधीन रहते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार के विभागों को, ऐसे कानूनी नियमों या कम्पनियों की, जिन पर केन्द्रीय सरकार का पूर्ण स्वामित्व है, साम्या-पूँजी के रूप में विनिधान करने के लिए निधियां देने की पूरी शक्तियां हैं।"

(ख) नियम 14—ख में,—

(i) पद "10,000/-रु०" पद के लिए, जहां कहीं भी वह आए पद "50,000/-रु०" प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा ;

(ii) शर्त सं० (1) के लिए निम्नलिखित शर्त प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

"(1) कोई भी लोक भवन तब तक नहीं बेचा जाएगा जब तक कि पहले से ही यह अभिनिश्चित न कर लिया गया हो कि जहां वह स्थित है वहां वह भारत सरकार के किसी विभाग या राज्य सरकार या संघ राज्यक्षेत्र द्वारा अपेक्षित नहीं है।"

(ग) अनुसूची 1 में,—

(i) शीर्षक "ज, वित्त मंत्रालय (अर्थ विभाग)" के अन्तर्गत मद 8 और तत्सम्बद्ध प्रविष्टि के लिए निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा और अगस्त, 1968 के 27वें दिन से प्रतिस्थापित किया गया समझा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

"8—अध्यक्ष तथा प्रबन्ध निदेशक, कोलार स्वर्ण खान उपक्रम,"

- (ii) शीर्षक “ट, खाद्य तथा कृषि मंत्रालय (कृषि विभाग)” के अन्तर्गत मद 25 और तत्सम्बद्ध प्रविष्टि के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित अन्तःस्थापित किया जाएगा और सितम्बर, 1968 के 19वें दिन से अन्तःस्थापित किया गया समझा जाएगा, अर्थात् —

“26—निदेशक, केन्द्रीय राज्य फार्म, जालंधर” ;

- (iii) शीर्षक “ड, गृह मंत्रालय” के अन्तर्गत,—

- (1) मद 6 और तत्सम्बद्ध प्रविष्टि के लिए निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा और जुलाई, 1968 के 15वें दिन से प्रतिस्थापित किया गया समझा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“6—महा-निदेशक, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस” ;

- (2) मद 16 और तत्सम्बद्ध प्रविष्टि के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित अन्तःस्थापित किया जाएगा और अगस्त, 1968 के 31वें दिन से अन्तःस्थापित किया गया समझा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“17—महा-निरीक्षक, केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस, सेक्टर 1 और 2 ;”

- (iv) शीर्षक “ण, सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय” के अन्तर्गत मद 9 और तत्सम्बद्ध प्रविष्टि के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित अन्तःस्थापित किया जाएगा और सितम्बर, 1968 के 26वें दिन से अन्तःस्थापित किया गया समझा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“9—निदेशक, गान और नाटक डिबीजन” ;

- (v) शीर्षक “थ, उद्योग तथा पूर्ति मंत्रालय (उद्योग विभाग)” के अन्तर्गत मद 4 और तत्सम्बद्ध प्रविष्टि के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित अन्तःस्थापित किया जाएगा और सितम्बर, 1968 के 29वें दिन से अन्तःस्थापित किया गया समझा जाएगा अर्थात् :—

“5—महा-निदेशक, विद्युत उद्योग का अनुसंधान एवं विकास संगठन” ;

- (vi) शीर्षक “ध, श्रम और नियोजन मंत्रालय” के अन्तर्गत मद 2 और तत्सम्बद्ध प्रविष्टि के लिए निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा और अगस्त, 1968 के 20वें दिन से प्रतिस्थापित किया गया समझा जाएगा, अर्थात् :—

“2—प्रशिक्षण निदेशक, नियोजन और प्रशिक्षण महा निदेशालय (उसके नियंत्रणाधीन केन्द्रीय प्रशिक्षण संस्थाओं, केन्द्रीय कर्मचारि-वृन्द प्रशिक्षण और अनुसंधान संस्था, कलकत्ता, उच्च प्रशिक्षण संस्था, मद्रास और फोरमैन प्रशिक्षण संस्था, बंगलौर की बाबत)”

[सं० एफ० 1(41)ई० ii (ए)/67.]

ना० बालकृष्णन, अवर सचिव ।

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 30th January 1969

S.O. 537.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 24th January 1969

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES		ASSETS	
	Rs.		Rs.
Capital paid-up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	24,42,41,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Rupee Coin . . . . .	3,13,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	143,00,00,000	Small Coin . . . . .	5,91,000
		Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	33,00,00,000	(a) Internal . . . . .	..
		(b) External . . . . .	..
National Industrial Credit (Long-Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	55,00,00,000	(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	223,11,14,000
		Balances held Abroad* . . . . .	91,03,16,000
		Investments** . . . . .	234,65,70,000
Deposits :—		Loans and advances to :—	
(a) Government		(i) Central Government . . . . .	..
(i) Central Government . . . . .	62,64,53,000	(ii) State Governments@ . . . . .	32,16,91,000

LIABILITIES		ASSETS	
	Rs.		Rs.
(*) State Governments . . . . .	9,34,34,000	Loans and Advances to :—	
(b) Banks		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks† . . . . .	31,92,97,000
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks . . . . .	152,18,40,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks†† . . . . .	249,78,58,000
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	6,60,36,000	(iii) Others . . . . .	2,33,82,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	57,52,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long-Term Operations) Fund—	
(iv) Other Banks . . . . .	25,02,000	(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(c) Others . . . . .	320,59,23,000	(i) State Governments . . . . .	31,20,39,000
Bills Payable . . . . .	31,11,24,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	14,19,89,000
Other Liabilities . . . . .	94,14,99,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks . . . . .	..
		(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures . . . . .	8,53,61,000
		Loans & Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund—	
		Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	5,26,94,000
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
		(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank . . . . .	6,26,71,000
		(b) Investment in bonds/Debentures issued by the Development Bank . . . . .	..
		Other Assets . . . . .	38,44,36,000
Rupees . . . . .	993,45,63,000	Rupees . . . . .	993,45,63,000

\*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

\*\* Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 18,74,55,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 29th day of January, 1969.



**An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 for the week ended the 24th day of January 1969**  
**ISSUE DEPARTMENT**

LIABILITIES		ASSETS			
	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	24,42,41,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
			(a) Held in India . . . .	115,89,25,000	
			(b) Held outside India . . . .	..	
			Foreign Securities . . . .	191,42,00,000	
Notes in circulation . . . .	<u>33,12,30,34,000</u>		TOTAL . . . .		307,31,25,000
			Rupee Coin . . . .		81,30,95,000
Total Notes issued . . . .		33,36,72,75,000	Government of India Rupee Securities.		2948,10,55,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other Commercial Paper . . . .		..
Total Liabilities . . . .		<u>33,36,72,75,000</u>	Total Assets . . . .		<u>3336,72,75,000</u>

Dated the 29th day of January, 1969.

(Sd.) L. K. JHA, Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/69.]

*New Delhi, the 1st February 1969*

**S.O. 538.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that till the 1st February, 1970, the provisions of—

- (a) section 10A of the said Act shall not apply to a banking company having, on the 1st day of February, 1969, deposits of a sum of less than rupees ten crores;
- (b) section 10B of the said Act shall not apply to a banking company having, on the 1st day of February, 1969, deposits of a sum of less than rupees twenty-five crores.

[No. F.16(1)-BC/69.]

**S.O. 539.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-clauses (i) and (ii) of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 and sub-sections (2) and (4) of section 10B of the said Act shall not apply till the 1st February, 1970 to the undermentioned banking companies in so far as the said provisions prohibit their respective Chairmen and/or Chief Executive Officers, by whatever name called, from being the directors of the Agricultural Finance Corporation Ltd., being a company registered under the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956).

Name of banking company	Name and designation of Chairman/Chief Executive Officer
1. The Central Bank Ltd., Bombay . . . . .	Shri V.C. Patel, Chairman.
2. The Punjab National Bank Ltd., New Delhi . . . . .	Shri S.C. Trikha, Chairman.
3. The Bank of India, Ltd., Bombay . . . . .	Shri T.D. Kansara, Chairman.
4. The Bank of Baroda Ltd., Bombay . . . . .	Shri N. M. Chokshi, Chairman.
5. The United Commercial Bank Ltd., Calcutta . . . . .	Shri R.B. Shah, Chairman.
6. The Union Bank of India Ltd., Bombay . . . . .	Shri F.K.F. Nariman, Chairman.
7. Dena Bank Ltd., Bombay . . . . .	Shri Pravinchandra V. Gandhi, Chairman.
8. Syndicate Bank Ltd., Manipal . . . . .	Shri T.A. Pai, Chairman,
9. The Bank of Maharashtra Ltd., Poona . . . . .	Shri C.V. Joag, Chairman
10. The Vijaya Bank Ltd., Mangalore . . . . .	Shri M. Sunder Ram Shetty, Chairman.
11. National & Grindlays Bank Ltd., Calcutta] . . . . .	Mr. E Lloyd Williams, Chief Manager for India.
12. The Chartered Bank, Calcutta . . . . .	Mr. A.A. Norrie, Chief Manager for India.

[No. F. 15(29)-BC/68.]

V. SWAMINATHAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

ORDER

*New Delhi, the 28th January 1969*

**S.O. 540.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (m) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Industrial Development Bank of India Act, 1964 (18 of 1964), the Central

Government on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby authorises the Industrial Development Bank of India to do the following kind of business, namely, the issuing of guarantees, to be fulfilled by payment of money, in connection with the due performance of any obligation of any industrial concern arising from the export from India of goods or services of such industrial concern.

[No. F. 10(55)-Corp/68.]

M. K. VENKATACHALAM, Director (Investments).

(अर्थ विभाग)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 28 जनवरी 1969

एस० नं० 541—भारतीय औद्योगिक विकास बैंक अधिनियम, 1964 (1964 के 18 वें अधिनियम) की धारा 9 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर भारतीय औद्योगिक विकास बैंक को निम्नलिखित प्रकार का कारबार करने अर्थात् किसी भी औद्योगिक प्रतिष्ठान के, भारत से माल या सेवाओं का निर्यात करने के दायित्व की उचित पूर्ति की ऐसी गारंटी जारी करने का अधिकार प्रदान करती है, जिसकी पूर्ति धन की अदायगी द्वारा होगी।

[संख्या एफ० 10(55)—कारपोरेशन/68]

एम० के० वेंकटचालम,

निदेशक (निवेश)।

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 22nd January 1969

S.O. 542.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (2) of Section 80G of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies the under-mentioned temple to be a place of public worship of renown throughout the State of Madras for the purpose of the said Section.

SRI TIRUKACHUNAMBIGAL AND SRI VARADARAJAPERUMAL  
DEVASTHANAM, POONAMALLEE, (MADRAS),

[No. F. 16/2/69-IT(AI).]

L. N. GUPTA, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

New Delhi, the 27th January 1969

S.O. 543.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 17 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. Y. Bhandarkar as Member, Life Insurance Tribunal vice Shri G. H. Rajadhyaksha resigned.

[No. 9(3)-INS.II/68.]

New Delhi, the 31st January 1969

S.O. 544.—Whereas Shri M. G. Mody who was appointed as a member of the Executive Committee of the General Insurance Council by the Notification of the Government

of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. 51(21)-Ins. I/67, dated the 24th November, 1967, has died;

And whereas, Shri D. Hammond Giles, who was appointed as a member of the Executive Committee aforesaid by the Notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Finance (Deptt. of Revenue & Insurance) No. 51(21)-INS.I/67 dated the 24th November, 1967, has resigned from the membership of the said Committee;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 64G of the Insurance Act, 1938 (4 of 1938), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri B. K. Shah, Managing Director, New India Assurance Company Limited, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Bombay-1, and Shri D. E. Whittingham, Commercial Union Assurance Company Limited, 32, Dalhousie Square, Calcutta, to be members of the said Executive Committee of the General Insurance Council in the vacancies caused by the death of Shri M. G. Mody and the resignation of Shri D. Hammond Giles, respectively.

[No. F.51(21)-INS.I/67.]

**S.O. 545.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 39 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956), the Central Government hereby publishes the following certificate granted to the Bombay Provident and General Assurance Company Limited Bombay, an insurer whose controlled business has been transferred to and vested in the Life Insurance Corporation of India under the provisions of the said Act.

#### *Certificate*

Whereas the Bombay Provident and General Assurance Company Limited Bombay, is an insurer whose controlled business has been transferred to and vested in the Life Insurance Corporation of India under the provisions of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (3 of 1956);

And whereas the said insurer has collected and distributed compensation money paid to it by the Corporation under the provisions of the said Act;

And, whereas the said insurer has complied with all directions given to it by the said Corporation for the purpose of securing that the ownership of any property or any right is effectively transferred to the Corporation;

And whereas the said insurer has made an application to the Central Government that there is no reason for the continued existence of the insurer;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 39 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby grants to the said insurer the certificate that there is no reason for the continued existence of the insurer.

[No. F. 3(8)-INS.II/60.]

RAJ K. NIGAM, Deputy Secy.

#### **राजस्व और बीमा विभाग**

नई दिल्ली, 27 जनवरी 1969

**एस० ओ० 546.**—जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री के० वाई० मण्डारकर को, श्री जी० एच० राजाध्याय के स्थान पर, जिन्होंने पद त्याग दिया है, जीवन बीमा अधिकरण के सदस्य के रूप में एतद्वारा नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० 9(3)—आई० एन० एस०—II/68]

नई दिल्ली, 31 जनवरी 1969

**एस० ओ० 547.**—यतः श्री एम० जी० मोदी की, जो भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व और बीमा विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० 51-(21)बीमा-1/67, तारीख 24 नवम्बर, 1967 द्वारा जनरल इन्श्योरेंस काउन्सेल की कार्यपालिका समिति के सदस्य नियुक्त किये गये थे, मृत्यु हो गई है;

और यतः श्री डी० हैमण्ड गाइल्स ने, जो भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व और बीमा विभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या 51 (21)—बीमा/67, तारीख 24 नवम्बर, 1967 द्वारा पूर्वोक्त कार्यपालिका समिति के सदस्य नियुक्त किये गये थे, उक्त समिति की सदस्यता से पद त्याग कर दिया

अतः, अब, बीमा अधिनियम, 1938 (1938 का 4) की धारा 64 छ की उपधारा (2) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार न्यू इन्डिया अश्योरेंस कम्पनी लिमिटेड, महात्मा गांधी रोड, मुम्बई-1 के प्रबंध निदेशक, श्री बी० के० शाह को और कमर्शियल यूनियन अश्योरेंस कम्पनी लिमिटेड, 32, बलहोजी स्क्वायर, कलकत्ता के श्री डी० ई० विहटिंगम को, क्रमशः श्री एम० जी० मोदी की मृत्यु के कारण और श्री डी० हैमण्ड गाइल्स के पद त्याग के कारण हुई रिक्तियों में एतद्द्वारा जनरल एश्योरेन्स काउन्सेल की कार्यपालिका समिति के सदस्य नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० फा० 51 (21)—बीमा1/67.]

एत० ओ० 548—जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 39 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार बम्बई प्रोविडेंट एण्ड जनरल एश्योरेन्स कम्पनी लि०, बम्बई को जो एक बीमाकर्ता है और जिसके नियंत्रणाधीन का कारबार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्धों के अधीन भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को अन्तर्गत और उसमें निहित कर दिया गया है अनुवर्त किए गये निम्नलिखित प्रमाणपत्र को एतद् द्वारा प्रकाशित करती है।

#### प्रमाणपत्र

यतः बम्बई प्रोविडेंट एण्ड जनरल एश्योरेन्स कम्पनी लि०, बम्बई जो एक बीमाकर्ता है और जिसके नियंत्रणाधीन का कारबार जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) के उपबन्धों के अधीन भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को अन्तर्गत और उसमें निहित कर दिया गया है;

और यतः उक्त बीमाकर्ता ने उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्धों के अधीन निगम द्वारा उसे संवत् प्रतिकार-धन का संग्रहण और वितरण कर लिया है;

और यतः उक्त बीमाकर्ता ने यह सुनिश्चित करने के प्रयोजनार्थ, कि किसी सम्पत्ति का स्वामित्व या कोई अधिकार निगम को प्रभावपूर्ण तथा अन्तर्गत हो जाता है, उक्त निगम द्वारा उसे दिये गये सभा निदेशों का अनुपालन किया है;

और यतः उक्त बीमाकर्ता ने केन्द्रीय सरकार को आवेदन किया है कि बीमा कर्ता का अस्तित्व बना रहने का कोई कारण नहीं है;

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 39 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त बीमाकर्ता को एतद् द्वारा यह प्रमाणपत्र अनुदत्त करती है कि बीमाकर्ता का अस्तित्व बना रहने का कोई कारण नहीं है।

[सं० 3(8)—बीमा(II/60)]

राज के० निगम,  
उप सचिव, भारत सरकार

## (Department of Revenue and Insurance)

## ESTATE DUTY

*New Delhi, the 1st January 1969*

**S.O. 549.**—Whereas the Legislatures of the States of Assam and Kerala have passed the resolutions under clause (1) of Article 252 of the Constitution, adopting the amendments made to, or in relation to, the Estate Duty Act, 1953 (34 of 1953), by—

- (i) the Central Boards of Revenue Act, 1963 (54 of 1963),
- (ii) the Finance Act, 1964 (5 of 1964),
- (iii) the Taxation Laws (Continuation and Validation of Recovery Proceedings) Act, 1964 (11 of 1964),
- (iv) the Direct Taxes (Amendment) Act, 1964 (31 of 1964),
- (v) the Finance Act, 1965 (10 of 1965),
- (vi) the Finance (No. 2) Act, 1965 (15 of 1965),
- (vii) the Taxation Laws (Amendment and Miscellaneous Provisions) Act, 1965 (41 of 1965), and
- (viii) the Finance Act, 1966 (13 of 1966).

in so far as they relate to estate duty in respect of agricultural lands situate in the territories comprised in the said States:

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions contained in clause (b) of sub-section (2A) of Section 5A of the Estate Duty Act, 1953 (34 of 1953), the Central Government hereby specifies the States of Assam and Kerala to which the abovementioned amendments shall apply, and shall be deemed to have applied, on and from the dates on which the amendments made by each of the Acts aforesaid respectively took effect, to estate duty in respect of agricultural lands situate in their territories.

[No. 25/F. No. 1/9/64-E. D.]

R. D. SAXENA, Dy. Secy.

## (Department of Revenue and Insurance)

## STAMPS

*New Delhi, the 8th February 1969*

**S.O. 550.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. S.O. 4560 published at page, 5783 Gazette of India Part II, section 3(ii) dated 28-12-68, the Central Government hereby remits the stamp duty with which the bonds to the value of fifty five lakhs of rupees to be issued by the Jammu and Kashmir State Financial Corporation are chargeable under the said Act,

2. This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 28th December, 1968.

[No. 1/69-Stamps/F. No. 1/64/68-Cus. VII.]

## CUSTOMS

*New Delhi, the 8th February 1969*

**S.O. 551.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 25 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance No. 5-Customs, dated the 1st January, 1969, namely:—

In the said notification, in the list of goods set out under category (iv), in item 11, for the words "and films therefor", the words "and colour films therefor" shall be substituted.

[No. 33/F. No. 90/84/68-L.C.I.]

M. S. SUBRAMANYAM, Under Secy.

## (Department of Revenue and Insurance)

## CORRIGENDUM

## INCOME-TAX

*New Delhi, the 25th January. 1969*

S.O. 552.—In the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) S.O. No. 396, dated the 22nd January, 1968, published in Part II, Section 3 sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India, dated the 3rd February, 1968, at page 424, the existing entry No. 2 under Schedule No. III may be deleted and the following entries may be substituted in its place:

2. Sir Hirji Cauwasji Jhangir,  
Bart III, Ready Money Mansion,  
Vir Nariman Road, Bombay.

Individual 17,838 1961-62.

[No. 58/90/67-IT(Inv.).]

E. K. LYALL, Dy. Secy.

## COLLECTORATE OF CUSTOMS AND CENTRAL EXCISE, COCHIN

## CENTRAL EXCISES

*Cochin, the 21st January 1969*

S.O. 553.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby, empower all officers of and above the rank of "Assistant Collector of Central Excise", to exercise within their respective jurisdictions, the powers of "Collector of Central Excise" under sub-rule 4 of Rule 56-A of the aforesaid rules.

[No. 1/69.]

(Issued from the file C. No. IV/16/69 CX.I.)

S. VENKATARAMAN, Collector.

## THE MADRAS CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, MADRAS-34

## CENTRAL EXCISES

*Madras, the 28th January 1969*

S.O. 554.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I empower the Central Excise Officer of and above the rank of "Assistant Collectors" to exercise within their respective jurisdictions, the powers of the Collector under sub-rule 4 of Rule 56-A as amended.

[No. C.IV/16/519/62-CX.I.]

A. R. SHANMUGAM, Collector,

